		1
1		
2	FINANCIAL CRISIS INQUIRY COMMISSION	
3		
4	Interview of CHARLES O. PRINCE	
5		
6	March 17, 2010	
7	1285 Avenue of the Americas	
8		
9	9:30 a.m.	
10		
11		
12	CONFIDENTIAL	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES:	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2		2	A Charles Prince, P-R-I-N-C-E.
3	FINANCIAL CRISIS INQUIRY COMMISSION 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 800	3	·
3	Washington, DC 20006		Q Are you currently provide, Mr. Prince?
4	DV. DDADLEV I DONDI Assistant Discotor	4	
5	BY: BRADLEY J. BONDI, Assistant Director and Deputy General Counsel	5	A I am not.
	KAREN DUBAS, paralegal	6	Q Do you have a consulting role
6 7		7	anywhere?
,	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON,	8	A I do.
8	LLP	9	Q Where is that?
9	On behalf of the Witness 1285 Avenue of the Americas	10	A With a firm called Albright
	New York, New York 10019	11	Stonebridge Group.
10	DV. DDIJCE DIDENDOIM ESO	12	Q Where are you based?
11	BY: BRUCE BIRENBOIM, ESQ. BRAD S. KARP, ESQ.	13	A They are based in Washington,
	SUSANNA BUERGEL, ESQ.	14	D.C. I am not based there. I consult with
12 13	JANE O'BRIEN, ESQ.	15	them as needed.
	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP	16	Q And, Mr. Prince, I understand you
14	On behalf of the Witness	17	were CEO of course of Citigroup.
15	Four Times Square New York, New York 10036	18	Could you briefly describe the
16	BY: JONATHAN J. LERNER, ESQ	19	positions you held at Citigroup or any of
17 18		20	its predecessors and the years that you held
19		21	them?
20 21		22	A Sure. And should I go all the
22		23	way back to when I started, or as much as
23		24	you want or
24 25		25	Q If you don't mind briefly just
	2		4
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2	BY MR. BONDI:	2	identify the position, the company and the
2 3	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How	2 3	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall.
2 3 4	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you?	2 3 4	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure.
2 3 4 5	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you.	2 3 4 5	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am	2 3 4 5 6	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called
2 3 4 5	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission	2 3 4 5	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore,
2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress	2 3 4 5 6	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful to government investigator. But I have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of other individuals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful to government investigator. But I have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of other individuals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful to government investigator. But I have no reason, Mr. Prince, to doubt your veracity.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of other individuals. And then from 1986 until 1998,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful to government investigator. But I have no reason, Mr. Prince, to doubt your veracity. A Thank you for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of other individuals. And then from 1986 until 1998, commercial credit engaged in a series of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful to government investigator. But I have no reason, Mr. Prince, to doubt your veracity. A Thank you for that. Q But I am obligated just to put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of other individuals. And then from 1986 until 1998, commercial credit engaged in a series of acquisitions and changed its name as it went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful to government investigator. But I have no reason, Mr. Prince, to doubt your veracity. A Thank you for that. Q But I am obligated just to put you on notice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of other individuals. And then from 1986 until 1998, commercial credit engaged in a series of acquisitions and changed its name as it went along so that by 1998, the company that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. BONDI: Q Good morning, Mr. Prince. How are you? A Good morning. Well, thank you. Q My name is a Brad Bondi. I am with the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission in Washington. We were formed by Congress to investigate the causes of the financial crisis, both domestic and globally, and to report on those causes in a report due December 15, 2010, this year. This interview is being transcribed. Because we are members of the government, I am obligated to tell you that the typical rules of 18 USC 1001 apply. And that means obviously you have to be truthful to government investigator. But I have no reason, Mr. Prince, to doubt your veracity. A Thank you for that. Q But I am obligated just to put you on notice. A Duly noted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	identify the position, the company and the years, if you wouldn't mind, if you recall. A Sure. I started with for these purposes, I started with a company called Commercial Credit Company in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 15, 1979. And commercial credit was a predecessor company of what became Citigroup. First let me tell you how it became a predecessor company, than I'll give you my tenure and so forth. Commercial Credit was a subsidiary of another company at that point in time. It went public in 1986 under the leadership of Mr. Weill and a series of other individuals. And then from 1986 until 1998, commercial credit engaged in a series of acquisitions and changed its name as it went along so that by 1998, the company that was Commercial Credit was then known as

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE Citigroup.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that.

My tenure with these various companies, I started as an attorney in 1979 with Commercial Credit. I became general counsel of the company in about 1984 or thereabouts. I continued as general counsel of the company through its various iterations and name changes until the time of our merger in 1998, at which time I became the co-general counsel of the merged entity, Citigroup.

My partner, as co-general counsel, retired a year or so later. So I then became sole general counsel in about 1999. I stopped being general counsel I think sometime in 2000, maybe the fall of 2000, and was promoted to be chief administrative officer.

Then in about 2001, I was chief administrative officer. And then in 2002, I became the head of our corporate and investment bank. Then in 2003, became the CEO of the company and in 2006 became chairman.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE the board. And he continued with that official designation. But Bob's role was a more informal or nuanced one than the title would suggest.

Bob was a very important member of our board, of course. But he was also very involved in the strategic direction of the company, not so much the day-to-day operations. But where the company should be focused going forward, how it should grow, how it should think about its future. He was also fairly involved in client work, both in the private bank, on our institutional side. Given Bob's background, given his extremely high reputation, he was someone that people in our client base wanted to meet.

Q I understand things changed in the summer of 2007 in terms of the frequency of your interactions with Mr. Rubin. But prior to say summer of 2007 from let's say when you became CEO in October 2003 through early 2007, how often would you meet with Mr. Rubin?

6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE I am surprised I remembered all

Q I am impressed that you remembered it all, sir.

When in 2003 did you become CEO, what was the official date?

A I think it was October.

Q When in 2006 did you become chairman, sir?

A That would have been at the annual meeting which was in April.

Who was chairman between 2003 and Q 2006?

Sandy Weill. Α

O Mr. Prince, what was Mr. Rubin's role vis-à-vis your role as CEO?

A During --

Since you became CEO of Citigroup, what was his role vis-à-vis your role?

A Bob Rubin continued the role that he had before I became CEO. Before I became CEO, Bob was, I believe the official title was chairman of the executive committee of 7

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

A Well, with permission I will just disagree if I may with the premise.

Q Please.

A It didn't really change in the summer of 2007. As I am sure we will talk later, we talked about different things.

Q Yes, sir.

A But Bob's office was literally right next to mine. It was separated by a little conference room, but it was 20 feet away. And Bob and I would talk -- if we were both in the office, we would talk three or four times a day. He would walk into my office. I would walk into his office.

If one or the other of us wasn't physically in town that day, then we might talk by phone every other day. So, the level of interaction was frequent.

Bob was someone that I very much valued bouncing ideas off of, testing ideas. And when you are the CEO of a large company, there are very few people that you can do that with. But Bob's background and his general knowledge of the world was very

9

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE significant, and so I would bounce lots of different ideas off of him. Q You mentioned Mr. Rubin having a role in the strategic direction of the company. Were there any particular areas that he focused on in terms of strategic direction, any particular areas of the business? A Well, I would say no. If you were to step back and look at our company from a distance, you would see that we had that three or four basic groups of activities. One would have been our international business, which was quite large and quite important. One would have been our U.S. consumer business, and one would have been our corporate and securities business. We have we had private banking activity, that probably would not have been quite as high on the list. But those first three groupings, each of which of course is broken down into dozens of individual Interview - CHARLES PRINCE businesses, I would say he spent a fair amount of time on each of them. O Mr. Prince, it seemed from --

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE the company. Its size of the pie, if you understand what I mean by that, I don't remember it growing significantly, going from X percent to two X percent or something of the company.

It may have been that the entire industry grew and the company grew. So, I remember it not as growing in a dramatic sense. The numbers may suggest you are right. I apologize if I am wrong there.

In terms of Bob's influence and growth and so forth, obviously Bob's background from Goldman Sachs and his long history in the securities business gave him a pretty special feel for that business as compared to say our consumer business.

But, on the other hand, Bob was long removed from being a trader. He hadn't been a day-to-day trader for a long time. And so it was much more of where do we want the business to go in three years and five years and ten years than it was in the next six months let's try to do more of X, if you understand the distinction I am

Q Mr. Prince, it seemed from -please correct me if I am wrong -- it seemed from some of the reports and P and Ls that I have seen that the investment bank, CMB, grew substantially from 2003 to 2007.

Was Mr. Rubin involved in any strategic decisions that helped grow that bank?

A Well, I am not sure whether capital markets and banking grew -- I am thinking about the premise of your question if I may and then I will come to the actual question itself.

Q Sir, please, if at any time any of my premises are incorrect, we are on a fact finding mission, so correct me, please.

A I am trying to make sure we have the full answer and the correct answer.

O Yes. sir.

A I think that capital markets and banking, I don't remember that in that period of time growing in relationship to

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE drawing.

So, with that overview, you know, Bob was very much involved in all of our strategic activities. I am sure there were dozens of things that he participated in. I am hard pressed to pull out one to tell you. I am sorry.

Q As CEO, who directly reported to you? I am trying to distinguish between, you know, at the end of the day I assume every one in the company ultimately reports up to the CEO.

A All 350,000 people.

O Yes, sir.

But who directly reported; in other words, who were the direct reports to you as CEO?

A We had a group that we called the Business Heads group. And in many companies it would have been called a Management Committee or an Executive Committee. We called it Business Heads for reasons which aren't particularly pertinent to the question. And that is a good grouping, to

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 answer your question. It would have been second hour we would talk about the budget 3 the most senior leaders of line operations. 3 process for next year. So we are going to 4 So the head of our consumer business, the 4 do this then, this then and this then and so 5 5 head of our corporate investment bank, the forth. Or we might talk about an upcoming 6 6 person who ran our business in Asia, that meeting with an employee group in Japan and 7 sort of grouping, as well as our most senior 7 what are we going to accomplish in this 8 8 staff people. So, the head of risk, the particular area. Or we might talk about an 9 9 head of legal, our chief administrative acquisition for the company. We acquired 10 officer, our chief operating officer. It 10 companies right along. That sort of thing. was more or less 10, 11, 12 people, 11 11 So first half, a go-around, the 12 something in that range. 12 second half a one off kind of activity. 13 Q How often would the Business 13 Q Would you give a presentation or 14 Heads group meet? How often would you meet 14 have a role in that meeting? Say at the end 15 with the Business Heads group? 15 of the meeting would you share your 16 16 A Every Monday morning. thoughts? Or what was your participation in Q How long approximately would 17 17 the meeting, in those meetings typically? 18 those meetings go? 18 A It was a pretty informal meeting. 19 A Seemed like forever sometimes. 19 So I would typically start by saying here 20 But it was officially for -- first an hour, 20 are three or four things that are on my mind 21 then an hour and a half, then two hours as 21 this morning. And then we would go around 22 we wanted to cover more and more. So I 22 the table, and then we would have this 23 would say for overview purposes an hour and 23 special project or one-off activity. But it 24 a half to two hours. 24 wasn't a formal meeting and it typically 25 O Would this be a situation where 25 wouldn't have a formal agenda. We might 14 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE people would go around the room and report 2 2 have a follow-up from last week or something 3 3 on their various areas, or would there be a like that. But it wasn't a formal meeting 4 4 set agenda for these type of meetings? with prepared remarks or anything like that. 5 5 A You are very intuitive. There Q Mr. Prince, you mentioned a few 6 would be both. In other words, it was 6 persons by title, and I just wanted to put 7 7 intended first and foremost as information some names with those titles. 8 sharing. We had lots of businesses, lots of 8 You said the most senior risk 9 9 areas, some of them the people who ran them officer. Is that the chief risk officer? 10 were physically separated from each other: 10 A Yes, Dave Bushnell. 11 Uptown, downtown, Europe and so forth. And 11 **Q** He would have been during the 12 it was important for those people to 12 relevant time period of 2003 to 2007? 13 interact frequently so that I knew what you 13 A Yes. 14 were doing, you knew what I were doing, we 14 Q Head of legal, is that Mr. 15 15 could collaborate as necessary. Helfer? 16 The first half of each meeting 16 A Yes, Michael was the general 17 counsel that whole time. I am not sure would typically be a going around the table, 17 18 what is going on in your business, what is 18 whether Michael was on the Business Heads or 19 going on in my business and so forth. 19 not. 20 The second half of the meeting 20 MR. KARP: He was not. 21 would typically be involved with special --21 THE WITNESS: Lou Kaden was on 22 or what is the right way to put it -- one 22 and Lou Kaden was the chief 23 off kinds of activities. 23 administrative officer and also a 24 It might -- I am just picking 24 lawyer. And Lou represented the

25

examples at random. It might be in the

17

legal, the audit, and the foundation

16

15

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 and certain other functions. 3 BY MR. BONDI: 4 O I've noticed Mr. Kaden's name in 5 board minutes and several documents that 6 vour counsel has produced. 7 What was his role as chief 8 administrative officer? 9 A Yes. Chief administrative 10 officer would typically be -- and would be the case for Kaden -- would typically be 11 12 someone who managed the administrative 13 functions. And so that would typically be 14 all of the staff functions, legal, audit, 15 public relations, the foundation. 16 In many companies, in most 17 companies it would also include risk. But 18 in our company when I became CEO in 2003, I 19 think literally the first thing I did that 20 day, literally, was to put David in that job 21 and to change the reporting structure so 22 that he no longer reported to the chief 23 administrative officer and the risk function 24 no longer reported to the business units. 25 Before that, it had reported inside the 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 business units. 3 And from that time through my 4

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE chief risk officer level who understood how those businesses worked.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

David had been a trader much earlier in his life and understood the mechanics of how the business worked. Making it independent of the businesses, I think most people nowadays do that. I am grateful that we were a little ahead of that making it independent. It didn't get paid based on the profits of the business unit, it didn't get paid based on volumes or revenues. And I think that is just good corporate governance.

O Would Mr. Bushnell as chief risk officer have a normal reporting role at this Business Heads group meeting? Would he provide a report?

A Yes. He would be part of the go-around.

Q You mentioned the chief operating officer. Who was that during the 2003 to 2007 time frame?

A I don't know when Bob Druskin became chief operating officer, but it was

20

whole tenure, the chief risk officer was a member of our Business Heads group and was a direct report to me.

O Why did you make those changes with respect to the chief risk officer?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A The two changes, one putting him in the job and then making it separate.

I thought that the fellow who was the chief risk officer before David whose name was Petros, P-E-T-R-O-S, Sabatakakus (phonetic). Just do the best you can, but it sounds, it spells sort of like it sounds, Sabatakakus. He was a very good fellow but did not have any understanding, detailed understanding of how the securities business worked. And I was very concerned that Petros would not be able to adequately manage the risk function as it related to our securities business. And so Petros was going to retire, and so he retired. We put Bushnell in the job, I put Bushnell in the job, to make sure that we had someone at

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE at least halfway through. And so for the last couple of years at least, maybe the whole time, but at least the last couple of years Bob Druskin was the chief operating officer.

O What did Mr. Druskin do as the chief operating officer?

A Well, his job, like most chief operating officers, is to focus on, focus a hundred percent of his time on the inside part of the job, running the company. The various business units reported to me and I was responsible for the overall direction of the company and so forth. But there was a lot of travel involved in my job. And someone needed to be at the desk every day making sure the trains ran on time, to use a cliched phrase, and that was Bob's job.

Q When you first became CEO in 2003, were there some regulatory problems that were immediately on your radar?

A There were, there were regulatory problems at many times, so I am not sure which ones in particular you are referring

21

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 to. 3 O What I was getting at is we have 4 read about problems in Japan, problems with 5 bond traders in Europe. 6 Were those problems that were in 7 your focus when you became CEO in 2003, or 8 did they come about later? 9 A Okay, I understand the question 10 now, sorry. 11 When I became CEO in 2003, I 12 thought that the most important thing I 13 needed to focus on was turning the company's 14 focus from an acquisition driven strategy to 15 more of a balanced strategy involving 16 organic growth. If you look at the history 17 of the company and how it combined and so 18 forth, we had been very, very busy with 19 acquisitions. And the larger the company 20 became, the harder it is to grow a company 21 through acquisitions, just by definition. 22 If you have a business that 23 makes -- I am making up examples -- that 24 makes \$100 and you want to grow ten percent 25 a year, you have to buy something that makes 22 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 \$10. If you have a business that makes a 3 million dollars in this example and you want 4 to grow ten percent a year, then you 5 obviously have to buy a much larger company. 6 And so and the company became larger and 7 larger and larger, it became clear to me 8 that relying as much as we had historically 9 on acquisitions was not an appropriate 10 course going forward and we had to have more 11 balance and have more organic growth. 12 Q Why wasn't it an appropriate 13 model going forward, this expansion model 14 that you have described? 15 A I apologize. I thought I 16 explained it. Let me try it again. 17 The larger the size of the 18 entity, the more difficult it is to grow by 19 buying things. That is, you have to buy 20 more and more as your absolute size 21 gets bigger. 22

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE So if you wanted to grow ten percent a year, so you wanted to grow your revenues from a hundred to 110, okay, just a simple math like that, you would have to go out and buy \$10 billion of revenue somewhere. There aren't a lot of companies that have \$10 billion of revenue. And so identifying them, courting them, and negotiating that and integrating that, it gets more and more difficult as the absolute size of the organization gets bigger.

So an acquisition strategy, if you are small, you can double and triple and quadruple in size just by acquiring things. If I can, can I give an analogy?

Q Please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A If you had a law firm -everybody here is a lawyer except this nice lady. Everybody here is a lawyer.

Q Karen is not.

A She is going to be a lawyer some day. Everybody here is a lawyer or is going to be a lawyer.

If you have a law firm of 500

24

10 11

So to use very rough numbers, during my tenure the company had about a hundred billion dollars of revenue a year, 80 to 100 billion dollars of revenue year.

23

24

25

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE lawyers, which is not a small law firm, a

medium sized law firm, and you wanted to double in size, you would have to go find another 500 lawyers somewhere. Maybe one firm of 500 or maybe two of 250, something like that. And there would be the appropriate complications of doing that: Finding them, negotiating with them, integrating them into a culture and so forth.

If you had a law firm of 5000 lawyers and you wanted to double in size, it would be almost impossible to do that because there aren't 5000 lawyers out there somewhere to find and integrate. And then if you did that and you had 10,000 lawyers, then you wouldn't have anybody you could double with. You sort of run the string out as it were if you understand what I mean as I am trying to describe it.

So in that context, we had grown very rapidly and very well, I think, and our growth pattern had been quite good. But it sort of topped out in terms of the ability

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE to do that in just a practical sense. And so my judgment was that at that point we needed to continue to do acquisitions, but make sure that the businesses we had on their own were growing to contribute to that. So, maybe instead of growing -- again, I am making up these numbers, just as an example.

Instead of growing ten percent through acquisitions, we would grow five percent through acquisitions and five percent through organic growth. So more of a balance. Does that make sense?

O Yes, sir.

A So that is what I thought going in. Now your question was what about the regulatory issues.

What happened was that almost immediately we got diverted into these regulatory problems. So, in 2003, we had a regulatory problem with our consumer business here in the States. And then I think it was in early 2004 that the Japan situation began to unfold in complicated

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE were robust enough that they would have a reasonable prospect for preventing the kinds of issues that had come up in Japan and in Europe.

The Japan private bank episode was one in which -- as I recall it, one of the bankers in Japan had engaged in some inappropriate activities with a client that was a charity or something like that. And the regulators over there became very focused on regulatory processes in Japan. And then as that was unfolding, the MTS trade happened in Europe.

The Japan issue was a pretty clear regulatory violation in Japan. The MTS one was less clear as to whether it was a violation, but it provoked quite a controversy. I believe that the Fed did not want those kinds of incidents to occur and wanted us to, in colloquial terms, take a time out and to make sure that everything was working very properly inside the company and to make sure that we had very robust regulatory processes.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE ways. And then the MTS trade you mentioned in Europe was I think in the fall of 2004. Dim recollection.

So we had -- in 2004, we had two or three instances which in a cumulative sense caused various regulators to stop and say: Gosh, you guys got to tighten up your ship here a little bit.

Q Was one of those regulators that suggested that you tighten up the ship, was that the Federal Reserve when they put a hold on the acquisitions in growth that Citigroup could do?

A Yes.

Q What was their reason for putting a hold on acquisitions in growth at Citigroup, external growth?

A I have to think back now. That was quite a while ago.

My recollection of it is that they were -- let me think now to make sure I am recalling it correctly.

I believe that their reasons were to make sure that our regulatory processes

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q During that time out as you have described, what did you do to address the Federal Reserve Board's concerns?

A We did lots of things. If I can give you a summary answer, and then you can ask anything further from that.

We obviously had quite a process of increasing our regulatory functions: The lawyers, the audit function, the control functions.

We also embarked on what we called the five-point plan, which was an all-employee program. All 350,000 employees had to go through training sessions in which we emphasized the company's core values. We emphasized long-term results over short-term results. We emphasized protecting the reputation of the company. Everyone had to go through this training; everyone had to certify that they had gone through this training.

We had anonymous 360 reviews of all of our managers so that if anybody had a concern that their manager was engaging in

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE inappropriate activity they could report this and so forth and so on. The five-point plan was a quite large undertaking. We had progress points against it that we reported on to the Fed and others.

Q Was that five-point plan a success?

A Well, whenever you are talking about changing culture or reinforcing culture, it is something that is judged over the long term. I believe that since that 2004, 2005 time period we have not had -- to my knowledge, we have not had the kind of regulatory eruptions or problems that we had in the 2003, 2004 time frame. And if the goal of the program was to prevent those from recurring and if they have not recurred, then I think you would say it probably was successful.

Q In this time frame from 2003 and 2005, approximately how much of your time was devoted to addressing these types of regulatory issues that we have been talking about and implementing the five-point plan?

A It is hard to say. Well, over 50

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE on that a lot and tried to ensure that we had multiple pathways for information. Again if I can I will describe three or four to give you a sense of it.

One way of doing that was through what you might think of as a normal management structure. So all of our operations, all of our people, all of our countries were organized into some kind of a management hierarchy. So this business reported to this person, reported to this person and up to someone who was on the Business Heads Group. Or this region, this country and this region reported to someone up on the Business Heads group. And so the, in a management hierarchy sense, the person on the Business Heads was responsible for managing his or her business down to the bottom of that level, that vertical level of activity. That is one. In some ways that was the primary or central method of management flows.

We also had our various staff functions. So legal, audit, risk, in some

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE percent.

Q Approximately how many subsidiaries or affiliates did Citigroup have during your tenure at CEO?

A I have no idea.

O Over hundred or so?

A Well, over a hundred, yes. They are all listed in the 10-K filing, pages and pages and pages of them.

Q How many countries did you operate in?

A My recollection was that we operated in 102 countries. I don't know whether that was exactly right. I never went and counted each 102, but we always said it was 102.

Q How did you ensure out of the 102 countries and the many subsidiaries that Citigroup had, how did you ensure that critical information was reported up to you that needed to be reported up to you?

A That is a very good question, and something that any manager of a large organization needs to focus on. We focused

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE ways our public relations function had parallel vertical structures.

So, to take an example, if we had a business operation in India, there would be a risk person assigned to them. There would be a lawyer assigned to that in India. There would be an audit person assigned to that in India. And those people would report up their own vertical management chains parallel to the business vertical chain up to someone who was at a reporting level with the Business Heads.

So lawyers would report up their legal management chain up to the general counsel and then to the chief administrative officer, the audit function in a similar way and so forth. So, we had our management of business chain, we had our several administrative function chains, and then we had a wide range of direct to the employee communications. So, we would do once a year an employee survey, an anonymous employee survey, which would ask various questions which could then be collated and reported on

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 and so forth. products, that that belief was incorrect. 3 3 We had anonymous hotlines for And when you say to yourself would you have 4 reporting concerns or problems. Most of 4 liked to have had more information about 5 that turned out to be about employee issues, 5 that, I am not sure at the time that more 6 but some of it related to the business and 6 information would have changed anyone's 7 it was certainly promoted as for the 7 belief. 8 business. 8 Instruments were downgraded in 9 9 So these are just several the middle of October, and I think until 10 examples. We were constantly looking for 10 that point most of the people thought they additional ways to get information up and to 11 11 were very, very, very safe. get information across because these 12 12 So, your question is there any 13 vertical structures I have described, you 13 information I wish I had gotten earlier is a 14 don't want the information to sit inside 14 hard question to answer because of that. It 15 15 wasn't so much a flow of information as it those. You want them to go across as much 16 was wrong conclusions about the information as you can. 16 17 17 Q In the 2007 year immediately that people had. 18 preceding the financial difficulties in the 18 O Who made the wrong conclusions? 19 market starting in the summer and during 19 A Well, we have to talk in more 20 that time frame of summer through November 20 detail about that. I mean the rating 21 2007, did you feel as CEO sufficient 21 agencies, the people in the business, the 22 information was reaching you so that you 22 regulators, everybody made the wrong 23 could evaluate the situations at Citigroup? 23 conclusions. 24 A I certainly thought so at the 24 Q We are jumping ahead of ourselves 25 time. We had lots of information on lots of 25 I know, Mr. Prince? 34 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 2 different scores. We are sitting here three A I am sorry. 3 3 years later almost looking back at one very Q No, that is my fault, sir. I do 4 significant issue. But at the time in the want to talk to you in length about the CDO 4 5 business and what happened there. summer of 2007, we were looking at lots of 5 6 6 issues. I mean what turned out to be the A Right. 7 7 CDO problems in our fixed income business O But let me get back to more about 8 were not the biggest issue on the list of 8 the business and how it operated. 9 9 things we were looking at in the summer of I have seen a report that said 10 10 2007. that you used to joke about not having one 11 And so if you imagine lots of 11 good culture but five or six good cultures. 12 information flowing on lots of different 12 Is that something that you would 13 subjects in lots of different geographies, I 13 joke about, is that true that you said 14 thought we had pretty good information flow. 14 something like that? 15 Q I know we are sitting here three 15 A I am sure I said that in jest at 16 years later. And so in retrospect, was 16 some point.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there any information in retrospect that you

A You know, that is a hard question

to answer because the fundamental issue --

and I know we will get into this I'm sure in

great detail later. But the fundamental

issue was that the believed strength or

senior tranches of these securitized

credit worthiness of the so-called super

wish you had sooner?

Pages 34 to 37

37

Q What did you mean by five or six

A The company had grown through

acquisition, as I said. As we got bigger,

many of the acquisitions were quite large.

activities will have its own culture. And

so if you look at various companies that

And any company that you acquire which is large and has its own historical base of

good cultures there?

36

35

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 were component parts of Citigroup, they had 3 come to be part of Citigroup through 4 acquisition. So we had started with 5 Commercial Credit, let's say. That was one 6 culture. We had the Travelers Insurance 7 culture when this was part of the company. 8 We had the Citibank culture, which was part 9 of the company. We had the Salomon Brothers 10 culture, which was part of the company. And 11 so forth and so on. 12 Merging those cultures into a 13 single culture, into a Citigroup culture, 14 what we tried to described in our five-point 15 plan as the one-Citi initiative, a one-Citi 16 culture as opposed to a confederation. That 17 was what I was trying to describe. 18 O I don't have much spare time, but 19 in the little that I do, I like to do some 20 21

reading. And as you can imagine, most of my reading these days is focused on the financial crisis.

A I can recommend many other good books for you.

O Yes. sir.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE is no question that was part of our effort in the five-point plan. And as I said, we were trying to move from a confederation of companies and confederation of cultures to a more unified culture.

In terms of an unwieldy -- I don't know, it didn't seem unwieldy to me.

Q In retrospect was it unwieldy?

A No, it didn't feel unwieldy to me. Probably did work as well as the Treasury Department.

> MR. BONDI: I would like to show you a document.

(Thereupon, the e-mail Bates numbered Citi FCIC E 31616 was marked CR Exhibit 1 for Identification, as of this date.)

BY MR. BONDI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

38

Q For the record for her point, I showed you what is Bates numbered Citi FCIC E 31616, which appears, sir, to be an e-mail from you to Mr. Druskin copying David Bushnell and Mr. Crittenden and Mr. Kaden. I want to focus your attention, sir, on what

40

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

One book that I recently read was former secretary Paulson's book. And there was something in that book about Citigroup that struck me.

He said that -- and I will represent that this was from the book. But he said that "Citigroup had an unwieldy organizational structure." And then he went on to say: "It lacked a single unifying culture or clear business strategy."

What is your reaction to that statement?

A Well, I don't have much reaction to that statement. People have points of view. Hank is a decent person, and if that is his point of view, then good for him.

O I take it you don't share that point of view?

A Well, when I ran the company I didn't share that point of view. Now I don't have a point of view. I am a retiree.

But I am not trying to avoid the question. Look, we were trying to develop a more robust culture for the company. There 39

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE you say in your e-mail that is dated October 2nd, 2007. And please, you know, there is e-mails underneath that and please feel free to read the entire context. But I did want to focus your attention on that first statement or the, excuse me, your e-mail that, says, "Incredible lack of coordination. We really need to break down the silos," exclamation point. What is the context there of your statement?

A Let me just read this.

O What was the context of your statement there about "incredible lack of coordination. We really need to break down the silos," exclamation point?

A Well, I don't remember the e-mail exchange. But what I see in reading it is that someone in our consumer business -- I can identify the names if you would like. But someone in our consumer business was looking at some new business in the credit card area, apparently something called Revolution Money. And our credit card people, the consumer business people, were

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 looking into this somehow and in the course 3 of looking at it, perhaps to buy it or 4 something like that, it turns out that this 5 company that they were examining actually 6 had quite a detailed relationship with our 7 corporate side business. And so that came 8 to my attention, that one of our entities 9 was pursuing an outside group that it turned 10 out another entity inside the company had a great relationship with or some kind of a 11 12 relationship with. And I expressed a sense 13 of frustration that that information wasn't 14 being shared across business lines, across 15 the silos.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You remember a moment ago I talked about the importance not only of information flow going up the vertical columns but going across. And it would have been nice, I apparently thought, if other consumer people had checked with our corporate people: Do you know anything about Revolution Money? Or if our corporate people had turned to our consumer people and said we are doing business with this company 42 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the summer of 2007, not the fall of 2007, the summer of 2007, the biggest issue we were focused on was the deterioration in the leveraged lending business, the loaning of money to KKR, Carlyle, people like that.

The CDO problems, which in the fall of 2007, then came to have such serious impact and which we are talking about today, were not the highest thing on the list in the summer.

The leveraged lending activity related both to our fixed income business or our lending business on the one hand. And our advisory business in the investment bank, different from the fixed income business, the investment business, and so those were silo issues as it related to leveraged lending between those two parts of the corporate and investment bank.

The CDO business, which in the fall came to have such prominence, didn't have those kinds of cross business issues inside the corporate and investment bank.

44

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Revolution Money, you ought to take a look at them instead of it working out the way it worked out here. But I'm inferring all this from reading. I don't have any independent recollection of it.

O In relation with the events in the fall of 2007 relating to challenges in the marketplace, did you observe any other silos at Citigroup?

A In the fall of 2007, the issues that we are examining here today were pretty much contained inside the fixed income business and didn't involve so much cross business activities.

Q Was there any silos within the investment banking business that you observed?

A Oh. sure. Sure.

Q Did the silos in the investment bank pose any challenges during the financial crisis or leading up to the financial crisis?

A I have to give you a slightly long answer; I am sorry for that.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE It was pretty exclusively inside the fixed income business.

Is that clear?

O Uh-huh.

To shift gears, I want to ask you about another area of our focus and that is the mortgage origination business.

(Thereupon, the printout of remarks of April 19, 2007 to the **Greenlining Institute's 14 Annual Economic Summit in Los Angeles,** California was marked CR Exhibit 2 for **Identification**, as of this date.)

BY MR. BONDI:

Q Mr. Prince, I am showing you what I will represent is a printout from some remarks that you gave on April 19, 2007, to the Greenlining Institute's 14 annual economic summit in Los Angeles, California.

I wanted to ask you about a few of the statements you made. And if you could look to page two of that, I will draw your attention to the bottom half of that document. In particular, the paragraph that

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE starts with: "And so" and I will just read the statement for the court reporter and the record and ask you to comment on it.

"And so the combination of two or three factors, several of them good: Excess liquidity and the desire for homeownership have combined with one, which I think is not so good which is the arbitrage of regulatory responsibilities and have led to very aggressive, very exotic mortgage products which are then pumped into some of our communities where they are clearly not appropriate. And then when the housing bubble naturally tips over, we go through cycles all the time, then it is exposed that those products were not appropriate, but there was no regulatory framework which they went through."

What do you mean aggressive, very exotic mortgage products?

A Well, there are a number, but there are two in particular that I think would fit that category. One is the negative amortization mortgage product and Interview - CHARLES PRINCE into communities, to people, who probably shouldn't have had them and who were susceptible to the overselling of these aggressive brokers.

Q Generally, do you know if the products that you have described, were they part of an originate-to-hold model where that the mortgage brokers would hold them on their books, or were they part of a model that would distribute them on to the secondary market for securitization, or do you know?

A The latter.

Q What role did financial institutions like Citigroup play in terms of this secondary market demand for the mortgages that you have described?

A What I was describing here of course as you see in the next paragraph, I say. "We have not participated in that. We have not been a lender of exotic, aggressive mortgages. We have not done option ARMs or negative amortization products in the subprime community."

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE the other was the adjustable ARM product. I am sure you know what those are. I won't bother explaining them. But I think in both cases they posed real concerns for people getting in over their heads.

Q Why were they posing concerns for people getting in over their heads? Was it the type of the product? Was it who they were being marketed to? What was the concern there?

A Well, because they weren't based on what I would call traditional lending criteria but were based in large part upon an expected appreciation in home value, they had the potential, potential, for being oversold. That is, sold to people who wouldn't necessarily qualify for those mortgage products unless the future appreciation would occur. And with the process of regulating the origination of mortgages, then and now, being as spotty as it is, it led to the possibility of very aggressive brokers going out in a largely unregulated way and selling these products

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

"And our businesses -- " and I was focused here particularly on our consumer finance business and our mortgage business," -- were not in the business of originating those mortgages." And that part of our business was an originate and hold business.

Q Were there mortgage types, mortgage products, that your securitization business would purchase for securitization purposes into RMBS that your mortgage origination business would not originate?

A Yes.

Q What did you see in terms of Citigroup's role in the demand for those products if it was securitizing these products from other originators?

A There is a continuum of activity in my judgment at one end of which I would see our activities as being inconsistent with what I have described here in this speech. And at the other end of the activity as not being inconsistent with that description in my speech.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

By that I mean that if we have a situation where our fixed business is buying and trading with other institutions securities which are traded in the marketplace and those securities have characteristics that relate to these activities, I would not see that as inconsistent with what I have said here in the speech. That is, I would not see us as participating in that business.

At the other end of the spectrum, if we were funding directly people who were doing this so that someone could say we were indirectly doing it instead of directly doing it, I would say that was not consistent with what we wanted to do as a company.

So, the activity you asked about would depend for me on where it was in that continuum. And one way to think about it is the closer we got to the actual origination of these products, the more uncomfortable I would feel with that. If it was already out in the marketplace and it was trading among

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE is okay and one notch to the right is not okay. So I am not sure I am going to be able to answer the question with that degree of specificity.

For the company as a whole we had laid down the prescription that we did not want to be involved in the origination of these mortgages. And so I can't -- in part because the mechanics that you are describing are pretty discreet mechanics inside the way the trading desks worked. I am not sure I can answer as specifically as that question would suggest.

Q I have a more general macro question.

In your opinion, what role did Wall Street investment banks and large financial institutions play in promoting very aggressive, exotic mortgage products that were originated by brokers, mortgage brokers, and then sold off to investment banks and financial institutions for securitization?

A Well, that is a very broad

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE institutions who were trading lots of different securities and this is one of the securities they were trading it is in the

securities they were trading, it is in the public marketplace, then it is already out there. It is being traded and we are just

participating in a trading activity.

But if we are actually getting

But if we are actually getting really close to the origination process, if we were enabling the origination process, then I would see that as inconsistent with the direction that we had laid out for the whole company which was not to originate these products.

Does that answer your question.

Q I think so. Let me ask a few questions based on that.

With respect to RMBS, securitization of mortgages in the RMBS, would you say that that is closer to the origination than say purchase of RMBS on a structuring desk for CDOs?

A I am not sure I can pars the middle part of that continuum. I am not sure I can say well, one notch to the left

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE question. It is a very good question and from a policy standpoint it is the right question to ask.

I think that the right way to think about that question is this: In the middle of the decade, the middle of 2000 to 2010 decade, the investing environment was one where there were what seemed to be historically artificially low interest rates. That is, investing professionals thought that we were in a period where rates were unusually low.

Some people thought that was because of the way that capital flows worked with China and other companies buying treasuries at such significant amounts that is it reduced interest rates. Some people thought it was because the Fed had lowered interest rates after the tech bubble burst and after 9/11 to save the economy. But for whatever reason on any kind of historical basis, rates seemed unusually low.

Some people thought -- and I believe Alan Greenspan's been quoted -- that

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE there was a new parodyne of risk, that in other words it was not a temporary phenomenon, but it was going to be a more extended phenomenon.

In that context, the growth of securitized products securitized products -- the securitized products grew and the growth of it became quite strong as the industry was trying to create through financial engineering safe, higher yielding assets.

In that context the need for raw material to securitize was high almost in the way that securitization could be seen as a factory line. You needed raw material to put in the front end of that.

I believe that in hindsight, the lack of adequate regulation of the origination of mortgages created a situation where the demand side, the pull side of that equation found a place where more raw material could be created than could be created safely. So that as more and more and more of these subprime mortgages were created as raw material for the

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE the wrong end of the spectrum.

A Well, I have described a continuum where at the level of publicly trading securities, I did not have a problem with that level of activity. And that the closer we got to the origination function itself of these mortgages, the less comfortable I was with that. And if we were close to the origination of that, then I would be uncomfortable with that.

I found out at the end of my tenure, I did not know it before, that we had some warehouse lines out to some originators. And I think getting that close to the origination function being that involved in the origination of some of these products is something that I wasn't comfortable with and that I did not view as consistent with the prescription I had laid down for the company not to be involved in originating these products.

(Thereupon, the document Bates marked Citi FCIC 91764 and 91765 continuing on to 765, which purports

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE securitization process, not surprisingly in hindsight more and more of it was of lower and lower quality.

And at the end of that process, the raw material going into it was actually bad quality, it was toxic quality, and that is what ended upcoming out the other end of the pipeline. Wall Street obviously participated in that flow of activity.

Q Did Citigroup participate in that flow of activity?

A I think in hindsight, some of the structures we did at the end were not on a point in the continuum that I was comfortable about with when I found out about them. That is, I think at the end of the process, in hindsight, as I understood what was in some of those, I think that our team was closer to the wrong end of the continuum that I had set forth than, in hindsight, I was comfortable with.

Q If I can get you to elaborate on what products you are speaking about there that you said your team you thought was on

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE to be the warehouse lines of credit with mortgage originators from 2000 to 2010 was marked CR Exhibit 3 for Identification, as of this date.) BY MR. BONDI:

Q Mr. Prince, I am showing you what was produced by Citigroup's counsel and has been Bates marked Citi FCIC 91764 and 91765 continuing on to 765, which purports to be the warehouse lines of credit with mortgage originators from 2000 to 2010. Have you ever seen this document?

A I have not.

Q You spoke a few minutes ago about concern over warehouse lines. Did any of the originators on this list cause you concern?

A The only name that I recognize is Ameriquest and that was a business that our guys wanted to buy. And I told them we couldn't buy it unless after they bought it they did not originate any more of these loans. Other than that I don't recognize any names on the list, I'm sorry.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 2 2 **Prior to the Ameriquest acquisition -**originators on this list? 3 A I recognize Banco Popular, but 3 A I don't. 4 4 that is a bank. O But you later learned that 5 5 **Q** Prior to the Ameriquest Ameriquest in your words were originating 6 6 acquisition, were you aware that Citigroup bad loans. 7 had warehouse lines of credit extended to 7 A Well, again, my judgement was 8 8 these were not the kind of loans we wanted **Ameriquest?** 9 9 A I think just before, I think just to originate. I will call them the exotics. 10 before. I think, I think just before they 10 Bad could mean bad credit. I am not focused on the credit quality at this point. I am proposed buying it they said we had some 11 11 12 lines out to them. I don't remember the 12 focused on the type of loan they were 13 size of the lines or that detail, but I 13 originating, the exotic loans that I 14 remember them saying we had some lines out 14 referred to in my speech that you gave me a 15 to them. They were struggling with this and 15 moment ago. 16 that, and we wanted to buy them because 16 And when the Ameriquest 17 after the credit crisis, they had a good 17 acquisition was presented to me, it was 18 platform for originating good mortgages. 18 presented in the context that they were 19 And I said we, I wouldn't permit them or 19 having some difficulties, it was an 20 approve them buying it unless they agreed 20 opportunity to buy something at a lower 21 that from the day we bought it going 21 value because they were having difficulties 22 forward, they wouldn't originate any more of 22 I think in a regulatory sense, and that those regulatory issues related to these 23 these bad loans, which they eventually said 23 24 yes to and I think they went ahead and 24 exotic loans that they had been originating. And I said we can't buy it if they are going 25 bought it. 25 58 60 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 O How did you feel when you learned to originate these exotic loans going 3 that Citigroup had warehouse lines to 3 forward; we don't do that. 4 Ameriquest originate bad loans? 4 MS. BUERGEL: Just to be clear, 5 5 A I think as I said just now, I am each warehouse line is governed by 6 just going to repeat myself, I think the б several written agreements that 7 7 closer we got to originating loans, the more specify the types of loans that can be 8 8 I thought that was inconsistent with my put into the line. So the lines don't 9 9 direction for the company. extend to just any activity of each of the warehouse originators. They each 10 10 Q In let's just say January 2007, in January 2007, would you have known about 11 11 have very specific agreements that these warehouse lines of credit that had 12 12 govern. been extended to Citigroup? Was this 13 13 THE WITNESS: You asked me if I 14 something that was on your radar? 14 would be surprised if we had lines out 15 A Extended by Citigroup, no. 15 to people originating, I have no idea Q I have roughly totaled up the whether these people originated 16 16 17 initial facility size of over \$11 billion. exotics, whether our lines with them 17 18 Would it have caused you concern 18 allowed them to put exotics with it, I 19 if in January 2007 someone came to you and 19 have no idea. You gave me a list of 20 said we have over \$11 billion in facilities 20 names and numbers, I don't know how 21 to mortgage originators? 21 they connect at all. 22 A Well, to mortgage originators who 22 BY MR. BONDI: 23 are originating these bad products, yes. 23 Q In other words, as CEO you didn't 24 O Do you know what kind of products 24 approve the warehouse lines? 25 were being originated by the mortgage 25 A Good heavens, no, no. 59 61

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 **Q** I noticed New Century on the he said that this was a platform for 3 warehouse line list here. It states there 3 originating mortgages, meaning a company 4 4 with offices around the country with a was an opening date of the warehouse 5 5 facility of August 1st, 2006 and a technology base, with trained people and so 6 termination date of March 8, 2007. 6 forth. And that this platform was available 7 **During that time frame of** 7 for us to purchase, that at the time we were 8 8 August 1st, 2006, to March 8, 2007, were you looking at it, the mortgage business had 9 9 aware that Citigroup had a warehouse some difficulties, but in his judgement, 10 facility with a warehouse line to New 10 those would be temporary. And that when the 11 Century? 11 temporary difficulties in the mortgage A No. 12 12 business was over, this would be a very 13 **Q** And on this list there is several 13 valuable platform to have as part of the 14 14 times where it is listed nonagency whole company. 15 15 loans. Q How did you become comfortable 16 16 with the decision? A Right. 17 17 Q What is a nonagency whole loan? A There with two aspects to the 18 A In the mortgage business there 18 decision. One is the financial aspects, we 19 are agency loans and nonagency loans. 19 are going to pay so much, we are going to 20 Agency loans are those you can put on to 20 make so much and so forth. And the second 21 Fannie and Freddie. So there is a buyer of 21 was whether or not we would buy something 22 22 which had this history of doing these those. things. And as I said a moment ago, I said 23 Nonagency loans are those that 23 24 Fannie and Freddie won't buy for I don't 24 we wouldn't buy it if they were going to know what reason. There is some set of 25 25 continue to originate those. We weren't 62 64 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE going to be in that business. We had 2 criteria by which they will do it. So the 2 3 agency business is a business that has much 3 suffered the revenue loss in our consumer 4 4 side of not being in that business for more of a flow activity to it. The 5 5 nonagency business doesn't have that same several years. And we weren't going to 6 purchaser on the other end. 6 start now. And the financial side of it, 7 7 O Are nonagency loans securitized that is how much we paid and the return on in the RMBS? 8 8 the investment and so forth, I don't 9 9 A I don't know the answer to that. remember the details of that, but it came 10 10 out well enough. And on the basis of those O I want to ask a few more 11 questions if you don't mind before we take 11 two things being right, my recollection is 12 break if you are okay. 12 that we approved it and they went ahead. 13 A Sure. 13 Q Was the motivation to purchase Ameriquest driven in any part by Citigroup's 14 14 O You mentioned the decision to 15 15 potential exposure to Ameriquest? acquire Ameriquest. Who at Citi was 16 involved in that decision to acquire 16 A I don't remember that, no. 17 17 **Ameriquest? Q** Did Mr. Maheras ever express 18 18 concern over Citigroup's exposure to A It was presented to me by Tom 19 19 Ameriquest leading into the transaction to Maheras. 20 Q What did Mr. Maheras say when he 20 purchase Ameriquest? 21 presented this as a potential acquisition 21 A I don't remember that. I 22 22 for Citigroup? remember it being an opportunity to buy 23 A I will just repeat what I said a 23 something during what he thought would be a 24 24 temporary dip in the mortgage market. moment ago. 25 25 O Did you ever see any reports that I think, my recollection is that 63 65

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE were presented to you during the negotiations to acquire Ameriquest expressing concern over the loan qualities, loan quality of the files at Ameriquest?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I don't remember it, but I am sure in the context of it. Remember, we were going to buy something that had a history of problems. We weren't buying a gold-plated business. We were buying a business that had difficulties. And we were buying, trying to buy it because it was inexpensive reflecting those difficulties. So, I am comfortable without recalling it that there was a strong examination of the loan book and that David and his people were heavily involved in that, but I don't recall it independently.

O In retrospect, was the decision to acquire Ameriquest a wise decision, a wise investment?

A My guess is no. But the honest answer is I don't know what happened to it. I think, I think we bought it in 2007, didn't we? Is that right?

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE higher quality mortgages being made?

A There is much public talk about that. That is a question posed for policy makers.

I think that if you look at the starkest version of it and you look at an institution that at one end of the spectrum originates and holds everything, and you look at the other end of the spectrum at an entity which originates and doesn't hold anything, in the starkest terms, the first one, the originate and hold, has to care more about the quality of assets than the one that originates and doesn't hold. That is at the starkest level.

I don't remember the names of the institutions, but I remember reading about several brokers who originated mortgages, went out of business, sort of fly by night kind of people, and then showed up two weeks later, same guys, different corporate name, originating, so forth. And I read an article that said some of those people now are setting up shop to refinance mortgages.

66

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

68

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q Yes, sir. I believe the transaction closed in September of 2007.

Yeah. Well, it wasn't part of the company very long on my watch, so I am not sure whether it was good or bad.

O Do you want to take a break? MR. KARP: Sure. That will be fine.

MR. BONDI: Thank you. We will take a break.

(Brief break.)

MR. BONDI: We will go back on record.

BY MR. BONDI:

Q I wanted to get your thoughts on the concept of originate-to-hold versus originate-to-distribute mortgages.

Because earlier we talked about how Citigroup's mortgage origination generally held these mortgages in their portfolio.

Do you think that an originate-to-hold model versus an originate-to-distribute model results in

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE If you think about that at that level, then I think that the point is well taken. There is another category of institutions that originate and distribute where the institutional consequences of distributing bad products are quite negative.

So, take Lehman brothers as an example or Bear Stearns as example. These are firms where there is an institutional interest, or there was an institutional interest, in continuing to do business, in continuing to have a good reputation as a counter party.

And for institutions like that, I would say those folks would be one step removed from the starkest version of originate and distribute, doesn't necessarily care about the quality of the products.

So, clearly the more you hold, the more you are going to care about it. But I don't think it is an either/or necessarily, I think it could be either/or or maybe a little bit in the middle.

69

1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2	Q I would like to show you what has	2	of so-called super senior tranches of
3	been marked as Exhibit 4.	3	transactions."
4	(Thereupon, the presentation	4	In the time frame of April 2007
5	Bates Citi 7657 was marked CR	5	to June 2007 when this presentation was
6	Exhibit 4 for Identification, as of	6	given to the SEC, were you aware that the
7	this date.)	7	CDO desk at Citi had exposure to subprime
8	BY MR. BONDI:	8	collateral in ABS CDOs through its purchase
9	Q And for the record, I will	9	of so called super senior tranches of
10	identify that it is Bates Citi 7657 and it	10	transactions?
11	was produced by Citigroup's lawyers to us.	11	A I don't recall having that
12	First of all, just looking at the cover	12	information then, no.
13	page, do you recall if you ever received or	13	Q You weren't aware that Citigroup
14	saw this presentation?	14	had super senior positions on its books in
15	A I have seen it. Can I say I have	15	April or June of 2007?
16	seen it in preparation?	16	A No, I don't think so.
17	MR. BIRENBOIM: Yes.	17	Q The next sentence says, "The
18	THE WITNESS: Do I violate	18	probability of default is deemed by rating
19	something if I say that?	19	agencies to be extremely small, so this
20	MR. BIRENBOIM: No.	20	exposure is not aggregated in our totals."
21	THE WITNESS: I have seen it in	21	In the time period of April
22	preparation.	22	through June of 2007, would you have been
23	BY MR. BONDI:	23	aware that the exposure from the super
24	Q Prior to preparing for today's	24	senior tranches were not aggregated in your
25	interview, did you ever see this document?	25	totals?
	70		72
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE A I don't recall seeing it before	2	A No, I don't think I had any
	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no.		A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of
2 3 4	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what	2 3 4	A No, I don't think I had any
2	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no.	2 3 4 5	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of
2 3 4	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page	2 3 4 5 6	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was	2 3 4 5	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September.
2 3 4 5 6	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page	2 3 4 5 6	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was	2 3 4 5 6 7	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"? Q Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to liquidity puts. In the time period of April
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"? Q Yes, sir. A Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to liquidity puts. In the time period of April to June 2007, were you aware of liquidity puts associated with asset backed commercial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"? Q Yes, sir. A Thanks. Q If you look in the section, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to liquidity puts. In the time period of April to June 2007, were you aware of liquidity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"? Q Yes, sir. A Thanks. Q If you look in the section, the bullet, direct your attention to the bullet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to liquidity puts. In the time period of April to June 2007, were you aware of liquidity puts associated with asset backed commercial paper which was associated with super senior tranches of CDOs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"? Q Yes, sir. A Thanks. Q If you look in the section, the bullet, direct your attention to the bullet titled. "Super Senior and Liquidity Put Positions."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to liquidity puts. In the time period of April to June 2007, were you aware of liquidity puts associated with asset backed commercial paper which was associated with super senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"? Q Yes, sir. A Thanks. Q If you look in the section, the bullet, direct your attention to the bullet titled. "Super Senior and Liquidity Put Positions." The first subparagraph there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to liquidity puts. In the time period of April to June 2007, were you aware of liquidity puts associated with asset backed commercial paper which was associated with super senior tranches of CDOs? A I would give you the same answer, which is no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I don't recall seeing it before that, no. Q If you could take a look at what is the third page marked 7659, which looks to be another title page, another cover page from perhaps the earlier time this was presented, do you recognize this presentation or receiving this presentation? A I would give you the same answer. Q I ask you to flip to page seven of this presentation, which I will represent was the presentation given to the SEC in June of 2007. A So I am clear, page seven is "Overview, Where Exposure is Found"? Q Yes, sir. A Thanks. Q If you look in the section, the bullet, direct your attention to the bullet titled. "Super Senior and Liquidity Put Positions."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No, I don't think I had any exposure to or discussion of or knowledge of the area until it sort of came up in September. MS. BUERGEL: To be clear, the totals being referred to there are the totals in the deck, in this presentation, and I think your question implied your totals as in your Citigroup totals, and I just think that is an unfair way to ask the question. The totals are the totals in this deck. BY MR. BONDI: Q The next paragraph refers to liquidity puts. In the time period of April to June 2007, were you aware of liquidity puts associated with asset backed commercial paper which was associated with super senior tranches of CDOs? A I would give you the same answer, which is no.

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 owned super senior positions in CDO 3 tranches? 4 A I think that the first time it 5 came to my attention as any kind of an issue 6 would have been in the September 2007 time 7 frame. As I said earlier in the summer, the 8 focus as it related to the corporate 9 investment bank, which again was only one 10 part of the company -- we had a lot of things going on in the company, but as far 11 12 as it related to that part of the company, 13 the focus was on the leveraged lending 14 portfolio. And I think the issues of the 15 CDOs and super seniors so forth came up as 16 any kind of an issue was in September, came 17 up to me as any kind of an issue. 18 19

O Prior to September 2007, were vou even aware that Citigroup held positions in super senior tranches of CDOs?

A No.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q There is reference here to liquidity puts?

A Uh-huh.

Was your understanding of

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

74

A Yes, that was probably later than September. The CDOs were the first things that came up and then the liquidity puts came up afterwards.

Q What was your reaction when you heard about the liquidity puts?

A Well, it is hard to give an "as of then" answer because so much has happened since which has colored that. But if can, I will put it in a little context.

The banks, financial institutions, are in the business of providing liquidity. It is not at all unusual for a financial institution to provide a liquidity support, one of the main lending instruments for a bank is a commercial paper back-up line. So all of the major companies in the U.S., the manufacturing company, industrial companies, fund themselves through commercial paper. And they take out back-up lines from banks to guarantee that if the commercial paper market seizes up, they can go borrow money from the banks. Commercial paper back-up

76

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE liquidity puts in this context? Do you have one?

A Well, as I have come to understand it since, a liquidity put is something which obligates the company, obligates the bank, to fund someone if they can't find liquidity to fund it themselves.

So, in this case if someone owned something and was funding it through selling commercial paper to the outside world and for some reason they couldn't sell the commercial paper, then Citigroup would be obligated to provide the funding for them.

Now, that is a general answer. And that is the level of my understanding of it. There may be more detailed complications mechanically, but that is my understanding of it.

Q When did you first become aware that there were liquidity puts associated with commercial paper sold by Citigroup relating to the super senior tranches of CDOs? Would that have been September 2007 as well? 75

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

lines. Every bank issues them, every major company has them to back up their commercial paper issuance. It is a way of getting the benefit of the lower cost of short-term funding without taking the risk of the short-term funding.

From the bank's standpoint, the risk of the liquidity guarantee for commercial paper being called upon is quite low. And so you make some money off of that, but you don't have a huge exposure.

So, the notion of a liquidity guarantee extended someone else is not an unusual notion, not one which is strange or which would cause one's eyebrows to raise.

In the context of the CDO business of course it turned out to be quite a negative. But at the time this first came to my attention in the September time frame, even at that point people believed that the super seniors would not have any losses.

So, again, I am reconstructing what happened in September for you because sitting here today looking backwards, you

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 would have an entirely different view. But finely, meaning that I have had so much --3 in reconstructing what happened then, the 3 it has been such an issue since then, and 4 notion that there were these better than 4 all of the information and the conversations 5 5 triple A rated instruments out there, that have telescoped, that I can't reconstruct in 6 6 we were providing liquidity support for, my mind whether in late September when 7 those words would not have excited anyone. 7 people first talked to me about this they 8 As it turned out, when the rating 8 said and the number is X. I can't 9 9 agencies downgraded everything multiple reconstruct that, I am sorry. 10 steps in a single several days, that changed 10 Q Take me back to September 2007 the world. But when it first came up, I 11 11 when you were first told about the super senior tranches. Who told you? 12 don't remember it being a moment of great 12 13 excitement. 13 A My best recollection is that at 14 14 one of our Business Heads meetings Tom O When you talk about it first 15 coming up, that was in September? 15 Maheras brought it up. 16 A To me, yes, first coming up to me 16 Q Why did Mr. Maheras bring it up? A I can't put myself in his head. 17 17 in September. I don't know the reason for that. 18 O September 2007? 18 19 A Yes, middle. As I said I think 19 Again, as I am reconstructing 20 the CDO issue came up in the middle of 20 that time period, we had spent several 21 September. The liquidity puts came up a 21 months talking and focussing on the 22 week or so later. 22 leveraged lending book. And, again, it is a 23 Q If you could flip to the page 11 23 little bit of speculation on my part, but my 24 of this deck, which is Bates Citi 7673. 24 guess is that in that context, Tom said at 25 A Yes. 25 one of the meetings, you know, we should 78 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 Q Direct your attention to the also think about the CDO book. He brought 3 3 it up then. That is my recollection of how first part where it is under super senior 4 4 book, the second dash. it came up. 5 "This so-called super senior 5 Q As you sit here today, what 6 6 precisely do you remember Mr. Maheras saying tranche is viewed by the rating agencies to 7 7 have an extremely low probability of about the super senior tranches? 8 8 default, less than 0.01 percent." A Again, I can't reconstruction it 9 9 Was that what was represented to because so much has happened. The intensity 10 10 vou in September 2007 from persons at of the next 30 days, more or less, sort of 11 Citigroup? 11 collapses everything in my mind. And I 12 12 A I am going to give you a long don't -- because it wasn't presented at the 13 answer that is yes. The long answer is I 13 time in a startling fashion, you know, you 14 don't know whether they used these words, I 14 remember things if they startle you, if they 15 don't know whether they used this percentage 15 surprise you, if they stand out in your 16 16 number of one basis point, but the context memory. 17 of this sentence is what was represented. 17 This one didn't start that way. 18 O Direct your attention to the 18 This was: Let me also mention this. And 19 fifth dash down under that section super 19 then it got bigger and bigger and bigger 20 20 senior book, states: "Our current open obviously over the next 30 days. So if you 21 position as 14.6 billion." 21 look back to the first one, there is nothing 22 22 Do you recall in September 2007 about that first conversation which with 23 being told the amount of the open position 23 stand out in your mind. 24 on super seniors that Citigroup held? 24 Q At what point did the super 25 25 senior positions cause you alarm? A I can't reconstruct it that 79

81

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

A Well, let me, if I can, turn around at the end and back it up. It might be an easier way to answer the question.

The super seniors really, the whole process around the super seniors really collapsed when the rating agencies downgraded, all the rating agencies down graded thousands of securities from triple A to basically junk in a day or two. And that, that kind of collapse in the judgment of the rating agencies was a precipitous, meaning like a big explosion.

If you back up from that, that was as I recall middle of September kind of time frame, middle of October kind of time frame. If you back up from that a week or so, so maybe the first week of October, we were engaged in a dialogue -- me, Tom Maheras, several of our other people -- of a round of almost daily telephone calls and meetings, what is happening in the market as it relates to these kinds of securities. And those meetings or telephone calls would involve myself, Tom Maheras, Randy Barker,

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE as we went along and I was more and more uncomfortable with this and more and more uncomfortable with Tom's conclusion on ultimate valuations, that is when I really began to have some very serious concerns about what was going to happen.

Q What was making you feel more and more uncomfortable with Tom Maheras' conclusion that Citigroup would never lose a penny on its super seniors?

A Well, the external environment during those 30 days, three or four weeks time frame, it was obviously continuing to deteriorate and all of the, all of the indicators that I could see that related to certain trading indices, what other people in the industry were to doing, et cetera, et cetera, you know, as you look around the world, the things you see happening suggested a further deterioration. And Tom and Randy and others were pretty resolute that we were thinking about this in the wrong way, that these instruments had been structured in way that they would hold their

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE several of their people at a lower level in the organization. Bob Rubin was in a number of them. Bob Druskin was in a number of them. Lou Kaden was in a number of them. This is in the couple of weeks, as I recall it, ten days before the rating agency downgrades.

During that period of time, I had convened these daily meetings and calls because I was more uncomfortable with the situation. And yet during that period of time, Tom had said and said till his last day at work: We are never going to lose a penny on these super seniors. We are never going to a lose penny on these super seniors. And of course we were going through accounting closings, you would close a month, you would close a quarter and so forth.

And when you do that, you have to engage in certain decision-making processes as it relates to valuations. And so there was a natural, driven by the calendar, a natural internal dialogue about this. And

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE triple A value, that we wouldn't lose any money, I would say leading right up to when the rating agencies collapsed.

Q For the record, Mr. Maheras, at the time he said this, was the CEO of the investment bank?

A I think at that point Tom and, Tom Maheras and a fellow named Michael Klein were co-CEOs of the investment bank, corporate and investment bank.

Michael focused on the investment banking side of the house. And Tom Maheras focused on the fixed income or trading side -- fixed income equities, sort of the trading side of the house versus the investment bank side of the house.

Q You mentioned Randy. Is that Randy Barker?

A Yes, sorry.

Q What was Mr. Barker's role in September 2007?

A As best I can recollect it, Barker was the head of the fixed income business under Maheras. So, again, I'll

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 make sure I am as clear as I can be. 3 Maheras and Klein were co-heads 4 of an entity and the entity basically had 5 two halves and Klein had the half which was 6 the investment banking side and the 7 corporate lending side. And Maheras had 8 the, all the trading businesses. So fixed 9 income and equities and commodities and so 10 forth. 11 And under Maheras, then, on this 12 side of the -- there were various 13 businesses. As I membered, fixed income, 14 equities, commodities, rates and currencies 15 and so forth. And I think that Barker was 16 the head of the fixed income part of that 17 trading side of the business. Maheras had 18 been the head of the fixed income business 19 before he stepped up to being the co-head of 20 the whole thing. 21 **Q** In terms of this belief that 22 Citigroup was not going to lose any money on 23 its super senior CDO positions, that was a 24 belief that was shared by Mr. Maheras and 25 Mr. Barker? **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 2

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE these positions, this -- somebody says this might be an issue or this indicator suggests it might be an issue or something like that, but don't react to that. We are not going to lose any money on these positions; they are good.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

86

Q Your reference to the last day. When was the last day?

A Well, Tom left the business in the second week in October, I think, something like that, of 2007?

Q And then following that time period, the belief that Citigroup was not going to lose money on super senior positions was no longer expressed within the organization? I am just trying to get a sense --

A I think what happened after that was that we changed management when Tom left and then basically over the -- then I think either just before he left or just after he left, the rating agencies collapsed and then there was a fairly short period of time, maybe it was a week, maybe it was ten days, 88

A I believe so, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Who else shared that belief? 0

Gosh, I don't know. There were I am sure other people inside fixed income who shared that belief. I am not sure that anyone else of the people that I mentioned on these calls and meetings that I set up that were outside of the fixed income business ever expressed that. I think that was pretty well contained to the fixed income business, but of course they understood it better than, or were supposed to understand it better than the rest of us.

O How early in September would Mr. Maheras have shared this belief that Citigroup was not going to lose any money? Was that contemporaneous with the first time he presented the super senior positions as being on the books to you, or was that at a different time?

A What I remember about that is that throughout the entire time period we discussed this, meaning till the last day and any time before that, it was here are

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE during which the analysis of the positions vis-à-vis the new lowered ratings was done up and then that led to the time when I resigned.

O When Mr. Maheras first expressed to you that Citigroup was not going to lose a penny on its super senior CDOs, did you ask to see any stress testing?

A I can't -- I can't reconstruct the time frame during which we did that because as we were going through the end of September and closing the books, there was some stress testing done by Gary Crittenden and others working with Maheras.

I am confident, without remembering, that the first time Tom brought it up, he didn't say and here's a stress test to prove what I'm saying. I mean, he didn't do that. But whether or not a couple days later or a week later there was stress testing or whether that was two or three weeks, later I can't separate those in my mind.

But it was not a situation where

89

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
the first time it came up at our Business
Heads meeting, if that is how I recall it,
he would have had a big, you know, brief in
support, it wouldn't have been that kind of
a situation.

Q When Maheras first said that

Q When Maheras first said that Citigroup was not going to lose a penny on its super senior position, was Mr. Bushnell present?

A It was a Business Heads meeting. David would have been at the meeting. Whether he was absent that day for some reason, whether he was out of the room or not, I can't remember. But it was at a meeting at which David would have been present.

Q Do you ever recall Mr. Bushnell expressing his belief on the super senior positions?

A I think that David -- David was part of these daily telephone calls and meetings we had during that interim period. So, again, I can't reconstruct carefully enough the first time Tom mentioned it

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q What was the nature of Mr. Maheras' departure from Citigroup?

A I have actually tried to reconstruct that in my mind, and it is a little fuzzy around the edges. But here's the essence of it.

There came a point in time when it was my judgment that Randy Barker should leave the company. And that judgment was based on my view that we were going to end up losing money on these positions and that someone else should manage the process of recovering what we could from that issue. It is very customary in the banking world that if someone makes a loan, any kind of a loan, and it goes bad, that the work out of that loan should be managed by someone other than the person who put it on the books, someone who is objective and doesn't have any history with it, so on. And so that was my judgment, that that should happen.

Maheras and Barker were quite close personally. And I would say it is fair to report that Tom resisted that. But

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE versus the next day versus the next day.

But I think if you take the 30 days from mid September to mid October, clearly by the time sort of the second week in October was coming along, so two thirds of the way through their process, we are in these daily meetings and calls and so forth, I think David was cautioning that I am not sure Tom is right about this. I can't remember him saying those exact words so I am not suggesting that.

But the tonality, if I can use that word, of the people was Tom and Randy were resolute, you know, don't, don't do something here which you are going regret, you not going to lose money on those things. Don't take a huge write down or a big reserve. And I would say that Gary Crittenden and David Bushnell -- if I can put it sort of at the other end of the figurative table -- were saying, you know, I am not sure that is right; I think we should test this a little bit more. It was that kind of a dynamic.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
I insisted that that be the case. And as part of the restructuring process that resulted from Barker leaving the company, so not that day but within several days afterwards, Maheras came to me and said that he thought he did not want to continue. And those were the circumstances of him leaving.

Q So it was your decision to terminate Mr. Barker?

A Yes.

Q Did you consult anyone else on the decision to terminate Mr. Barker?

A I am sure I did. I am sure I talked to Druskin, I am sure I talked to Rubin, I am sure I talked to a number of people, but it was my decision, not theirs.

Q And did you decide to terminate anyone else in connection with this CDO business?

A I don't remember anybody else that I took action on personally, no. I mean I know a number of people were terminated, but I don't think anyone else I acted on personally.

			l l
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2	Q I want to ask you about the	2	was now back on Citi's books?
3	liquidity puts that are also referenced in	3	A I am not sure whether that
4	this document.	4	happened when I was there or not. I mean
5	Can you tell me what you recall	5	there was quite a discussion about taking
6	about the time you first were told about the	6	these things back on to Citi's books, but I
7	liquidity puts associated with the asset	7	thought that happen after I left.
8	backed commercial paper issued from the,	8	Q I would like to show you another
9	secured by the super senior tranche?	9	document which has been marked number five.
10	A I can't really. Again, I want to	10	(Thereupon, the documents Bates
11	put it in the context of what was happening.	11	Citi FCIC 24594 were marked CR
12	We spent the summer thinking	12	Exhibit 5 for Identification, as of
13	about the leveraged lending book. Then in	13	this date.)
14	the middle of September Tom Maheras says	14	BY MR. BONDI:
15	well, you know, we also have to think a	15	Q I am showing you what has been
16	little bit about these CDOs and so forth.	16	produced to us by Citigroup, it is Bates
17	And then that sort of the separate channel	17	Citi FCIC 24594 and it is some attachments
18	started happening. And then my best	18	along with a cover e-mail from a Christina
19	recollection is that a week or two later	19	Pretto to you dated July 30, 2007.
20	somebody said well, the CDOs are X, but also	20	First, who is Christina Pretto?
21	have liquidity puts relating to the CDOs.	21	A She was one of our PR people.
22	But it in my mind sort of folded into the	22	Q Do you recall receiving this
23	same issue, and it wasn't as if a third	23	e-mail on or about June 30, 2007?
24	train of activity went along as compared to	24	A I don't.
25	it just being a larger second train of	25	Q I would like to turn your
	94		96
1	I	1	L.A
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2	activity if that makes sense to you.	2 3	attention to the second attachment here,
3	So, it is not as if somebody came	4	second attachment to the e-mail. It is
4	up one day and said ah, liquidity puts, and	5	Bates Citi FCIC 24607 and it is a Power
5	I said oh, liquidity puts. It wasn't that	6	Point deck entitled Tracking the Twin Storms
6	sort of a moment. It was sort of adding on	7	Catalyst of Market Volitity. A Got it.
7 8	to existing discussion.	8	
9	Q When do you recall being informed	9	Q Do you recognize this document, sir?
	or were you informed about the size of the	10	~ '
10 11	exposure associated with the liquidity puts?	11	A I am sure I have seen it, but I can't remember in what context I saw it, I
12	A I can't remember the specifics of when that number was put on the table or not	12	
13	*	13	am sorry. Q Do you recall seeing it during
14	put on the table.	14	your tenure as CEO at Citigroup?
15	Q Do you recall ever being eventually told of the number associated	15	A I am sorry, I can't place it as
16	with that?	16	to whether I saw it then or whether I saw it
17		17	
18	, ,	18	during preparation.
19	have read all the papers and I know what	19	Q I would like to draw your
20	happen and so forth. But I can't	20	attention to the first page of the
21	reconstruction in my mind a particular time when someone came in and said here's the	21	presentation on the executive summary. A Yes.
22		22	
23	number and that sticks out in my mind.	23	Q The third bullet down states,
23 24	Q The commercial paper that was associated with the liquidity puts, do you	24	"The value of investment grade CDOs built up out of subprime mortgages has declined
		4	THE THE SHEET HER THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE STREET
クロ		I	<u> </u>
25	recall being told that the commercial paper	25	dramatically leading to significant losses.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
Total damage has been estimated at 50 to 100 billion."

Do you recall having the do you

Do you recall having the, do you recall hearing that statement or reading that statement in or about July 30, 2007?

A I don't, but the -- it was clear that in the summer of 2007, many of the CDOs had, many parts of the tranches of the CDOs were declining in value. I want to distinguish that between the super seniors. Super seniors, at that point no one thought had any issues. In fact, no one thought those had any issues until October. But it is clear that some of the lower tranches had declined in value by the summer. So, do I remember seeing that at the time, no. I mean I see it on the piece of paper now.

MR. KARP: Just so the record is clear, because the quote would not revealed this, this is for the entire industry.

A For the entire industry.

Q I think the presentation in fact is titled Catalyst of Market Volatility.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE thinking much more broadly about how would it affect the real estate business generally, how would it affect our consumer business, which has an originate and hold strategy. The parts of the CDOs that would be affected based on our thinking at the time wouldn't be things that we owned at all. So, the answer to your question is no, but it is a very long no.

Q So, in the summer of 2007, it was your understanding that you did not, you meaning Citigroup, did not hold the lower tranches of CDOs that were being affected?

A That was my understanding, yes.

Q In the summer of 2007, did you ever ask Mr. Maheras or any of his colleagues in the investment bank, what tranches do we hold or what is our exposure?

A You know, in hindsight that is an excellent question. At the time, it wouldn't have occurred to anyone to do that. And if someone had asked the question, the answer that would have been given wouldn't have in any way given you different

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

In the summer of 2007, did it case you any concern that there were significant losses looming in the CDO business in general? When I am talking about business, I am talking about industry business, not necessarily Citi specific.

A At the time, people believed that the housing market would decline to a certain degree. Without having read the document, you can see in the little box on this page under "consumer" that it says, "Subprime foreclosure delinquency will likely impact less than one percent of U.S. housing stock."

And at the time, people believed that the housing market would be affected in ways where some of the lower or lowest of the tranches of CDOs would be affected. I think at the time no one had any or I know at the time no one had any idea or hint that the housing decline would be as significant or as broad as it turned out to be. So, your question was about CDOs, I didn't think about CDOs at that point in time. We were

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE information.

I believe it is true that not only the risk function, but the management of the business believed that our holdings and our activities were a hundred percent mark to market on a daily basis, so that we had no nonmarked risk.

I believe that at the time people felt that there were almost literally no scenarios under which the super seniors could be touched, so it wouldn't have occurred to anyone either to ask the question or to volunteer the information to say now don't forget, we also have these super seniors which are triple A and there is no chance in the world that they will ever be touched. That wouldn't have come up in a normal context.

Looking back, I mean it is very easy to see that is the one issue that you should have asked. I understand that. But at the time, you have to deal with what was reality at the time and whether it was the presentation that you just showed me to the

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 SEC or the internal dynamics of marking to O If I could get you look at one 3 3 additional page on this document here, which market, the super seniors were not thought 4 4 to be of subprime character, as I have gone is the next page, 24609. 5 5 back now and looked at that time. A Yes. 6 6 Obviously at the time it wasn't a Q And it says, the title, Tracking 7 topic of discussion. But as I looked at it 7 the Twin Storms. Highlighting the 8 in hindsight, it is clear that people didn't 8 Parallels. And to your counsel's point, I 9 9 think of it as being in that character or believe this is describing the market as a 10 that category at all. 10 whole? 11 So, your question was did anyone 11 A Yes. 12 examine Tom Maheras on what the other 12 Q There is a section on the left, 13 categories were we hold and how do you think 13 the subprime mortgages, it says, "Which securities are involved?" It says, "CDOs 14 about it and so forth, that wasn't part of a 14 15 real world situation at the time. 15 built out of subprime mortgages." 16 16 O In the summer of 2007 when events At the time you got this 17 17 presentation in July of '07, summer of '07, were unfolding in the market as described in 18 this presentation, did you ask anyone at 18 did you realize that Citi had CDOs that were 19 Citigroup to run any stress test to see how 19 built out of subprime mortgages? 20 20 is Citi going to fair if events unfold in A You know, I can't honestly 21 21 the market, if things deteriorate in the recall. As I said, the first time that CDOs 22 22 came up as any kind of an issue for us, any market? 23 A I didn't have to. People ran 23 kind of a problem or concern, was in the mid 24 stress tests all the time. There is an 24 September time frame. This was I think 25 index of -- and I can't remember the name of 25 talking about the industry as a whole. I 102 104 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 it -- of housing prices around the country 2 2 don't remember anybody saying: And don't 3 3 which is used by everyone. forget, Chuck, we got some of these as well. 4 4 O ABX? I don't remember that as well. 5 5 MS. BUERGEL: Case-Shiller. MR. LERNER: For the record, I 6 6 A Case-Shiller. And people would don't think he said he remembered 7 7 run stress tests off of that. And they receiving it at that time. 8 would say okay, if Case-Shiller is down five 8 MR. BONDI: I understand that. 9 9 percent, what does it mean? If it is down Q I was asking you if you 10 10 three percent what does it mean? If it is understood at the time, though, generally, 11 down five percent in so many cities, what 11 not based on this presentation, but 12 does it mean and so forth? And I remember 12 generally the CDOs that Citigroup had were 13 vividly David and others saying to me -- and 13 built out of subprime mortgages? 14 14 I remember vividly the conversation. I A I am not sure that I at that 15 15 don't remember what time frame, but it could point in time even had any specific 16 easily have been in this time frame. People 16 understanding of our, of the mechanics of 17 saying to me: Gosh, housing rises would 17 our CDO business. This was, this was a very 18 have to go down 30 percent nationwide for us 18 small part of one part of one division of 19 to have, not a problem with CDOs, but for us 19 the company. I mean, we had a large 20 to have, quote, problems. And that has 20 business. There would be no reason, unless 21 never happened since the Depression. I 21 I happened to have worked in the fixed 22 remember it vividly, those conversations. 22 income business, for me to have any 23 So, it wasn't a situation where I 23 familiarity with that detailed level of 24 could have commissioned stress tests. We 24 product activity.

105

On this same page, there is a

103

25

had stress tests all the time.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE section called, looks like What Went Wrong? Under subprime mortgages it says, "Lax lending standards, high LTVs, piggyback loans, low or no doc and teaser rates."

Are the description of the mortgage types on this presentation here the types of mortgage products that we talked about earlier in connection with your Greenlining Institutional speech that you had some concerns about?

A Some yes and some no. The ones I mentioned earlier as examples of exotic mortgages were the negative amortization and the adjustable ARMs, which have to do fundamentally with how much you are required to pay back on a current basis. And by deferring how much you have to pay on a current basis, you are really betting on home price appreciation rather than the ability of the borrower to pay.

These items that are referred to here, some of these relate to that and some don't: So teaser rates or a category of ARM mortgages, but they are not necessarily Interview - CHARLES PRINCE the old days, you would have an 80 percent LTV. You couldn't borrow more than 80 percent of the value of the house. And then it would go to 90 percent, then 95 percent and then a hundred percent. In some cases people were doing 105 and 110 percent LTV. And so that is a different issue. It is a related issue.

1 2

And if you put them altogether, that is really the worst possibility. If you have something where the borrower says I make so much money, but they really don't, and you have a situation where the appraisal says it is worth a hundred thousand dollars and it really isn't. And then you are lending 110 percent LTV against an inflated LTV, then you are really in trouble. And that is basically, you know, if you pick an example of a horrible loan, at the end of the process, you had borrowers who had no income borrowing 105 or 110 percent of an inflated LTV value, and then walking away from the loan, and not surprisingly the loan didn't have much value.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE adjustable ARMs. Adjustable ARMs are you the borrower can decide how much you want to pay fundamentally.

A teaser rate could be that, or it could be simply it is two percent for the first 12 months and then it jumps to 12 percent. So you don't get to decide. It is an automatic, it is a formulaic change. So it could be similar or it could be different.

A low or no doc loan is one that doesn't have to do necessarily with you the borrower deciding how much you can pay back on a current basis, but could simply be that you say you have so much income and you don't have so much income. Or the appraisal saying that the house is worth a hundred thousand dollars and it is really only worth \$50,000. So it could be a different category of issue than the ability to pay issue.

High LTVs and piggyback loans basically go to how much of the value of the house is loaned against. Some of the -- in Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q Mr. Prince, is it fair to say based on what you testified earlier that during your tenure at Citigroup, you wouldn't have known if any of these mortgage types high LTV, big piggyback loans, no or low doc or teaser rates were somehow in the CDOs that Citigroup had created?

A Well, I think that is correct.
The reason I am hesitating a tiny bit in answering is I think at the very end, in the last week or so of my tenure, there were some suggestions that there was a little more of this, not a lot, but a little more of this in some of our originations or some of our CDO products than I had understood before. But if you take that caveat, that one week a little more information, a little more -- if you take that caveat to your question, the answer would be yes.

Q I am going to ask you, sir, to take a look at another document.

I am going to show you what has been produced to us by Citigroup, Citi FCIC E 24768. And it is an e-mail chain. At the

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 1 2 2 top of the e-mail chain is an e-mail from 3 3 you to Mr. Druskin dated August 3rd, 2007. 4 4 (Thereupon, the e-mail chain 5 5 Bates Citi FCIC E 24768 was marked CR 6 6 Exhibit 6 for Identification, as of 7 7 this date.) 8 8 BY MR. BONDI: 9 Q It is responding to an earlier 9 10 e-mail to you from Mr. Druskin. 10 11 First, at the bottom of this 11 12 e-mail, let's talk about the first e-mail 12 13 here, there is an e-mail from William 13 14 14 Kister. 15 15 A Yes. 16 Q Looks to a distribution list, and 16 17 17 it is titled Citi Markets and Banking 18 18 Revenue Highlights for August 2nd, 2007. 19 19 A Yes. 20 Q And July Update. 20 21 First off, would is William 21 22 Kister? 22 23 A I have no idea. I am sure he is 23 24 24 a very valuable employee of the company, 25 25 though. 110 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 1 2 Q Is this an e-mail that you 2 to the standards of your earnings estimates. 3 3 received? 4 4 A Yes. This is our daily report. 5 The markets and banking business would, 5 6 6 because of the kind of business it is, had 7 7 to close their books every day and so every 8 day you would get the results from the day 8 securities business. 9 9 before of activity. And so we would get one 10 of these every day. This one happened to be 10 11 for that particular day. 11 12 Q And you would get these e-mails 12 13 on a daily basis during your entire tenure 13 14 14 as CEO? 15 15 A Yes. 16 Q Were these e-mails that you would 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have read on a daily basis?

A You bet, you bet.

you respond, "Worse."

A Yes.

Q Looks like Mr. Druskin in

response to this e-mail says to you, "This

looks like water torture, just awful." And

were you referring to in your response, if

What was he referring to and what

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE you know what he is referring to and what are vou referring to?

A The -- there are some unusual aspects to running a securities business, both in the way that people participate and in the way you manage the business.

In most businesses, you have a budget forecast, you do certain activities to generate revenue, you try to hold down your costs, you try to make a profit. And most of those activities, if you are building refrigerators or something, have some level of predictability to them, some level of direction to them. You have long term contracts with suppliers, you have long term contracts with customers, you have an ability to see into the future a little bit.

In the securities business, your ability to see is measured on a daily basis. You have a budget for the year, you have a budget for the month, for the quarter. You have analysts who are predicting what you are going to make without knowing what the markets are going to do. And you are held

112

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

So, today as we sit here there is an earnings estimate out for Citi that says at the end of the first quarter, their earnings ought to be X. And a large part of Citi's earnings today relate to the

The people who put those analyst estimates together have no idea whether the markets are going to be up, down or sideways between now and the end of March and yet they have estimates out there. So on a day-by-day basis, inside the company, your reviewing the progress of your business day by day by day against your budget, against the outside world's estimates and some days the markets will be way up and you make lots of money and you feel great. And some days the markets are way down and you lose lots of money and you feel awful.

So, on this particular day if you look at the first line of the first e-mail in the chain under "daily commentary," it says, "Fixed income markets lost \$66

113

111

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE million, \$100 million below forecast. Meaning that we for that day had hoped to make 166 million I guess, right? No, if we -- we hoped to make \$34 million that day, more or less, and instead we lost \$66 million that day. All right? And then there are a series of sub-bullets below that which break to fixed income business down by components.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then you go down a little bit and you see the next heading is fixed income underwriting, gained \$2 million, but that is still 6.8 million below forecast. And then the next heading was equity markets made almost \$6 million, but that is still \$9 million below forecast. So, when you put the whole thing together -- is there a total at the bottom? I haven't read these in a long time. Here it is at the top.

The revenue for August 2nd, day 2 of 23 -- so we are measuring it for the month -- was a loss of \$49 million, 162 million below forecast. Month to date revenue -- so again we are measuring how we 114 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q But were you concerned about conditions more broadly than just that day in this August time frame here? Were you concerned more generally about the direction that the market was heading?

A Well, sure is the answer. But again I would ask you to put this in context.

When we reported our results at the end of the second quarter -- so this is now mid-July, early July for the end of the second quarter, we reported both on a quarterly basis the second quarter and for the first six months of the year, the first and second quarters together, the best results in the company's 200-year history, the first quarter of 2007 -- first half of 2007 was the best six months in the 200-year history of the company. This is now 30 days after we reported the results. So was I concerned about where the markets were heading? Sure. But the markets are typically slow in August.

In hindsight of course it got

116

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE are doing against the month's budget was a loss of twenty-five, two hundred fifty one below forecast.

So, this is a daily snapshot that comes out. It came to, it comes to 10 or 11 people, mostly in the corporate banking business and to a couple of us at headquarters. And Druskin got his and said these daily losses are awful; they are like water torture, meaning day after day after day. And I apparently sent back something saying that it wasn't worse than water torture. I can't recall exactly the context in which I said that, but it may be self evident.

Q In other words, this wasn't a typical time period in the market?

A Oh, for all I know, this is day two of 23 and there is a cumulative, for all I know week before that we made a bunch of money. So this is one snapshot on one day.

Q This is reacting to that particular point in time?

A Right.

21 22 23

24

25

115

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE much worse, but I am trying to recollect how I felt at that point in time. We were doing great in the first six months of the year. And in July we recorded those results, we had our annual off-site with the board in July. We as a group, as a company felt very good about where we were. And then August came, it was a little slow. Then in September the leveraged lending thing went a

So I would not see this even recalling it now, this is not a canary in a mine signalling some great calamity coming. It is daily report that Druskin and I both complained gosh, we had a bad day yesterday.

little more south on us, et cetera.

Q What was the, as you put it, the canary in the coal mine? What was it to you that you thought these aren't normal conditions, we are headed to awful times ahead?

A When the rating agencies collapsed.

That was the October time frame?

Mid October. Α

Q 2007.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE What in your view is the significance of the rating agencies to the overall financial crisis?

A Well, I mentioned earlier an overview that I have of the currency flows and the artificially low interest rates, you remember all that.

I think as part of that the increase in structured products resulted in an increase the complexity of financial products almost by definition. The structured products were more complex than simpler products. And I think as investors were looking for enhanced yields in an artificially low yield environment and as the industry created more structured products to try to satisfy that demand, the rating agencies performed an absolutely key go, no-go, kind of role.

If the rating agencies hadn't approved the products, hadn't certified them as it were, people wouldn't have bought them. The more complex the instruments are, the more people rely on the ratings. And so

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q Why is that your belief, though, what is the basis for your belief to say that you didn't believe your team relied exclusively or primarily on ratings?

A Salomon Brothers, Tom Maheras and his team, were widely thought of as the best fixed income house on Wall Street and had been for a long time.

I was frequently told by the regulatory agencies that David Bushnell was the best risk manager on Wall Street. It would have been inconceivable in that circumstance for people with those levels of experience and activity to primarily rely or exclusively rely on some third party to do their due diligence. It is inconceivable to me that would be the case.

Q In July of 2007, in an article with the Financial Times, you were quoted as saying, "When the music stops in terms of liquidity, things will be complicated. But as long as the music is playing, we have got to get up and dance. We are still dancing." What did you mean by that quote?

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE it is two sides of the same coin to say that as products became more complex, ratings became more important.

And as the industry grew in those four, five years in the middle of the decade, the structuring business grew, the ratings became more and more and more important. And that is why when the rating agencies collapsed and downgraded, you know, swathes of securities, not one, not one grades, not two grades, but collapsed them to junk bond status, it destroyed really, it was the precipitating event in the financial crisis.

Q How much did Citigroup rely on ratings for its own decisions with respect to its for instance CDO holdings?

A I don't know the answer to that. I mean I wasn't involved in those decisions. My belief is that the team did not rely exclusively on the ratings, they didn't rely primarily on the ratings because I think our people were better than that. But I don't know is the answer.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

A Well, I thank you at least for the courtesy of using the entire quote. The Financial Times likes that so much and applies it so broadly that they don't put in the first part about liquidity stopping and being complicated.

This was a quote, or part of an interview I gave in Japan, as I recall it, to an FT reporter. And what I was referring to was the leveraged lending business. And you will recall that I said a couple of times that in the summer of 2007, the problem child focus in our corporate and banking business was on leveraged lending.

Let me back up a step there.

In the leveraged lending business, we and other members of Wall Street had been in the business of lending money to the private equity firms. And the private equity firms had pushed the banks to the point where the terms and conditions of that lending were quite favorable to the private equity firms and were quite unfavorable for the banks. And all this has

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 2 2 This is a reference on page 69 of been widely reported. 3 3 The -- and widely recorded in the Secretary Paulson's book, and I do want you 4 context of these were really quite unusual 4 to know I read other books beside Secretary 5 circumstances for the banks to loan money to 5 Paulson's books. 6 6 the private equity firms on these kinds of MR. KARP: I see you read 7 7 Chairman Bernanke's books. terms. 8 8 MR. BONDI: And other, and In talking about this private 9 equity lending business in the context of 9 others. 10 this interview, what I was trying to convey 10 BY MR. BONDI: 11 was the sense that for a number of reasons, 11 **O** Secretary Paulson is referring to 12 it was impossible, in my view, for any one 12 an event, a dinner at the New York Fed in 13 major participant on its own to stop doing 13 June 26th of 2007. About a month or so 14 14 those kinds of loans. Nothing illegal about before this quote it seems like, June 26, 15 15 these loans. The question was were they on 2007. 16 good terms for the lender, were they smart 16 And he recounts this dinner, says 17 for the lender to do? And it was my 17 that you were present. Do you remember 18 judgment then and it is my judgment now that 18 being at a New York Fed dinner on June 26, 19 19 2007 with Secretary Paulson? it was impossible for any individual 20 institution to simply say I am not going to 20 A I don't remember the date, but it 21 21 was common for then Chairman Geithner of the to that anymore. 22 And of course if I had called my 22 New York Fed to have dinners for the Wall 23 counterparts at the other banks and said 23 Street CEOs at which Secretary Paulson or 24 24 let's all say we are not going to do it this others would come. 25 way, smart lawyers would have said you are 25 On the bottom of page 69, he 122 124 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 2 2 going to go to jail if you do that. writes, "Chuck Prince, the Citigroup CEO, 3 So, my notion was -- and I guess 3 asked whether given the competitive 4 4 it was either unartfully phrased or too pressures there wasn't a role for regulators 5 5 artfully phrased that as long as that to tamp down some of the riskier practices." 6 6 situation obtained, as long as the music was Basically, he asked, "Isn't there 7 7 playing, that you had to, you had to dance something you can do to order us not to take 8 8 to that music. You had to be part of that all these risks?" And he goes on to say, 9 9 "Not long after I remember Prince was quoted activity level. Although, I did point out 10 10 as saying: As long as the music is playing, that when this excess liquidity driven 11 situation for the industry changed, it would 11 you have got to get up and dance." 12 12 be complicated. But this was, I want to Do you remember expressing in 13 emphasize, this was all in the context of 13 form or substance to Secretary Paulson this 14 14 question of isn't there something you can do the lending to the private equity firms. It 15 15 to order us not to take all these risks? had nothing to do with the mortgage 16 16 business, it had nothing to do with what A Yes. 17 17 turned out to be CDOs. That was not part of Q Can you elaborate on the context 18 my thinking or on the radar screen at all. 18 of that question? 19 19 A I am not sure I understand your **Q** Appreciate that clarification. 20 Something you said that struck me 20 question. 21 as interesting again from the book that I 21 **Q** What was your conversation that 22 22 referenced earlier from Mr. Paulson. you recall with Mr. Paulson about can't A You marked that up quite a bit, 23 23 regulators do something to prevent us from 24 24 taking all those risks? What was the those tabs. 25 25 context of Mr. Paulson's quote of you and Q You should see my other books.

125

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE this conversation you had, do you recall having a conversation to that nature?

A We were -- Geithner had organized this dinner. And again I am not sure of the exact date, so I am not -- I don't know whether that was the date or not. But there was a dinner in the summer of that year at which almost all of the heads of the various banking and security houses were present. I was present, and Paulson was up to visit to talk to people. It was part of his normal outreach of staying connected with the industry and so forth.

And in the course of that, there was a discussion about the lending to the private equity firms and how some of those terms had, through a process of competition, had gotten to a point where they were really quite unfavorable for the lenders. And I remember expressing my view in this group context that none of us could individually back away from that business for a number of reasons, which I thought were important. And that as a result -- and that we couldn't

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE production. I will represent that it appears that this letter was sent, identical letter was sent to multiple people. There are two cover letters with this particular exhibit; one is to Mr. Rubin and one is to Mr. Thomas. And the letter is, it appears to be signed by you.

First of all, do you recall sending this letter on August 15, 2007?

A Well, you have just handed me something and I haven't read it, but it appears to be the monthly letter that I would send to the board. That is why you have two cover letters, because it would have been sent, an identical letter would have sent to each person on the board. And I would typically send this along either with the materials for the next upcoming board meeting. Or if we didn't have a board meeting coming up, I would simply send a stand alone letter. And this was a practice to find a way to give a little more of a narrative or my thoughts about how the company was doing to our board members

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE agree among ourselves not to do it. And that I thought it was an appropriate role for the regulators, since they recognized this unfavorable situation for the banks and the lenders and that the Fed directly and the Treasury Department perhaps indirectly had some oversight responsibility for the industry, that since we couldn't do it individually, we were prohibited from doing it as a group, that given their mandates, that they ought to consider directing us to tighten up. And that was the context.

Q So again it was in the context of leveraged lending?

A Yes.

Q Mr. Prince, I am showing you another document that was produced by Citigroup, Bates Citi FCIC 4648.

(Thereupon, the document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was marked CR Exhibit 7 for Identification, as of this date.)

A Yes.

Q And I note for the record that there are two identical cover pages in this $$_{127}$$

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

because we sent them so much information for their meetings that there was no overview or there was no context. There wasn't enough of a context, I was concerned.

So, I got into the habit of saying you are going to get a big a stack of materials, but before you read that, here's a, how I am viewing the company and how we are doing right now. That is the context for these letters and this appears to be the one I sent in August of '07.

Q Directing your attention up -first before we to that, Mr. Thomas was a member of the board?

A Yes.

Q And Mr. Rubin was a member of the board, obviously?

A Yes.

Q Page two of the letter which is Bates 4651, draw your to the paragraph that is next to the heading Credit Markets.

A Yes.

Q And you state, "You have read a great deal about the credit markets.

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 Whatever you have read, the reality is As you go through it -- I am just 3 probably worse." 3 sort of skimming the balance of the next 4 4 couple of pages -- you can see that the And then you go on at the end of 5 5 first thing I talk with is leveraged that paragraph to say it is a very difficult 6 6 and dangerous situation with few historical lending. And I have got a couple of 7 precedence. 7 paragraphs on that. And then at the bottom 8 8 of page three, I say, "We have exposures in What was on your mind in terms of 9 this paragraph? And please read the entire 9 both leveraged lending and to a more limited 10 paragraph. I have only drawn two sentences 10 degree in the subprime area. We consciously 11 out of the paragraph. But what was your 11 did not originate these aggressive products, 12 thinking, what was on your mind when you 12 but did purchase some as part of portfolio 13 wrote that paragraph? 13 acquisitions" and so forth. 14 14 A Well, I am not sure I can And so I think this is very 15 15 reconstruct it except to say that I was consistent with what I told you earlier 16 trying to give a context for what they were 16 about in the summer, our primary focus was 17 reading in the papers. And, again, I don't 17 on the leveraged lending or the private 18 have in mind exactly what was in the papers 18 equity lending kind of area. And only in a 19 19 subordinate sense with what was happening in then. But it is clear as I read this 20 paragraph that I was trying to give them a 20 the mortgage markets and there my belief was 21 21 sense that as directors of the company, that we didn't have as much exposure. 22 these are quite difficult issues, quite 22 Q And this was belief because you 23 complicated issues. 23 were not aware at the time of the super And at the time -- this is early 24 24 senior positions? 25 August. At the time, my guess is that what 25 A And frankly, if I had been aware 130 132 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 was in the papers was probably not as -- it of the super senior -- the short is yes, 3 was probably mixed, as is often the case in 3 that is correct. If I had been aware, there 4 4 the papers where you have some people saying is nothing about the super seniors at that 5 5 it is going to be really bad or this could point in time which would have suggested to 6 be quite difficult. And you have other 6 anyone including me that we would have had a 7 7 people saying oh, it is a great buying big loss from this. 8 opportunity and so forth. 8 Q At the time you wrote this 9 9 And what I was trying to give letter, you also weren't aware of the 10 them was a sense that there is really, there 10 liquidity puts and the exposure from the 11 are really issues here that we are looking 11 liquidity puts? A That is correct. 12 at very carefully. 12 13 Q In the next paragraph, you state, 13 O We talked about earlier this twin 14 14 "There are two basic credit issues facing storms presentation that was sent to you on 15 15 the market. The leveraged lending problem e-mail on July 30, 2007. and the subprime problem." 16 16 A Yes. 17 17 Were these the two basic areas **Q** That seems to have been a couple 18 18 weeks before this letter to the board of that you were focused on at the time that 19 this letter was written in August 2007, that 19 August 15, 2007. The twin storms 20 the leveraged lending problem and then the 20 presentation that had been sent to you two 21 subprime problem? 21 weeks earlier on e-mail refers to industry 22 A Well, I guess the short answer is 22 losses and CDOs of 50 to a hundred billion 23 I hope so. If I told the board these were 23 dollars. 24 the two issues, I would hope those were the 24 I didn't notice anywhere in the

133

letter to the board that you mentioned CDOs

131

25

25

ones I was focused on.

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 2 2 or exposure to CDOs. Why not? purchased some as part of the portfolio 3 A Well, again, I doubt if I had in 3 acquisitions." What was your basis to say 4 mind that we had any CDOs at all or any CDO 4 that we, for that statement we did purchase 5 5 some as part of portfolio acquisitions? exposure at all. I think the closest you 6 6 get to that is on the bottom of page three, A I think it is important to -- in 7 that last paragraph there. And again I 7 answering your question I think it is very 8 think this pretty accurately reflects my 8 important to understand the way this 9 9 thinking at the time. I was always very parenthetical is constructed, please. 10 candid with the board. The worse thing you 10 Q Please. A I am going to paraphrase it, if I 11 can do is try to not tell them things. And 11 12 I wanted them to have my thinking as they 12 may. We didn't originate these products in 13 got ready for our board meeting so that when 13 our U.S. consumer business but did purchase 14 they showed up, they at least understood how 14 some as part of portfolio acquisitions. 15 I viewed the issues. And I think this is a 15 That is all referring to the U.S. consumer 16 pretty good, pretty accurate, pretty candid 16 business. And then I turned to capital 17 summary of how I viewed the problem in 17 markets and baking. And in our corporate 18 whatever date this was, mid August. 18 business, we did underwrite mortgage backed 19 O You were chairman of the board at 19 securities, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, 20 the time you wrote this letter? 20 right? 21 21 A I was. So when you referred to purchase 22 **Q** Just to be complete for the 22 some as part of the portfolio acquisitions, 23 record, the paragraph that you referred to 23 that was still in our consumer business. 24 on the bottom of page three, I will just 24 That had nothing to do with the fixed income 25 read it for the record so it is complete. 25 business. 134 136 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 you said, you wrote, "We have exposures in Q I see? 3 3 both leveraged lending and to a more limited A I am sorry to be very specific, but you have to read the sentence very 4 4 degree in the subprime area. (We 5 5 consciously did not originate these carefully that way. 6 6 aggressive products in our U.S. consumer **Q** I appreciate the clarification 7 7 group, but did purchase some as part of and I am glad that you pointed that out. 8 portfolio acquisitions and, in CMB, we did 8 So that part, the some as part of 9 9 underwrite mortgage backed securities our portfolio acquisitions had nothing to do 10 representing subprime products originated by 10 with CMB or fixed income? 11 others.)" 11 A Right. That was our retail 12 12 mortgage business which bought all kinds of Close paren, period. Sorry. 13 Oh, yes, close paren period. 13 mortgages and almost always sold them to Q 14 14 Yes, sir. Fannie and Freddie as part of that whole 15 15 A Old lawyers never die. I am flow of the mortgage business nationally. 16 sorry. 16 Q Appreciate that. Thank you. 17 17 MR. BONDI: Let me mark this Q I just wanted to be complete that 18 18 we got that. eight. 19 A Yes. 19 (Thereupon, the e-mail chain 20 Q What was your basis, though, 20 Bates marked Citi FCIC E 36374 was 21 because earlier -- I am just trying to 21 marked CR Exhibit 8 for 22 22 Identification, as of this date.) understand. 23 Earlier you had testified that 23 BY MR. BONDI: 24 you weren't aware of the super senior 24 Q Mr. Prince, I am showing you what 25 positions, but here you did write, "We 25 was produced by Citigroup and marked Citi 135 137

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE FCIC E 36374. It is an e-mail chain between vourself and Mr. Rubin. And I would like to first direct your attention to the first e-mail in this chain. At the bottom is one from yourself to Mr. Rubin dated Sunday September 9th where you begin with "Dear Bob, welcome back from Korea." A Uh-huh. O There is a section that says a question regarding rescheduling. A Uh-huh. O And the first star says, "Had good first meeting with Tom Maheras," et cetera, "re: CMB results." What are you referring to about "good first meeting" there? A The context of this e-mail chain

A The context of this e-mail chain is that I had set up these meetings, which started as a Tom, I want you and your folks to come and explain to me exactly what the situation is with these securities. I understand your point of view on them, but I want to understand the detail of them very carefully.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
October, I began to be more uncomfortable
with Tom's representations on these issues
and began to press him more on these and to
inquire into more and to say I want
understand this better. I want to be much
more detailed about this and so forth.

This would have been in the context of him mentioning at that Business Heads meeting I mentioned to you. And so that was the context in which I shifted focus from the private equity lending and said okay, I want to really look at this much more carefully. That is how these came about.

Q Were these meetings held in the library outside your office?

A The first couple of them were. Then we had one or two that were downtown where that business is located so that we didn't -- we wanted to have a broader group of people. So if Maheras wanted to bring six or seven of his people, instead of having them all traipse uptown, the three or four of would go downtown. I wanted to see

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

That eventually migrated from a one or two or three sets of meetings to becoming almost a daily update on the markets. But this was at the beginning of the: I want to understand much more carefully than your representation of what is going on here.

And what I am doing here in this bottom e-mail is telling Bob who was in Korea for the first meeting apparently, that we had a good first meeting and I would like to schedule two more follow-ups before our board meeting. And so Bob, what is your schedule like? Druskin is in this place, that place. I want to do it on Wednesday. Can you make the second meeting we are having with Tom and his people?

Q Just so I'm clear, what prompted your desire to have a greater understanding about this business and schedule these meetings? What was the precipitating event or events?

A I think I said earlier that in the time frame of the September into

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE them in person, judge them in that way. And then after a while we began to do them on the telephone as we were more monitoring market activities.

Q Do you recall Mr. Maheras at this first meeting quantifying the exposure to Citigroup associated with CDOs?

A You mean from a position?

O Yes, sir.

A I don't remember. I don't know whether he did or not is what I am saying.

Q The next e-mail up is a response from Mr. Rubin to you dated also September 9th, 2007.

And he says, "According to Lou, Tom never did provide on on clear and direct answer on the super seniors. If that is so, and the meeting did not bring that to on on head, isn't that deeply troubling not as to what happened -- that is a different question that is also troubling, but as to providing full and clear information and analysis now?"

What do you understand Mr. Rubin

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE to have meant by those statements?

A He was reflecting, apparently, on comments from Lou Kaden who was in the meeting and who had worked with Bob Rubin for many, many, many years.

Apparently, Kaden had talked to Rubin after the first meeting that Rubin was not in and had said to Rubin that -- I am just, based on what Bob says here, Kaden must have said that Maheras wasn't a hundred percent forthcoming or something like that. And Bob was reflecting that comment, Kaden's comment to Rubin, back to me.

Q Did you share that belief that Mr. Maheras was not forthcoming?

A Well, the top of the e-mail chain is my response to Rubin, so that really is how I reflected that, how I reacted to that comment.

Q For the record you responded, "I thought for first meeting it was good. We weren't trying to get final answers, so I didn't see Tom avoid any questions. Also, want more back channel with Dave B and he

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE that because he was obviously traveling.

Q Did you ultimately have these as you described it back channel conversations with David Bushnell?

A Yes.

Q And can you tell me what those conversations entailed? What did you ask and what did he say?

A Again, I testified briefly, but I'll do it in more detail.

Throughout this period, it was a continuum, it was an evolving process in which the outside environment suggested that the CDO market or the CDO securities, that the water level would rise on these tranches and that the lowest level would be hit and then well maybe the next level might be hit. And maybe then the next level might be hit. And throughout that whole process, Tom in mid September some time said well, we have got some of these super seniors up here, but they will be a hundred percent fine.

And as figuratively the water level was rising, I began to be more

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE was only on phone for Friday."

First of all I best, what do you mean by we weren't trying to get final answers, final answers for what?

A Well, for, you know, we were examining what was going on with these positions and so forth. We were examining Tom's view of the positions, his judgments on the positions. And my view was that at that first meeting to do that, we had had a good first meeting. Apparently Kaden thought we didn't have a good first meeting. But I thought for -- it wasn't a once and only meeting. It was the start of a process. And I thought for that first meeting it was a good meeting and my back channel reference to Dave B, which is David Bushnell, was I wanted to have private conversations with David, not in front of people. But I wanted to say now David without anybody else in the room, tell me how you feel about this. That is what I meant by back channel conversations with

David. And I had not had a chance to do

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE concerned about that. And as I said earlier in a figurative sense Tom Maheras and Randy Barker were figuratively at one end of a table and Gary Crittenden and Dave Bushnell were figuratively at the other end of a table with Maheras and Barker saying you are never going to lose a penny. These are structured in a way that no matter how high the water level gets, it is not going touch these super seniors.

And Gary and David were saying we ought to be pretty cautious about this. I don't know. I don't know about that. We ought to think about that. That was the context. And so my conversations with David fit into that context. I would say well David, Maheras says X; what do you think about that? How do you think about this index deteriorating from so forth to so forth? And so but those are the context of the discussions.

Q And what was Mr. Bushnell saying to you in response to those back channel conversations?

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 2 A What I can't do, unfortunately, What is meant by global credit 3 is I can't disaggregate what happened in day 3 trading? 4 by day sense. This was a period of time of 4 A That would have been the 5 5 no more than 30 days. Maybe less, maybe it reference to that part of the business that 6 6 was three weeks. It was very intensive. It was involved, what we would call fixed 7 was not the only issue that we were dealing 7 income. 8 O Fixed income? 8 with for the company. And so I can't, I 9 9 Yes. didn't keep a diary, I don't have any way of Α 10 saying well this on date David said this and 10 O There is a heading called what then the next day he said that. And on that 11 11 **Happened in July and August?** 12 12 date Maheras said this versus that, I can't, A Yes. 13 I can't disaggregate any of that. 13 Q And there is a bullet that says 14 (Thereupon, the Power Point 14 "poor risk management and balance sheet 15 deck Bates marked FCIC 99654 was 15 management." 16 16 marked CR Exhibit 9 for What do you understand by that 17 17 Identification, as of this date.) statement, poor risk management and balance 18 O Mr. Prince, I am showing you what 18 sheet management? 19 has been produced by Citigroup Bates Citi 19 A What I think they meant by that 20 20 was -- because the subsequent sub bullet FCIC 99654 and it appears to be a Power 21 Point deck CEO discussion on global credit 21 says note that no limits were breached. So, 22 markets dated September 12, 2007. Do you 22 it is not -- it is a little bit of, in my 23 recall ever seeing this document? 23 view, the senior people in the business 24 A I have clearly seen it in 24 saying the junior people shouldn't have had 25 preparation. And I remember being surprised 25 these positions. 146 148 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 because of the cover which says CEO Q Who were the senior people you 3 discussion. Because I never made any kind 3 are referring to and who were the junior 4 of presentation that even looked like this 4 people? and then I realized it was somebody 5 5 A Maheras and Barker were the 6 presenting to me. 6 senior people and you can see a couple lines 7 7 I have a vague recollection of below that, it says "invoked complete 8 8 the document, but I am very clear that overall of trading management" and it 9 9 whether it was this document or something mentions three names of people who are, 10 like this document, this kind of information 10 quote, gone. 11 would have been presented to me in about 11 O Those names Higgins, Pichler and 12 that time frame. 12 Choi, who are these people? 13 Q Do you recall having a meeting on 13 A I have no idea. 14 or about Wednesday, September 12, 2007, in 14 MS. BUERGEL: Just understand. 15 the library outside your office with Mr. 15 Mr. Prince gave his understanding of 16 Maheras and Mr. Barker and Mr. Bushnell and 16 what global trading credit was. That 17 actually was a subset of the fixed others? 17 18 18 income business run by Mr. Higgens and A I am sure that happened and I am 19 sure that was one of the meetings that I 19 Mr. Pichler. It was a very specific 20 referred to in my previous answer. 20 trading desk known as global credit 21 Q I would like to draw your 21 trading. So, this slide actually 22 attention, sir, to the page 99657. 22 refers to that business which was not 23 23 the CDO business and not the leveraged 24 O And it is entitled Global Credit 24 lending business, but a separate 25 25 Trading. trading operation.

149

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh, okay, 2 Q And the next, the next bullet 3 3 says: "Deal volume grew 50 percent from thank you. 4 4 2005 to 2006 with a 30 percent increase in MS. BUERGEL: It also, if you 5 5 look at the third quarter Q, took revenues." 6 6 significant losses in the third Is that something you of that 7 quarter. 7 known prior to September 12, 2007, that the 8 8 BY MR. BONDI: deal volume grew 50 percent from '05 to '06 9 9 Q If you will flip the page to the with a 30 percent increase in revenues? 10 next page, sir. 10 A Too small. 11 A Yes. 11 O You indicate that that business O Titled This Page is Global 12 12 was too small. 13 Structured Credit. 13 A To be reported on it at that 14 14 A Uh-huh. senior level. 15 O Global structured credit is the 15 Q To be reported to you at a senior 16 CDO business within global structured 16 level. 17 17 credit? But it ultimately caused some 18 A Well subject to my counsel's 18 significant losses to Citigroup. 19 better thinking, I think so. 19 A Correct. 20 Q The first bullet talks about the 20 Q In retrospect, should the CDO 21 CDO market experienced extremely high growth business and its operations have been 21 22 rate over the last three years, about the 22 elevated up to your level prior to 23 volumes increased 95 percent from 2005 to September 2007? 23 24 2006. And then the next bullet I want to 24 A Well, that is obviously a 25 draw your attention to, "Citi consistently 25 fundamental question. 150 152 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 ranked number one or two in the overall CDO 2 I think that you would have to 3 3 ask it in a slightly broader way. business." 4 4 If someone had elevated to my Were you aware of Citi's level that we were putting on a \$2 trillion 5 approximate rating as compared to its 5 6 б balance sheet, \$40 billion of triple A competitors in the CDO business through your 7 7 tenure as CEO? Is that something that would rated, zero risk paper, that would not in 8 8 have been on your radar, that Citi was any way have excited my attention. 9 9 ranked number one or number two? So, the simple question of should 10 10 A No. The CDO business was a I have been told about that business, if I 11 product deep inside our fixed income 11 may, that question by itself doesn't lead 12 business, and we would have measured our 12 anywhere. What has to happen is that there 13 fixed income business three or four ways 13 has to be a way for risk professionals going 14 competitively. But we wouldn't have gone 14 forward to think about the possibility of 15 down to that lower level in terms of product 15 risk in ways which are useful. In other activity in terms of what came to me or 16 16 words, it is not useful for -- it wouldn't 17 senior management. 17 have been useful for someone to come to me 18 Q From 2003 up until September 2007, 18 and say now, we have got \$2 trillion on the 19 would people in the business meeting have 19 balance sheet of assets. I want to point 20 said to you along the lines of: Our CDO 20 out to you there is a one in a billion 21 business is getting a lot bigger or we are 21 chance that this \$40 billion could go south. 22 getting a lot better? Is that something 22 That would not have been useful information. 23 that would have come up at Business Heads 23 There is nothing I can do with that because 24 meeting with you? 24 there is that level of chance on everything. 25 25 A Too small. It turned out that the 151 153 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE possibility of risk for these assets was not understood. So, people would have had to give me information not only about the position, but about the risk characteristics which information would have been useful to me.

So I am sorry to give you a long answer, but simply telling me that our volume grew from X to Y wouldn't have really told me anything. And in fact, the proof of that is that as late as middle October, Tom Maheras -- best fixed income guy on Wall Street -- was telling me you are never going to lose a penny on these instruments.

So, if somebody had come to me in September and said now don't forget you got... it wouldn't have made any difference.

Q There is a section on that same page, it says Lessons Learned. The second bullet says, in quotes, "Market standard" end quote, "Warehouse agreements were not robust. Practical limitations in our ability to enforce them." What does that mean?

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE goes bankrupt, then you don't have anybody to put them back even if your agreements say if they don't meet these standards, I can give them back. I think that is what that sentence means.

Q The next bullet says,
"Implemented significant changes to risk
management in January; i.e., Donald Quinton
in CDO business and Mickey Batia in ABS
correlation," end of paren. Dash, "However,
not completely built out by time of market
disruption."

What do you understand to be meant by that bullet?

A I don't know who the people are. I think this falls under the heading of the more senior people, Maheras and Barker, saying we have changed the people. But them saying -- as they did on the previous page. But them saying here: We were caught in between making the change, I didn't and still don't put much stock in that sentence having much relationship to anything.

Q Next bullet, "Business model not

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

A What I think that means -- and I am not a hundred percent sure, so I am going to give you my best thought on it.

What I think that means is that the warehouse means that we -- as I have understood subsequently, we get mortgages and put them in sort of a holding pen, a warehouse as it were. And then from that warehouse of mortgages, create structured products. And that the market standard warehouse agreements in hindsight were not as creditor lender bank friendly as they should have been.

So the ability to say to an originator, we are going to give you back the mortgages; we are not going to keep them in our warehouse; we are not going to sell them out to the market; we are going to put them back to you, those agreements were not as, quote, robust, didn't have lender friendly enough standards.

And practical limitations on our ability to enforce them, which I think refers to the fact that if the originator

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE well diversified," dash "too much reliance on one asset class. One of the primary initiatives for 2007 was to increase mix of asset classes-consummated in time."

What do you understand, sir, to be meant by that bullet point?

A I don't know what that means. I mean I can read the words, but I don't understand it in this context.

Q And two pages later, Bates numbered 99660, titled Lessons Learned/ Opportunities Under Global Structured Credit, talks about, there is a bullet that says, "Redeployment of resources. Time frame within six weeks."

What was being done there or what was being contemplated there?

A I don't remember. I remember actually in this meeting them saying we are going to do something in the next weeks. I frankly can't remember what it was and of course in hindsight whatever it was it didn't make much difference. But I don't remember what it was. I am sure the people

454 . 455

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 who put it together can tell you, but I In the line you just referred to, 3 3 in the bracket, at the end of it it says don't. 4 4 "Corporate HQ UTC dot-com." See that? O And last question on this one, it Q Yes? 5 5 says, "Redefine constraints and structures 6 6 with risk management." The very long bottom of it says 7 What do you understand by that 7 "see you then, George." Do you see that. 8 8 bullet? 9 9 A I think it relates to the first Α This is George David, a board 10 bullet, which says, "Focused on resizing 10 member, who was the CEO or chairman of the 11 given revenue opportunities." 11 UTC Corporation at the time. So he had sent 12 What they were saying here was 12 something to Gary Crittenden and copying me 13 that the structured business is going to be 13 either in anticipation of a board meeting or 14 significantly smaller. They thought it was 14 after a board heating. But it took me a 15 going to be still meaningful but much 15 little while to figure this out. 16 O Do you understand this e-mail 16 smaller than it had been. Turned out, of 17 course, there isn't any structured business. 17 seems to be focused on CDOs? 18 And I think what they said was that given 18 A Let me read it again. I am 19 this smaller size, we are going to resize 19 sorry. 20 this, meaning we are going to get rid of 20 Well, as I read it, it seems to 21 people and make it a smaller business and so 21 me he is talking about most of the things 22 forth. And I think that in that context 22 that are in the corporate investment bank. So he talks about the warehouse, he talks 23 they were saying: And risk limits will 23 24 shrink correspondingly with a smaller size 24 about CDOs, he talks about leveraged lending 25 of the business. 25 commitments, and he talks about trading 158 160 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 inventories of fixed income securities. So 2 Q Okay. If I may do one more 3 3 CDO is part of what he is talking about, but document before we take a break for lunch. 4 4 (Thereupon, the e-mail chain not all of what he is talking about. 5 5 Bates Citi FCIC E 31582 was marked CR O I want to direct your attention 6 Exhibit 10 for Identification, as of 6 to a statement that he makes in the bottom 7 7 of the first paragraph there. It says, "The this date.) 8 8 BY MR. BONDI: rate spikes of the last three to four months 9 9 Q Mr. Prince, I showing you what (and associated liquidity), were the three 10 or four Six Sigma event. So the question is has been produced by Citigroup and it is 10 Bates Citi FCIC E 31582. 11 11 whether-how our models missed this. 12 12 Alternatively, are our models too specific A Uh-huh. 13 Q It is an e-mail chain. The 13 to individual businesses and/or exposures 14 14 bottom e-mail says "from CEO's office corp." such that they don't encompass the big/rare 15 event affecting the big/combined warehouse 15 Uh-huh. as outlined here." 16 Q To, it appears to be Mr. 16 17 17 Crittenden and cc yourself dated Uh-huh. September 26, 2007. 18 18 O What do you understand to be Mr. 19 19 David meaning by these three sentences? A Right. 20 Q First, what does this CEO's 20 A George ran UTC, and United 21 office corp. mean? Is that an e-mail that 21 Technologies Corporation is a business, as 22 vou would have sent out? 22 are many manufacturing businesses, built on 23 A Took me a while to figure this 23 the concept of Six Sigma. While I don't 24 out myself. Here are the, here's the 24 understand it completely, my understanding 25 25 Rosetta Stone to figure this out. is that Six Sigma relates to a manufacturing 159 161 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE process in which you try to minimize defects in the manufacturing process to a very, very small degree.

George always thought that it was a useful thing to try to apply the notion of how you manage screwing cars together to the banking business. And so he would say: Your lending doesn't meet a Six Sigma level of activity. I never could quite figure that out, honestly. And so as I read these two sentences, he seemed to be saying that there was a three or four Six Sigma event, whatever that is, and the question is how our models missed this, et cetera, et cetera.

And I think he was expressing in language that would be consistent with a manufacturing business the same, in some ways the same concept I mentioned just a moment ago that risk has to think about how to provide risk judgments on what he would call a three or four Sigma event; in other words, a very unusual kind of event. So that is what I understand him to be saying.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE what I think he meant by that was do our risk models measure businesses at a small individual level without aggregating.

So you could have a situation, for example, where we had real estate exposure in four or five parts of our business and because we measured each of those risks individually, each of the risks would seem smaller. But if you step back a little bit and you say well, wait a minute, you actually got real estate risk in four or five pockets, when you put the four or five pockets together in an aggregate base, it is a much bugger number.

That is not what happened here. We didn't have significant damage from four or five parts of the business individually which were small but when you aggregated them they were big, that didn't happen here.

What happened was a very small part of the business that had enormous consequences. So his question, which is a perfectly appropriate question, was are your, are your risk models, do they

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q As you sit here today, you don't know what that three or four Six Sigma event means?

A Six Sigma refers to frequency. Six Sigma is supposed to be the ultimate, you know, one in a million or one in a billion or something. And three or four must be getting close to that level.

It is a bad analogy. I analogize it to the Richter scale. A ten on the Richter scale was the biggest earthquake ever. This may be a three or four, meaning it is a larger rather than a smaller one. But I don't really understand Six Sigma. I am sorry.

Q In the sentence he says,
"Alternatively, are our models too specific
to individual businesses and/or exposures
such that they don't encompass the big/rare
event affecting the big/combined warehouse
as outlined here. What did you understand
by that sentence?

A What I think he meant by that -- and again I'm guessing a little bit. But

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE aggregate disparate risks across the company -- perfectly appropriate question -- was not pertinent to what actually turned out to be the case here.

Q The e-mail above that from a woman named Karen Lowely (phonetic) to you. And she says, "I gave this to GC would said it was a DB issue. Dave called to say he is available to fill you in on this once you return." I assume DB is David Bushnell.

A Yes.

Q And the Dave referred to here is David Bushnell?

A Yes.

O GC is that Michael Helfer?

A Gary Crittenden. Karen Lowely was my assistant, so she saw this come in, saw my e-mail. I was tied up obviously, apparently. And she said to me by e-mail: I gave this to Gary Crittenden, and Gary said it was Bushnell's issue and Bushnell called to say he can talk to me when I went I want. And my e-mail back was tell him to be prepared to join the 4:30 meeting. We

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 2 must have had a meeting with George David. didn't believe that there was any prospect 3 O You indicate for your assistant 3 of loss in the CDOs in September of 2007. 4 4 to tell him to be prepared, he meaning David A The super senior. 5 5 Bushnell, to be prepared to respond. Q Super senior CDOs, excuse me. 6 6 What was Mr. Crittenden's view of A Right. 7 Q Did Mr. Bushnell respond to 7 that in September 2007? 8 8 George David's concerns about the three or A I already said, I think he was 9 9 Six Sigma event not being caught by the cautious about that. I described a couple 10 models? 10 times the figurative notion that Randy and 11 11 A I can only assume so in the 4:30 Tom were at one end of a figurative table. 12 meeting orally. I don't remember being -- I 12 It was actually a round table. But 13 don't remember this. I mean you showed it 13 figuratively, and that David and Gary were 14 to me, but don't have an independent 14 figuratively at the other end of the table 15 recollection of it. I don't remember any 15 expressing caution about them. 16 16 back and forth. O You described in detail earlier 17 Q Do you recall anything about what 17 about when you first learned and had an 18 Mr. Bushnell might have said at this 4:30 18 understanding of the CDOs and the positions 19 meeting concerning the models? 19 associated with those CDOs. 20 A No. 20 But my question is: Who should 21 21 Q Before we go off record, I have in your mind prior to the events that 22 understand there might be a scheduling 22 we have been discussing in the fall of 2007, 23 issue. Do you have a hard stop today, sir? 23 who prior to those events do you believe 24 A Well, I guess I would just like 24 should have had an understanding of the 25 to understand how long we are going to go or 25 positions that were being taken with respect 166 168 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 2 what your thoughts are about that. I have to super senior tranches on CDOs? 3 some things scheduled in sort of the late 3 A I believe that people at various levels of the management chain were aware of 4 4 afternoon time frame. 5 5 MR. BONDI: I think hopefully this, that is to say I don't believe that 6 we will be finished by four, if that 6 there was at certain levels of the 7 7 is sufficient by your schedule. organization any surprise that we had these 8 8 THE WITNESS: That would be a positions. Whether it was the person on the 9 9 little late, but I will certainly desk itself or his or her manager, and those 10 10 accommodate that. I will make some people led up in a management chain 11 changes during the break. 11 eventually to Randy Barker. 12 MR. BONDI: I will try my best 12 I don't know whether this product 13 to finish earlier. 13 was a significant enough product before the 14 September, October time frame, was seen to 14 THE WITNESS: Of course. And I 15 15 will try to give you yes or no be significant a product that it would have 16 gotten to Randy's attention. I don't know 16 answers. How is that? 17 MR. BONDI: No, I want you to 17 the answer to that. 18 give full and complete answers. We 18 My guess is it would not having 19 are a fact finding commission here, so 19 been significant enough to be on Maheras's 20 full and complete answers, please. 20 radar screen. I am not sure whether it 21 Why don't we take a brief lunch break? 21 would have been for Barker. But below that 22 (Lunch recess.) 22 one, two, three layers below that, I am sure 23 BY MR. BONDI: 23 that it was at the right level. 24 Q Mr. Prince, you described how Mr. 24 The question that you are asking 25 25 Maheras and Mr. Barker expressed that they I think really is in hindsight, given what 169 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE has happened to this, even though it was a very small position in an absolute sense, in a relative sense, what should have been known about this, who should have known about this, and I think that if there had been any inkling, any suggestion that \$40 billion of assets could go to zero, that we wouldn't have had those positions.

And so the risk infrastructure, even though in hindsight we had these horrible problems, the risk infrastructure was quite robust. Hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of risk officers, very detailed risk limits. We had a number of regulators embedded into the organization. It was a pretty transparent place as it related to taking on risk.

In hindsight, the problem was that no one thought that these were risky. So, if I may, your question of who should have known about these, as I said earlier in my case, simply knowing about them wouldn't have done anything. One would have had to know about them and to have thought about

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE before it he got back from Korea and then several as you describe after he returned from Korea.

Do you recall what the focus of these meetings that you testified to earlier? Were they solely on CDOs, or were they CDOs and leveraged lending and other matters?

A My best recollection is that they were primarily CDOs and at some point we hooked in the folks from the U.S. consumer mortgage business to give their point of view on the market situation as it related to real estate. And this was in the context -- when we had the meetings, we didn't talk about, quote, CDOs. We talked about the real estate market. And the impact on the real estate market would than translate into the CDOs. So I want to make sure I am clear about this.

We didn't get in a meeting and say okay, what about the CDOs? We didn't do that. We would get in the meetings and we would say we have got these securities

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE them in a way that no one was thinking about them

On a going forward basis, how that is accomplished, not only the knowledge but the character of the knowledge, I don't know the answer to that. The risk people, the risk professionals, have to look at the likelihood in different ways.

Risk is composed of the likelihood of something happening and the consequences of something happening. Here the likelihood was quite small, but the consequences were devastating. So the question is how do you put that together? And it is really not just who should have known, but who should have known what about it, not just factually, but in a judgmental way. Who should have had a different risk judgment about these assets. That is I think if I may, that is a more complex way of asking the same question.

Q We talked earlier about some e-mails between you and Mr. Rubin talking about setting up meetings, one that occurred Interview - CHARLES PRINCE positions, they are supposed to be gold plated, but the real estate market is deteriorating. What are you seeing in the market about this? Who is buying this? Somebody is willing to pay X cents on the dollar for that. There was a trade. How do see that? Is that going to be a getting better situation or getting worse situation? I was trying to judge where the market was moving in that sense.

And so we would get input from the trading desks, we would get input in, towards the end I remember we would get input from our real estate people in the consumer business, certainly from the risk people. And I think that as part of that, as part of market color, we would also some times get trading activity on the leveraged lending book. So, somebody might say well, somebody just bought a piece of this private equity deal for 90 cents on the dollar. So that would be part of our mix of market color. But a primary focus would have been on the real estate market which would then

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 2 2 have translated into the CDO valuations. A I think that Raynes was scared to 3 O Mr. Prince, I am showing you what 3 death in the meeting. And I have a dim 4 was produced by Citigroup and has been 4 recollection that Barker told me afterwards. 5 marked Citi 1611657. It appears to be a 5 after the meeting, that Raynes expected me 6 Power Point deck entitled Global Structured 6 to fire him in the meeting. And so I think 7 Credit Products, The Way Forward, September 7 that my judgment of him in the meeting was 8 8 2007, Michael Raynes. probably not an objective or an accurate 9 9 (Thereupon, the document marked one. That is to say he was under a lot of 10 Citi 1611657 entitled Global 10 pressure, he was under a lot of stress Structured Credit Products, The Way because of the context of the meeting. 11 11 12 Forward, September 2007, Michael 12 He seemed to know the business. 13 Raynes was marked CR Exhibit 11 for 13 He seemed to have a much more optimistic 14 Identification, as of this date.) 14 view of the long term nature of the 15 BY MR. BONDI: 15 business, much more reflective of we are 16 Q Do you recognize this document? 16 going through a dip, quite a large dip. 17 A I do. 17 But, but you know, don't give up 18 O How do you recognize this 18 precipitously kind of approach to it. That 19 document? 19 is about all I remember. 20 A I remember at one point we were 20 Q Did you ultimately fire Michael 21 having these meetings that described. I 21 Raynes? 22 said I want to meet the fellow that is doing 22 A No. The only person I acted on this. I want to meet as it turned out 23 23 was Randy Barker. 24 Michael Raynes. And I remember Maheras and 24 Q Did you ask anyone else to fire anvone associated with the CDO business? 25 Barker said: What do you need to meet him 25 174 176 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 2 2 for? I said with all that is going on, I A No, I think I have answered that 3 actually want to be able to see the guy. I 3 question. The only person I ever acted on 4 4 don't want to get it indirectly, I don't in this context was Randy Barker. want to get it filtered. I want to look at 5 5 **Q** Just wanted to make clear that 6 6 vou hadn't asked someone else to fire this person, I wanted him to talk to me 7 7 directly about what is going on. So, they someone else. 8 got Raynes to come over and people put this 8 A No. 9 9 thing together and he came up and sat in the Q Mr. Prince, I would ask you to 10 10 library with our group and made this little turn to the page that is four, five pages 11 presentation. 11 into the deck, Citi 1611661, the topic says 12 Q Was this the first time you had 12 **Development and Structured Credit at Citi.** 13 met Michael Raynes? 13 A Yes. Okay. A Yes, first and only time. First 14 14 O The first bullet says, "Prior to 15 15 June 2006, structured credit products was and only time. managed in silos." What does that mean? 16 Q Who was Michael Raynes? 16 17 A He was this guy. I am sorry to 17 A What that means -- and there are 18 put it this way. He was the guy who ran 18 three sub bullets below that -- is that like 19 this. I didn't know who he was other than 19 any business, the capital markets and 20 that. I learned since we hired him from 20 banking business is organized into operating 21 Deutsche Bank. All I knew was he was this 21 units. And apparently structured credit 22 22 products activities happened in several of 23 Q What was your impression after 23 these business units. That is what those 24 the meeting that they had with Mr. Raynes of 24 words mean to me. By the way, all of these 25 25 Mr. Raynes? units that he mentions are within fixed 175

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 really answering that and the reason for income. 3 3 that is this: In this presentation for this O Do you know if the various silos 4 4 discussion by Raynes, he wasn't saying under structured credit products, cash 5 versus synthetic, New York versus London, 5 anything different than Maheras or Barker 6 6 capital markets versus trading, do you know had been saying. And my, my view of this 7 if they utilized the same valuation 7 meeting was I simply wanted to hear it from 8 8 methodologies for super senior tranches of the horse's mouth, if you understand what I 9 9 CDOs? mean. I wanted to make sure that he was 10 A I don't. But I would be amazed 10 saying this and that he actually believed 11 if they didn't because we had a risk 11 it. But I don't think and I haven't 12 12 function which was separate from the reviewed this carefully obviously; you just 13 businesses. 13 handed it to me, but I don't think there is 14 O If you would flip two pages later 14 anything in here which is different than 15 15 what Maheras and Barker was saying. to 665? 16 16 Α "Analysis of what went wrong." So, it is not as if I was getting 17 Q Yes. The analysis of what went 17 new information. The only new information I 18 wrong and proposed strategic changes." 18 was getting was that the guy running the 19 First point. Significant 19 desk believed this to be the case. 20 dependence on distribution as proxy hedge to 20 Q The bullet that says, "Unprepared 21 warehoused assets." for draconian meltdown scenario with respect 21 22 What do you understand that to 22 to largest collateral asset. Risk limits 23 23 too high." mean? 24 A What that means is that the 24 What do you understand by the 25 belief that Citi would be able to distribute 25 largest collateral asset? Is that the 178 180 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 2 the products out of the warehouse was the subprime mortgages? 3 3 A Yes, I think he said that on an significant dependence on part of this and 4 4 was used in his view as a proxy hedge, earlier page actually. As I was turning to 5 meaning that the assets in the warehouse 5 get to this page, he said, I think he said 6 weren't otherwise hedged. And that I think 6 something to that effect on page 658, the 7 7 in one of the Barker presentations that we first page in the deck. "CDO market has 8 8 looked at earlier, there was some reference come under significant assault this year 9 9 to Citi's historical strength in prompted by an unprecedented fall in value 10 10 distribution wasn't sufficient for something of its largest asset class subprime 11 or other, and I think that is the same 11 mortgages." 12 12 O Is reference to risk limits too point. 13 13 high, was that the risk limits on the What was your reaction to hearing 14 14 that point about the significant dependence positions that could be accumulated on the 15 15 on distribution as a proxy hedge to books of Citi? 16 warehoused assets? 16 A I think that what it is referring 17 A I don't remember any particular 17 to is if you look across to the right side 18 reaction to this single point on this single 18 of the page opposite that bullet, the 19 page of the presentation. 19 change, the left side is what went wrong. 20 Q Did you agree with looking at 20 The right side is what they would propose to 21 this page 665 of what went wrong on these 21 do about it. 22 bullets? Did you agree with any of the 22 The change opposite that first 23 analysis as to what went wrong when it was 23 bullet that you referred to is change in 24 presented to you? 24 traditional CDO business model with respect 25 25 A Well, I don't have any way of to warehousing and counter party risk. I 179 181

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE think this is the same point that we looked at a few minutes ago on this different document or a different document that talked about our market standard warehouse agreements weren't robust enough and our ability to put the loans -- I think that is what this is really referring to, that on a going forward basis, we would propose that our warehouse be much more not at our risk through the way that the agreements were structured and through monitoring the counter parties so that we would have the ability to put the loans back to somebody else. We wouldn't be stuck holding the bag as it were. And that the people we would put them back to would have to be of substance. I think that is what that means. So, on the left risk limits too high, I think what he is referring to is that the way the warehouse agreements were structured and the nature of the counter parties didn't have us, give us the ability

O The next bullet, "Utilized

to put things back to people.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE not a financial hedge would have been appropriate prior to this time period?

A Do I have that view thousand or did I have a view then? I don't understand the question.

Q I will clarify that.

When Mr. Raynes was presenting this to you --

A Right.

Q -- did you have a view that there should have been a hedging strategy in place in the past prior to this point where he is recommending a hedging strategy?

A I understand the question now, thank you.

At the time of this presentation, which as I recall was in either late
September or early October, he was basically talking about what went wrong and how we are going to do it better going forward.

At that point in time, it was already -- I will put it -- becoming clear that we were going to have at what we thought at the time were modest losses in

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE balance sheet specifically on super senior without a defined hedging strategy but within risk limits." Is that consistent with what Mr. Maheras was telling you earlier?

A I am not sure that Tom ever used those words. But I think it relates to the first bullet on the page, meaning that our hedge or our protection against the exposure wasn't a financial hedge with a counter party and so forth. But our hedge effectively was our ability, our historical demonstrated strong, et cetera, et cetera, ability to distribute.

And that I think what he is saying here is that because we had such a long history of being able to distribute the products out of the warehouse, that we didn't need, we thought we didn't need, to put a financial hedge on them while they were in the warehouse and that that was a problem. I think that is what he is saying here.

Q Did you have a view whether or

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE this book. I think at the time -- well, I think even in mid September we were talking in the hundreds of millions of dollars. So at this time it was somewhere between Maheras' zero and a little bit more than that. But we thought we were going to have some modest losses in that area or worried about it.

And so at that point in time, of course I would have preferred to have had somebody else with that risk. And of course I would have preferred to have had somebody else have hedging exposure to us on what was in our warehouse.

But, the context here wasn't:
Why didn't you have it before, what did you think about, why didn't you do it before?
The context here was: I know we didn't have it. The reason we didn't have it was because we thought we could distribute everything. Historically we have been able to distribute everything. But going forward, we are definitely going to have hedges in place. That was the context of

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 the presentation. 3 Q How successful, do you know how 4 successful Citigroup was in selling super 5 senior positions? 6 A I don't know the answer. I don't 7 whether our folks tried to sell a lot. didn't sell a lot, held them because they 8 9 were thought to be so safe, I don't know the 10 answer to that. 11 Q Flip to two pages later, 667, 12 title of that page: Where Will The Alpha 13 **Opportunities Be In The Next Six to** 14 Twelve Months? The last bullet, 15 "Reemergence of better structured CDO 16 opportunties-finding higher fee potential 17 given dislocation and potential competitor 18 shifts." 19 A Uh-huh. 20 Q What do you understand Mr. Raynes 21 to have meant by that? 22 A Well, this was his: We are in a 23 dip in the road. And the business will 24 immerge in a better way, there will still be 25 structured CD opportunities, we will be able 1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

business.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE to charge for more it because a number of our competitors won't be still in the

Q And did you form an opinion about whether this was a potential in the future for Citigroup based on what Mr. Raynes was telling you?

A I guess I thought at the time that it was a very optimistic view, that he was selling his view.

If we were in the mode of saying we don't think this business is coming back we are going to shut this business down, he would have been out of a job. So, just in a practical sense people don't usually kick themselves out of a job. So I took this to be his selling point that look, I know we are going through a rough patch now, but it is not going to be that bad and on the back end of this, it is going to be a much better business for us.

Q Did you feel as a whole, Mr. Prince, that the business persons such as Mr. Maheras and Mr. Barker and Mr. Raynes

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE were painting an overly rosy picture for you of the CDO business?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

186

A Well, it turned out to be overly rosy. At the time, I didn't think of it using those words. I obviously didn't accept what they were saying or I wouldn't have gone through the process I went through. But I didn't think of it as being overly rosy. I thought of it as being much more of defensive role. People, when they do something, they are usually pretty defensive about it. It is very hard for somebody to say I did X and then to turn around and say that wasn't a very good thing to do. It is a very hard thing for people to do. And it is why I mentioned earlier, if you have a loan that goes bad you always put somebody else in charge of the work out. And I really thought at the time that they were underestimating the complications or the difficulties. But I didn't think it was in an overly rosy sense that they were, you know, consciously painting it to be better. I think that they were just sort of dragging

188

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE their feet if you understand the distinction I am drawing, and that is how I thought they were thinking about it.

Raynes I thought was selling. The other guys I think were thinking, you know, we have been through a lot of market dislocations, I don't want our bosses who are not in the business, haven't been in the fixed income business for 25 years, I don't want them to lose confidence or to lose resolve over a market dislocation. We have been through lot of these. It is not -- I know it looks bad now. We always come out of it. It is always going to be -- it was that kind of a context.

Q And what was your view in September and October's time frame of Mr. Bushnell's performance as a chief risk officer?

A I think -- I told you earlier that I was told many times that David was the best risk officer on the street. I believed that then. And I still think very highly of David's skills. I think that

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
David has to, has to reflect on what could have been done differently, what must have been done differently because I don't think it is satisfactory to simply say well, these things happen. But at the time I wouldn't have traded David for anybody else. So that is the only way I can answer the question.

Q And, Mr. Prince, as a former CEO, do you reflect on how things could have been done differently to have avoided or minimized the losses that Citi experienced?

A As CEO?

O Yes, sir.

A Well, I have obviously thought about that a lot. The context I bring to that analysis is this: We had a \$2 trillion balance sheet for the company as a whole. We were involved in lot of different businesses in lot of different geographies around the world. We ended up in a situation where a very, very small relative dollar value of assets caused great harm to the company. What could I have done realistically to have changed that? And I

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE what I would have done with that information.

If I had gone to Tom Maheras' office in the spring of 2007, the spring, after HSBC had their problems in the early part of the year with associates, and I had said Tom, I am nervous about these super seniors. Let's say I had that information. And I said I want you to sell these triple A rated securities. And he would have said well, why? And I would say because I am nervous that in the long run, we are going to see a real estate crisis like we have never seen since the depression. And I think that despite all the smart people who have done all the structuring, that the flood waters will actually get all the way up to that super senior level, I think I would have sounded like a lunatic. No one thought that would be the case in the industry.

Now, there are some hedge funds and so forth who bet against the real estate business, and I have seen that. But our

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE have turned that over in my mind over and over and over again, as you might expect.

I have said earlier that simply having the information that was known at the time, I am not sure would have made much difference. If David Bushnell would come to me in June or July or a year earlier, the end of '06, and said I want you to understand that we are increasing our activity in this particular area or had said we are retaining these super seniors and had described to me what that meant and what the quality of the assets were and so forth as believed by the rating agencies, as believed by him as a risk professional, I think he would have pointed to the reported comment of Alan Greenspan that super seniors were as safe as U.S. treasuries. I think he would have pointed to Bernanke's comment that he did not see a large real estate crisis coming. I saw something from our chief economist, Lou Alexander, contemporaneous which said he not think we were going to

have a serious recession. So I am not sure

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE regulators from multiple regulatory agencies were fully embedded in our business. The rating agencies had this stuff rated higher than triple A. For someone not with a fixed income background to have gone and made that kind of direction to the largest fixed income business on the street, I think I don't excuse myself by saying that really wasn't a realistic situation.

So then I think well maybe, maybe it is because you weren't schooled in the business, maybe because you didn't come up through fixed income or maybe because we had a big business and you were worried about the consumer business or the acquisition in Latin America that you were working on or something like that. But I see whether it is Merrill Lynch or Bear Stearns, Lehman Brothers, firms that were much smaller, much more focused, led by people who were long time traders, market participants, missed the same issue.

So, I think to myself well, if I were Tom Maheras, would I have made a

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE different call, if I had that kind of a background? I don't think he would have. So that leads me to, well, what could you have done? I know what I did do, which is that when the losses went from the hundred or 200 or 300 that people with talking about to 8 billion on a day, that I immediately resigned. I don't know what else I could have done. I am not satisfied with that answer. But I don't know sitting here today what I could have done differently.

If I had had information of a different character about the assets, I clearly would have acted on that. I turned down the Ameriquest deal, I turned down a number of deals where our consumer people wanted to buy lower quality assets. I directed our consumer finance people not to originate these loans. I think if I had had, if I had had the right information I would have acted.

Q Why did you resign?

A Well, this was quite a significant event, quite a negative event,

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE look, this is going to be a big problem. Now, at the time we didn't realize that other people had very similar problems. I said this is going to be a big problem. And I am willing to continue to fight this fight. But my advice to you, if I were your lawyer, my advice to you is to accept my resignation. I said you are going to need a new person to lead us out of this difficult situation. You are going to need a new person who will start with a reservoir of credibility which where mine will be exhausted by this and so I will continue to serve if that is your decision, but my advise to you is to accept my resignation. And by about Wednesday afternoon, Thursday, they had decided to accept my resignation.

Q When do you recall first discussing super senior positions on CDOs with the board of directors?

A I am going to refer back to the 30 days or so that started with the middle of September and ended with the very end of October.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE and it happened on my watch. How could you not resign?

Q Did someone ask you to resign?

A Just the opposite. When I told -it was in the paper, I am sure you read the
story. When I drove home and Gary called me
and told me it wasn't going to be two or 300
million but it was going to be 8 billion -I will never for get that call -- I
continued driving, and I got home, I walked
in the door, I told my wife, I said here's
what I just heard and if this turns out to
be true, I am resigning.

And I called Rubin that afternoon or the next morning, I can't remember, and I told him, and he said you can't do that. You can't, et cetera, et cetera. And it turned out to be true on Monday.

And so I called our senior, our lead director Alain Belda, and I handed him my resignation letter. I would say for that Monday, Tuesday into Wednesday of that week, they, several board members argued with me not to resign. And I told them, I said

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

And if you were to fast forward that loop, if you were to have sort of a time frame fast forward, you would see that the issue first came up as a problem on my radar screen and then moved to a discussion among our group that I described in the library group, and then got on to the board's radar screen and then became an examination in a very detailed sense with Gary about valuations and valuation models and so forth and so on. And then was the end of the table. So without knowing specifically, I would have to have people recreate the paper trail.

My sense is that it was probably in sort of two-thirds of the way through that process which would have put us about the second week in October. I could be off. It wasn't before that 30-day started and I was gone after that. So it was sometime in that 30 days or so, and my best guess is it is about two-thirds of the way through that process.

Q Did any board member ask why

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE didn't you tell us about this sooner? Did anyone express frustration or concern with not learning about the super senior tranches sooner?

A Well, the answer is sure. As the thing unfolded, there was much: How did we get into this position? Why didn't we know this? How could we not know -- I am talking about me as well as them. I mean everybody. How could we have such a small part of the company with such apparent safety turn out to be so toxic? How could that be? How could it possibly be the case that something that the markets saw as triple A, the rating agencies saw as triple A, it was way off on the edges over here, a tiny little thing really, how could that blow up in this kind of way? Everybody was saying that.

Q Now you alluded to valuations and valuation models.

What were the challenges with valuing the super senior tranches of CDOs?

A These had to be mark-to-market, and when you have to mark-to-market things

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE developed by Citigroup and others at the time?

A I don't know the answer to that, I am sorry. My sense was that they already existed, but I don't know the answer.

Q I would like to turn your attention to the same document, page 1611679. It is entitled Current Risk Exposure and Mitigation.

A Yes.

Q It lists liquidity puts, 24.5 billion, ABS CDO cash inventory 2.3 billion. And the ABS CDO warehouse of 0.9 billion.

Was that your understanding in September 2007 of the current exposure to those three items?

A I can't really tell you. Again, during that time frame from mid September to late October, at some point the numbers were mentioned to me. My recollection is that the liquidity puts were a little bit after the super seniors that were held on the books. But whether that was new information the day it was presented, I can't remember.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE and there is no market, that becomes complicated.

So, the way the accounting works, although I am not an accountant, the way the accounting works is that you use various models. And as I recall it, we used three or four models: Discounted cash flow model. a model that was based on the Case-Shiller index going from here to here. Three or four different models like that. At some point, one of our competitors made a big write-down, I don't remember who that was, and so that was -- well, they must have used a model of X backing into what their valuations were. So we looked at that as a model. Gary really led that process. But I remember there were at least three or four different models, and I think during the time I was there we finally settled on one that sort of averaged all of them across, is my recollection.

Q Were the models that you described, were they models that already existed or were these models that were being

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q What is meant by exposure?

A Well, it has lots of different meaning in lots of different contexts.

In context in which we are talking about, it would typically refer to something that is either where we have a liability I -- I started to say where we have exposure. Where we have a liability.

So, if you have an asset on your book, you have exposure to that asset. If you have someone who has a put to you or you have a backup line to that person, then you have exposure to that person and that amount. It is, it is a liability that is on your books or could be on your books.

MR. BONDI: Mark that, please.

(Thereupon, the e-mail Bates marked Citi FCIC E 16495 was marked CR Exhibit 12 for Identification, as of this date.)

BY MR. BONDI:

Q Mr. Prince, I am showing you what has been produced by Citi and Bates marked Citi FCIC E 16495. It appears to be an

1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	
2	e-mail from Andrew Liveris to you.	2	exposure that was in Mr. Rayne's	
3	Who is Andrew Liveris?	3	presentation of the global structured credit	
4	A Andrew Liveris was on our	4	products, A Way Forward, and what Mr.	
5	well, still is on their board, is the CEO of	5 Crittenden was saying in this recorded		
6	Dow Chemical.	6	earnings call on October 1st, 2007?	
7	Q And below talks, below his e-mail	7	A I can only try to put these	
8	to you it appears to be another e-mail that	8	together based on looking at the two	
9	you are on Leah Johnson?	9	documents. That is to say, I don't have,	
10	A Leah Johnson was the head of	10	remember having any discussion with Gary	
11	public relations for Citi.	11	about the number was X, Y or Z. My guess is	
12	Q And it says, subject is Q3	12	that	
13	earnings announcement. And it has attached	13	MR. BIRENBOIM: I don't think	
14	is a copy of the press release that we plan	14	you should be guessing.	
15	to release and a transcript of the	15	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
16	prerecorded call.	16	MS. BUERGEL: If you don't have	
17	A Uh-huh.	17	any understanding of how this is put	
18	Q I would like to draw your	18	together, Mr. Prince, the recorded	
19	attention to page three of the recorded call	19	call transcript and how it relates to	
20	transcript?	20	the deck, then you shouldn't	
21	A What is the Bates number.	21	speculate.	
22	Q Bates number is 16498.	22	THE WITNESS: As I said, I	
23	A Got it, thank you.	23	don't have a recollection of that.	
24	Q Draw your attention to the	24	BY MR. BONDI:	
25	penultimate bullet down there.	25	Q Did you agree as of October 1st,	
	202		204	
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	
1 2	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE A Uh-huh.		Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13	
2	A Uh-huh.	1 2 3	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13	
	A Uh-huh.Q It says, "Starting in January of	2	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined	
2 3	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to	2 3 4	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter?	
2 3 4	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market	2 3	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an	
2 3 4 5	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we	2 3 4 5	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter?	
2 3 4 5 6	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure	2 3 4 5 6	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other	
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business.	2 3 4 5 6 7	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	2007 that Citigroup's exposure was 13 billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it. Q A few minutes ago on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't really other than guessing which I have been	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it. Q A few minutes ago on the Exhibit 11, we saw a list of other exposure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't really other than guessing which I have been asked not to guess, I can't really help you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it. Q A few minutes ago on the Exhibit 11, we saw a list of other exposure. Here Mr. Crittenden said the number was 13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't really other than guessing which I have been asked not to guess, I can't really help you with that.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it. Q A few minutes ago on the Exhibit 11, we saw a list of other exposure. Here Mr. Crittenden said the number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't really other than guessing which I have been asked not to guess, I can't really help you with that. Q The Raynes presentation from	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it. Q A few minutes ago on the Exhibit 11, we saw a list of other exposure. Here Mr. Crittenden said the number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't really other than guessing which I have been asked not to guess, I can't really help you with that. Q The Raynes presentation from September 2007 lists substantially more	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it. Q A few minutes ago on the Exhibit 11, we saw a list of other exposure. Here Mr. Crittenden said the number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter. A Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't really other than guessing which I have been asked not to guess, I can't really help you with that. Q The Raynes presentation from September 2007 lists substantially more exposure.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Uh-huh. Q It says, "Starting in January of this year, we began to lower our exposure to the subprime assets as we saw the market changing. At the beginning of this year, we had 24 billion of secured subprime exposure in our lending and structuring business. That number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter." Do you recall Mr. Crittenden saying that in a recorded transcript call on October 1st, 2007? A I don't have an independent recollection of it. I know we did do a recorded call, and I assume this is accurate, but I don't have an independent recollection of it. Q A few minutes ago on the Exhibit 11, we saw a list of other exposure. Here Mr. Crittenden said the number was 13 billion at the end of June and declined slightly this quarter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	billion at the end of June and then declined slightly this quarter? A Again I can't, I don't have an independent recollection of how Gary came to these numbers. You have shown me the other exhibit with a long list of numbers. And you have asked me why these numbers are different than those numbers. I don't know the answer to that. Gary was responsible for putting all the numbers together. It is not as if we sat with that exhibit on one side of the table and this on the other side of the table. You are very appropriately I guess putting these together, but I don't recall how we got to those numbers so I can't really other than guessing which I have been asked not to guess, I can't really help you with that. Q The Raynes presentation from September 2007 lists substantially more	

		1	
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2	you referring to?	2	billion in October to 55 billion on November
3	MR. BONDI: I am referring to	3	4, 2007?
4	page Citi 1611679.	4	A Well, I feel like I am edging
5	MR. BIRENBOIM: Those numbers	5	into the same territory we just covered on
6	are in September?	6	the earlier question, so I am hesitating to
7	MR. BONDI: In September.	7	see if my lawyers want to say anything.
8	MR. BIRENBOIM: This is in	8	MR. BIRENBOIM: You should
9	October.	9	testify to what you have personal
10	MR. BONDI: Correct.	10	knowledge to, not just to what you
11	BY MR. BONDI:	11	read in the papers afterwards or
12	Q Mr. Crittenden this October talks	12	speculation.
13	about exposure being 13 billion at the end	13	THE WITNESS: What I have
14	of June and declined slightly this quarter.	14	personal knowledge of is the
15	Did you ever ask Mr. Crittenden	15	following: On the Saturday, eight
16	how are you coming up with something less	16	days before that Monday, Gary
17	than 13 billion when internally we are	17	Crittenden called me and told me we
18	hearing something a lot more than 13	18	weren't looking at a couple hundred
19	billion?	19	million bucks, but we were looking at
20	MS. BUERGEL: Mr. Bondi, there	20	eight billion dollars.
21	is a lot whole lot of language about	21	On Monday of that week, so a
22	what exposure means here. You are	22	Monday before November 4th, I sat with
23	plucking that out of a multi-paragraph	23	Gary and as I recall it one or two
24	transcript. And Mr. Prince, I will	24	people from our securities business
25	remind you if you don't have actual	25	and worked through their analysis of
	206		208
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
2	facts to offer, you shouldn't	2	how, when I went home on Friday it was
3	speculate.	3	a couple hundred we were possibly
4	MR. LERNER: You can answer yes	4	going to have to deal with, and
5	or no.	5	72 hours later it was 8 billion. And
6	THE WITNESS: I don't remember	6	over the course of that day on Monday,
7	the question that far yes or no. But	7	I became convinced that their
8	I don't have any independent	8	calculation at the end of that day was
9	recollection of how he got to those	9	correct. And that is when I handed in
10	numbers, so all I could do would be to	10	my resignation. And really didn't
11	guess.	11	have any substantive involvement after
12	Q I don't think that was my	12	that.
13	question. I will let the court reporter	13	Q Let's move on to 13.
14	read it back just to get it right.	14	(Thereupon, the document Bates
15	(Thereupon, the record was read	15	marked Citi FCIC E 41087 was marked CR
16	back by the reporter as recorded	16	Exhibit 13 for Identification, as of
17	above.)	17	this date.)
18	THE WITNESS: No.	18	BY MR. BONDI:
19	BY MR. BONDI:	19	Q Mr. Prince, I am showing you what
20	Q On November 4th, 2007, Citigroup	20	was produced by Citi and it is Bates marked
21	announced that it had 55 billion in subprime	21	Citi FCIC E 41087.
22	exposure mentioning in disclosing the super	22	A Correct.
23	senior tranches and the liquidity puts.	23	Q And it is a cover e-mail
24	Do you have any understanding of	24	attaching a, appears to be Power Point
25	how the announced exposure went from 13	25	presentation. The cover e-mail is from
	207		209

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 Sheffali Welch e-mail on behalf of Gary Q I know we talked about many of 3 Crittenden to you and it is dated 3 these same lessons, and I just wanted to ask 4 4 October 14, 2007. vou on these six lessons learned that were 5 "All attached, please find the 5 presented to the board did you agree that 6 6 audit committee deck for tonight's call at these were lessons learned to Citigroup? 7 9 p.m." 7 A Oh. I don't know. This -- these 8 8 quote lessons learned were presented at a Do you recall attending the audit 9 9 committee call at 9 p.m. on October 14, time when the fullness of the issues weren't 10 2007? 10 completely understood, I think. 11 A I don't think there was an audit 11 So if I were sitting here today, 12 committee call on the, at 9 o'clock. My 12 I wouldn't from a policy standpoint try to 13 belief is that the 9 o'clock call was a prep 13 determine whether someone's suggestions of 14 call because it says sent Sunday, 14 lessons learned put up in October of 2007 15 October 14th. The presentation says October 15 were the appropriate ones, whether they were implemented fully or not. 16 15th. And the list of people it was sent to 16 17 would have been the kind of group we would 17 Since the time of this 18 get together with to prepare for tomorrow's 18 presentation, there has been almost a 19 meeting. And so my guess is that the Sunday 19 complete change in personnel at the company 20 night call assuming there was one, I don't 20 in the areas that we are talking about, and 21 21 recall a specific call, but assuming there I think I would much more importantly look 22 was one was a prep call among these various 22 at what those new people thought the lessons 23 23 learned were as opposed to what people people. 24 Q Do you recall attending the audit 24 thought at this point in time. 25 committee meeting or call on October 15th? 25 O Mr. Prince, I am showing you what 210 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 A Well, I certainly would have gone 2 was produced by Citi Bates marked FCIC 2970. 3 to the board meeting. I don't think this 3 (Thereupon, the document Bates 4 4 marked FCIC 2970 was marked CR was just an audit committee presentation. I 5 think this was, I think this was actually 5 Exhibit 14 for Identification, as of 6 6 this date.) presented to the whole board. It may be 7 7 that Gary's assistant misspoke, it may be A Yes. 8 8 that it was presented first to the audit Q It is a discussion of October CMB 9 9 committee and then to the full board. I performance, presentation to the board of directors October 31, 2007. 10 think this was the big presentation to the 10 11 A Yes. 11 board and I don't think it was just an audit 12 committee. So -- and I am sorry to be 12 Q Do you recognize this document? 13 particular. The answer to your question 13 A Oh, I don't have an independent 14 14 recollection of it, but it certainly looks about the audit committee is no, but I think 15 15 like something that was presented. it was presented to the full board and I was Q Sure. If you wouldn't mind 16 there for that. 16 taking a moment just to flip through it. 17 17 On page 37 of the deck, Citi FCIC 18 E 41124, there is a "lessons learned" page? 18 A Uh-huh. 19 A Lots of lessons learned pages, 19 Q To familiarize yourself with it.

213

212

211

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, okav.

Q If you flip to page eight of the

subprime marketing methodology continued and

it lists the ABCP. Did you understand ABCP

to mean the asset backed commercial paper

deck, Citi FCIC 2978, there is a list of

20

21

22

23

24

25

aren't there?

vou are finished.

A I have read them.

Q Yes, sir. And if you wouldn't

mind just reading these six just to yourself

in the interest of time and let me know when

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 associated with the liquidity puts? Q Please. 3 3 A If you look at pages four and A Uh-huh, I think so, yes. 4 Q And the high grade ABS CDOs, 4 five, this is actually a key chart, you can 5 mezzanine ABS CDOs and ABS CDO squared 5 see the three lines. They were in color on 6 6 transactions, when this was presented to the the original chart, but for here I will 7 board of directors on October 13, 2007, do 7 refer to them as the top line, middle line 8 you recall any reaction --8 and the bottom line. The bottom line, it 9 9 MR. BIRENBOIM: October 31st. was the sort of the lowest quality. You can 10 MR. BONDI: Sorry? 10 see in the very bottom, it is the right hand 11 MR. BIRENBOIM: I think you 11 reference which ends with triple B minus. 12 said 13 or maybe I misheard. 12 And the middle line and the middle reference 13 BY MR. BONDI: 13 is to single A. Do you see that at the 14 14 bottom of the page? And the left side of O The presentation to the board of 15 directors on October 31, 2007? 15 the page you see the triple A, that is the A Yes. 16 16 top line. 17 17 Q On page eight when it lists the And so if you look across, this 18 various positions that Citigroup had and the 18 is an historical chart on page four, and you 19 net exposure associated with those positions 19 can see that the bottom line, the worst 20 as of September 30, 2007, do you recall any 20 quality over the course of the first part of 21 21 reaction by any of the board members when the year going to March '07, you can see 22 they heard this? 22 that first vertical line there, March '07, 23 Α The exposures? 23 the bottom line deteriorated and then picked 24 Yes, sir. 24 back up to a kind of a 75 percent level. Q 25 You know what I can't do? I 25 And then in June began to deteriorate. And 214 216 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 can't separate the different meetings, as 2 in June, but only in June, the single A, the 3 3 obviously as the, as the situation middle one, started to deteriorate. So up 4 4 deteriorated, there was more and more until, up until June, even the single A 5 5 concern. But I can't in my mind remember product hadn't really been affected. 6 6 whether this meeting had this conversation The top line, the triple A, which 7 7 or that meeting had that conversation. is the super senior kind of quality stuff, 8 8 To the extent of exposures, if, straight as a line across, no impact at all. 9 9 if the question was more narrowly did It began to waiver a little bit in that someone say something extraordinary about 10 10 July, August time frame. You can see as we 11 the total number on the page or any of the 11 go into September, you see the line that 12 components that led up to the total, I don't 12 says 8/29 and into September, it is trended 13 remember that. But you have to put it in 13 back up and it is almost at a hundred 14 14 context. I think. The exposure that we were percent again. And then you flip the page 15 15 looking at here in terms of the impact on and you see that after the ratings 16 the company was a couple hundred million 16 downgrade, the top line began to deteriorate 17 bucks and in a company that earned five 17 in that far right side of the page. 18 billion dollars quarterly, you don't want to 18 O I am sorry, you are looking at 19 lose \$200 million obviously, but there was 19 page five? 20 nothing on this page that would have caused 20 A Now I am over to page five. 21 one of the directors to say my gosh, what is 21 Bates number 975. 22 going on here, because that is not the 22 Q And it is the last box, I 23 information that was being presented. And I 23 apologize, the copy looks a little bad, it,

217

215

24

25

looks like 10/11?

A 10/11 Moody's something

24

25

know you are on a fact finding mission. Can

I direct you to something?

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 downgrade. 3 3 Q I think it is et al, Moody's et 4 4 al downgrade? 5 5 A Yeah. That was really the only 6 6 time -- you can see a little wavering on the 7 top line in the middle but it actually 7 8 recovers into the September time frame and 8 9 9 then when the downgrade happens, that is 10 when it falls off a cliff. 10 11 And so I point this out because 11 12 this is a very good visual reminder of when 12 13 Tom Maheras or somebody says look, the super 13 14 14 seniors are not going to be touched. They 15 15 would point at this chart, and they would 16 16 say look, you can see that even though this 17 has gone down, this one is straight as an 17 18 arrow. And then in the summer we would say 18 19 19 but it is still going down. And he would 20 20 say yeah, it is, but you can see the top one 21 is coming back up. It will be okay. Don't 21 22 panic here. Don't go crazy here. This is 22 23 going to be fine. 23 24 That was the context of the 24 25 discussions as we came into the late summer. 25 218 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 I am sorry to divert you from your question, 3 3 but this is a very good pictorial, these two 4 pages, of what happened over the course of 4 5 5 that summer and into the fall. 6 6 O I appreciate that. I thank you. 7 7 We definitely are trying to get at the 8 8 facts. I appreciate the clarification. 9 9 Does the, on page eight of the 10 10 Power Point where it lists the high grade 11 ABS, the mezzanine ABS, CDOs, and the ABS 11 12 CDO squared, did any of those three 12 13 translate to any of these three lines on the 13 14 14 graph on page five? 15 A It would -- the lines on page 15 16 five deal with the credit rating. So 16 17 17 anything on page eight that related to 18 triple A these would relate to. So, if the 18 19 high grade ABS CDOs were super seniors, then 19 20 they would definitely be in the top line. 20 21 If the ABS CDO2 transactions 21 22 related to super seniors, they would be in 22 23 the top line as well. On page eight are 23

formats that relate to quality of assets.

They are not directly related. It could be

24

25

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE anything in ABS CDO2, it could be low or high grade, but I think all of this related to the super seniors which may be why the marks that are shown on page eight, the projected marks, are so low.

Q Mezzanine ABS CDOs, that wouldn't have been super senior, though, would it?

A I don't know the answer to that. I don't though the answer to that. And the reason I don't know the answer is mezzanine could refer to a place on the hierarchy of the structure, or it could refer to a loan that is in the middle of credit, a loan portfolio. In other words -- I am sorry it is so confusing. You could have a subprime loan that is structured so that the highest tranche was thought to be triple A. And mezzanine could refer to something that is midway up that tranche. Okay?

But it is all based on a subprime loan at the bottom. You can also have a situation where a mezzanine loan to a corporation, to anybody, not real estate, a mezzanine loan could be structured. And

220

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE then you can have a low quality mezzanine loan, a middle quality mezzanine loan, and a high quality mezzanine loan. And I don't know whether this is referring to real

estate in the middle of the structure or to a type of loan, like an auto loan would be a loan which would be structured or a credit

card loan could be structured.

A mezzanine loan is a kind of a

loan, and I don't know whether it is referring to that kind of a loan or a structure in the subprime structuring. I am sorry. Maybe it can be determined, but that is why I don't know which one it is.

O This October 11th line pertaining on page five of the graph pertaining to Moody's et al downgrades. Seems very significant.

A I will say.

Q And I am interested to know, was your view that Moody's and others got it right on October 11, 2007, or that they got this downgrade wrong? Or what is your view of these downgrades that occurred on

221

219

24

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 October 11, 2007? 3 MR. BIRENBOIM: What his view 4 was at the time or what his view was 5 looking back now? 6 MR. BONDI: That is a fair 7 characterization. Let's take those. 8 both of those questions so we make 9 sure the record is clear. 10 BY MR. BONDI: 11 Q At the time what was your view of 12 the downgrades? 13 A At the time what Maheras and 14 Barker and our folks said was that these 15 will be accounting marks, not economic 16 marks. What they meant by that was that 17 because the ratings are cut, there will be 18 an accounting loss instead of being marked 19 on your books at -- I am making up a 20 number -- a hundred, they will be marked on 21 your books at 50. But they would say in the 22 long run, if you hold the instrument, not if 23 you are trading it, but if you hold on to it 24 and wait for it to pay out because it is a 25 bond, that you will be paid all of your

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE say they haven't and they won't. But that because no one's willing to take the risk that they won't, no one is willing to buy them. And because it is a mark-to-market paper, you have to value it not on the ultimate, although insurance companies hold it that way, right, but securities firms have to mark-to-market. And so in that sense I don't know whether or not in the long run the marks are correct or not correct. I know that it caused a precipitous drop in value, it caused havoc. I mean this was the precipitating event that broke the financial system. And there were a lot of things that happened since that could have been done better or worse. But this is the thing that broke the financial system. MR. LERNER: I think you ought

MR. LERNER: I think you ought to give Mr. Prince a two-minute break if this is a convenient time.

MR. BONDI: Sure. (Brief break.) BY MR. BONDI:

222

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

224

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE money plus interest. So, in an economic sense, there won't be an ultimate loss. There will be an accounting loss, not an economic loss. That is what they would say. I would say at this point I don't know whether that is true or not. In other words, I don't know whether or not sitting here today the super senior tranches are in default. Maybe you know. I don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE

Q We mentioned before the break or
we were talking before the break
mark-to-market accounting.

A Yes.

Q What role did mark-to-market accounting play in the difficulties at

Citigroup?

A Well, I think for every one on the street, if you have to mark-to-market and there is no market, as I said earlier, it makes it very complicated. And if you have a temporary liquidity problem and today the liquidity problem has abated at least if not solved, but it is abated, if you have a temporary liquidity problem, then that has a negative circle or loop that makes things worse and worse and worse. So, if you can't sell something at a price, then you have to mark it lower. If you have to mark it

makes it down and down and down.
So, there is a negative
reinforcing loop from mark-to-market
accounting. You don't have that with loans,

lower, it makes it harder to sell which

11 I read something in the paper the 12 other day about something with Goldman and 13 AIG where they said that the Goldman -- the 14 worst Goldman originated tranche had lost 15 90 percent of its value or something like 16 that but was not in default. So I don't 17 know whether in the long run these ratings 18 reflect economic losses. It may be the 19 case. I think if Maheras were sitting here 20 he would say we structured these so that as 21 the flood waters of default rose, there were 22 no circumstances under which the waters 23 would breach into the super seniors. 24 Without knowing, I would bet that 25 if Maheras were sitting here today he would

225

223

24

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE you don't have that in the insurance business. It is an unusual feature of the securities business.

Q Speaking more generally about the financial crisis and the markets as a whole, in your view, what was mark-to-market accounting's role in the financial crisis as a whole? Do you view it as a significant factor in the crisis, a contributing factor, a minor point? I am just trying to get a sense of your views in terms of the overall crisis and mark-to-market accounting's impact.

A I recognize that is a very controversial subject and you have lots of views on it. I think that mark-to-market account was somewhere between a contributing factor and a significant factor, somewhere between. And I think it has the effect of being pro-cyclical, meaning it worsens things rather than helping to make things less worse. And that is a negative.

Q Are there any lessons learned in the space of mark-to-market accounting that

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE mark-to-market accounting involved stating a mark, but only having to recognize on making this up, a third of it, assuming you have stated an intention to hold the instrument, and you have to recognize a third of it this year and a third of it next year and a third of it -- now the mark market will change as you go along. So it is a third of whatever the mark is at that point in time and so forth. I don't think anybody would do this, but that is my point of view. You are asking me my point of view.

My point of view is that it is not an either/or. It is a how do you recognize the mark. But if you have a situation where you have a precipitous event, there is no ability to deal with that and the damage is accelerated by that precipitous event.

Q Mr. Prince, Citigroup was during your tenure supervised by the OCC, Federal Reserve, Federal Reserve Board of Bank of New York, among other regulators.

A The FDIC.

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE you have thought of in terms of what we can do differently in the future with respect to mark-to-market accounting or accounting in general?

A I actually have a point of view on that. The debate is between people who think that there ought to be mark-to-market accounting because there is a purity of that. There is -- you don't want people hiding things on their books, you don't want them to be zombie banks and so forth.

And people at the other end that say mark-to-market accounting really causes the fall in value to be accelerated and to be so forth, so on.

I think that mark-to-market accounting ought to be treated in much the same way, a bad analogy, but an analogy, that pension accounting has created.

So, if I have a company and my pension plan is underfunded, I am not required to make it all up today. I can make it up over couple of years. And I think if you had a situation where

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE **Q** The FDIC.

Were your regulators in your opinion asking the right questions of Citigroup and Citicorp personnel?

A That is a very general question.

Our regulators were for the most part embedded in the organization. They had offices at the company. They were there full-time. I met with the regulators on a very frequent basis. They met with our directors, with the audit committee. They met with our auditors. They met with Dave Bushnell frequently. There was nothing that we were doing that wasn't fully transparent to the regulators.

In the context of what we are taking about, the question is were they asking the right questions, they clearly didn't ask the questions that related to the ultimate losses on the super seniors. Neither did Tom Maheras. Neither did the rating agencies.

So, I think that the regulators -- and I would say this with

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE great respect. I think the regulators were in the same category of believing based on the information they had that these positions would not turn out to be very dramatic loss positions for the company. So I don't think it was a matter them asking the right questions or wrong questions. It is much like the discussion we had earlier about information flow. I think they had the information, but it turned out to be completely wrong for everybody. O A lot of debate, Congress and on

Q A lot of debate, Congress and on Main Street and Wall Street and elsewhere about regulations and whether the crisis that we experienced was a failure of regulation or regulations. And I wanted to know, Mr. Prince, do you have a view of that? Were there regulations that failed during the crisis or leading up to the crisis? Were there regulations that were missing that you believe should have been in place?

A I think clearly -- we talked

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE approach would be to try to read the disclosures in the proxy statement which had year by year by year very extensive and detailed discussions about that.

Q There have been much debate over compensation in general and about the incentives that go along with compensation.

In retrospect, do you believe that the compensation structure at Citigroup vis-à-vis the employees in the investment bank, structured credit, caused or contributed to some of the decisions that were being made that ultimately led to losses to Citigroup?

A Let me talk to, let me talk a little bit about how compensation worked at our company and make it personal, talk about me.

I had a career with the company which company and its predecessors was almost 30 years and was fortunate enough to be CEO for four years.

In that time frame, certainly for all the periods that were pertinent to my

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE earlier about the regulatory structure of the origination of mortgages. This is done on a state by state basis. It is very spotty. In some states it is more robust than in others. In some states it doesn't exist at all. And I really think that among the many causes of what happened, the increasingly unhealthy nature of the raw material that went into the securitization factory was a big contributor. And if we had had better regulation of the creation of that raw material, we would have -- I don't think we would have had the crisis honestly. That is more the absence of regulation or the unevenness of regulation, that is an area that I would focus on. I frankly don't see much of that talked about.

Q How were you compensated at Citigroup? Was it a metrics? How did your compensation get developed.

A Well, there is very extensive disclosures in our proxy statements about the compensation, so I would be reluctant to summarize all that. I think the best

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE having a leadership position the last 15, 20 years, our compensation was split between cash and stock. And for most of that time frame, we were required to retain so long as we were employed a hundred percent of the stock. We had no ability to sell and cash out.

When we merged with Citicorp in 1998, we lowered that requirement from a hundred percent to 75 percent, meaning you could sell 25 percent of your stock. In my case, I didn't do that. I kept a hundred percent. And over the course of 30 years, built up because of the percentage of your compensation that went into stock and the requirement to hold it, I built up 30 years, a career's worth of compensation in stock which I watched go from \$52, \$53 a share to \$0.97. And virtually every share of stock I ever got I still own.

I think that that compensation program aligned me pretty well with stockholders. So I think from the standpoint of the leadership of the company,

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE if you have that kind of a program, you are pretty well aligned. Now, I can't speak to people who might have sold some of their stock, might have sold their stock after they left the company, I didn't do that.

At lower levels of the company, at the level of someone running a particular desk, there I think that it is possible that the compensation structure could incent people to act aggressively.

You may remember I talked about the five-point plan in trying to emphasize to everyone long term versus short term. In Japan, don't go for the short term and risk the reputation of the company.

In the MTS trade, don't do that quick trade at the risk of putting off all of our clients. And despite all that, I can see situations where someone might have, do something because they were incented in the short run.

But I think that is not really the issue. I think the issue for Wall Street is there structure of compensation Interview - CHARLES PRINCE
I have read in the papers about the Lehman stuff and so forth. And if it is true that they did that just for quarter end, that's not appropriate.

But most institutions don't do it that way. They don't, they don't do it at the end of a quarter and then back on and off, on and off. But the notion that having off balance sheet activities is normal doesn't answer the question.

In Citi's case, as it turned out, there were certain asset classes that came back on the balance sheet. Most all of that happened after I left the company. So, I can only speak to it in the context of an outsider. But in that context, I think that again it is the precipitous nature of actions more than the actions themselves, I think. The suddenness of it, the inability to react, and so in much the same way that the rating agencies, the collapse of their thinking about structured products precipitated the decline of the triple A tranches, the liquidity crisis apparently

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE which incents organizations to go in directions, not individuals to go in directions. And all I can speak to is what happened in my case, and in my case I don't think it had that effect.

Q We have been looking at the accounting and the losses at Citigroup and one thing that struck me was the amount of off balance sheet activity at Citigroup.

Do you have any views in terms of whether the off balance sheet accounting caused or contributed to any of the financial problems at Citigroup in terms of when items came on balance sheet?

A That is a very broad and complicated subject, and I am sorry to respond that way.

The notion of having items off balance sheet is not in itself an unusual or complicated item. Almost all financial institutions have some activities or some assets that are off balance sheet. It is not appropriate to do off balance sheet activities to manage your quarter end. And

Interview - CHARLES PRINCE forced these vehicles to be reconsolidated. And on a going-forward basis, I don't think that the right answer is to say you can't have things off balance sheet, but I do think there has to be a way to think about dealing with a precipitous reversal of those activities.

Q In December of 2007, after you had left the company, Citigroup made the announcement that it would bring on assets and liabilities associated with the seven structured investment vehicles out of London.

A Uh-huh.

O The SIVs.

I know that occurred after your departure, but what role did you have in discussions concerning those SIVs prior to your departure?

A John Havens on our calls -remember I said we had these calls
periodically. John Havens was charged with
managing the SIVs and the liquidity of the
SIVs. He had taken that on as part of new

1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE		
2	responsibilities. And he would report on		Under the summary of risk		
3	being able to sell an asset here or to roll		management conclusions, "The assessment of		
4	over some commercial paper there and when I		Citigroup's overall risk management has been		
5	left was still reporting that he believed		downgraded from a satisfactory to fair. It		
6	that we would get through the funding		primarily reflects weaknesses in the firm's		
7			is setting and monitoring of its risk		
8	would be self funded. That is the last I	8	appetite and exposures that led to severe		
9	heard of it.	9	and unexpected losses and in its marking and		
10	MR. BONDI: For this next	10	bank business CMB."		
11	exhibit, I think we might not want Mr.	11	What is your reaction, sir, to		
12	Learner to leave with it given the	12	that statement?		
13	nature	13	A Well, this is, I have not seen		
14	MR. KARP: This is the Federal	14	this before. It is a document that appears		
15	Reserve April 15th	15	to be about 26, 27 pages long. And I don't		
16	MR. BONDI: Yes.	16	know the basis by which this first sentence		
17	MR. LERNER: I won't steal it,	17	of the summary paragraph, on what it is		
18	I promise. I will give it back.	18	based, and I don't know what the company's		
19	MR. BONDI: Do we have an	19	reaction to this was. So with all those		
20	understanding you will give it back	20	caveats, you know, I think it is I don't		
21	after the questioning?	21	really have a comment on it. I think it is		
22	MR. LERNER: I will give it	22	an unusual thing given the fact that the Fed		
23	back.	23	was embedded in our organization. But since		
24	(Thereupon, the document Bates	24	I didn't see it and don't know what the		
25	marked FCIC Citi 198 was marked CR	25	company's position is, I don't really have a		
	238		240		
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE		
2	Exhibit 15 for Identification, as of	2	reaction to it.		
3	this date.)	3	Q Please flip to the third page of		
4	BY MR. BONDI:	4	this document, Bates FCIC Citi 203. The		
5	Q Mr. Prince, I am showing you what	5	last sentence of the carryover paragraph		
6	is, was produced to us by the Federal	6	reads: "Further, senior management at the		
7	Reserve and it is marked FCIC Citi 198. It	7	firm allowed its drive for additional		
8	is dated April 15, 2008. It was to the	8	revenue growth to eclipse proper management		
9	board of directors, care of Mr. Pandit, your	9	of risk while risk management failed to		
10	successor.	10	serve as an effective check against these		
11	I take it, sir, you haven't ever	11	decisions.		
12	seen this document?	12	Do you have any reaction to that		
13	A I have not received or reviewed a	13	statement by the Fed?		
14	copy of this.	14	A I would just give you the same		
15	Q I would like to draw your	15	answer I gave before.		
16	attention, sir, to the second page and it	16	Q Next paragraph. "Management did		
17	refers to a downgrade to Citi with respect	17	not correctly identify and assess its		
18	to its risk management under the summary of	18	concentration to subprime risk exposures and		
19	risk management conclusions, Bates number	19	its CDO trading book which produced		
20	202?	20	significant losses that severely eroded the		
21	A Got it.	21	firm's capital and its reputation."		
22	Q I am going off of the page	22	Do you have any reaction to that		
23	numbers at the top.	23	statement?		
24	A I see that now. I apologize.	24	A Well, the "did not correctly		
25	Q It is Bates number 202.	25	identify its concentration," I think is		
23	239		241		

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 incorrect. I assume that people knew what the board and so forth, I would disagree 3 we had there. 3 with that. I think that we presented 4 4 information to the board in a very timely We did not correctly assess, I 5 5 guess that might be closer to it. No one fashion. 6 correctly understood what it meant to have 6 **Q** The next sentence pertains to the 7 those exposures in the CDO trading book. 7 board itself. It says, "The board of 8 And I would say with respect, the Fed didn't 8 directors in turn does not appear to have 9 9 either. posed the proper questions to senior 10 Q The next paragraph, the 10 management in the early stages of the penultimate sentence I would draw your 11 11 subprime mortgage crisis which otherwise 12 attention to, it begins with "it also did 12 might have caused senior management to not properly," the "it" I will submit, if 13 13 report more meaningfully and completely on 14 you carry over from the two prior, three 14 the potential impact on the firm's risk 15 prior sentences, it appears to refer to the 15 exposures and future earnings." 16 firm, Citigroup. 16 Again, do you have any reaction 17 "It also did not properly 17 to that statement? 18 highlight the funding and liquidity 18 A I simply disagree with it. I 19 implications of on-boarding assets and in 19 don't think that the board could fairly be 20 the case of certain counter-party credit 20 criticized in light of what market 21 exposures such as liquidity puts that had 21 professionals, the rating agencies and the regulators, in light of the conduct of those 22 been written to CDO conduits, under measured 22 their potential impact." 23 parties over the course of 2007, I don't 23 What is your reaction to that 24 24 think that our board can be criticized for 25 statement by the Fed? 25 what they did over the course of 2007. 242 244 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 A Again, without having read the Q The next paragraph, bear with me. 3 whole document and without having read the 3 A I understand. 4 company's response to it, all I can say is 4 Q I want to go through the next 5 5 that the Fed was embedded in our paragraph at the least, please. 6 organization, understood what we were doing. 6 "Exasperating this situation was 7 7 And beyond that I don't really have a the fact that communication among the 8 8 comment on this. independent risk management function 9 9 Q And if you would bear with me, business line management and senior 10 10 sir, I have a few more to ask you here. management on aggregated inherent subprime 11 A Sure. 11 risk across portfolios and products proved 12 12 Q The next paragraph, "Senior to be inadequate when credit and market 13 management, as well as the risk management 13 conditions deteriorated in 2007." 14 function charged with independent monitoring 14 Do you have a reaction to that 15 responsibilities, did not properly identify 15 sentence? 16 and analyze these risks," carrying over I 16 A I don't think that the issues 17 think from the prior paragraph, "in a timely 17 that we had in 2007 related to risk across 18 fashion and were slow in presenting them to 18 portfolios and products. I think it was 19 the board of directors and the audit and 19 pretty well encapsulated in the fixed income 20 20 risk management committee." business. 21 What is your reaction to that 21 I think that in 2008, in a broad 22 22 statement? consumer slow down, there were products in 23 23 A The first part of the statement I different areas, very similar to the rest of 24 would have the same reaction I had before. 24 the industry. But I think that in 2007 it 25 25 The second part, slow in presenting them to was actually a very narrow product, not a

245

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 broad based set of products. 2 business and had the background and the 3 Q Do you know if the CDO desks at 3 responsibility for running that business, I 4 Citigroup were communicating with other 4 think it's probably correct to say that they 5 entities within the Citigroup umbrella that 5 incorrectly discounted the probabilities 6 had some dealings with mortgages or the real 6 that the deterioration, et cetera, et 7 estate market such as the RMBS 7 cetera. 8 securitization desk or such as the 8 What I would object to or what I 9 origination teams at Citi financial? Do you 9 would not agree with is the use of the word 10 know if there was communication from the CDO 10 probability instead of possibility. 11 desk to other areas of the entities? 11 Q What do you mean by that? 12 A I don't. 12 The sentence says, "Senior 13 Q If there was not, would that have 13 management incorrectly discounted the 14 surprised you? 14 probability that something would happen." 15 A Communication about what? 15 It clearly wasn't probable that something 16 O Conditions in the real estate 16 would happen. It was -- in the spring and summer of 2007, it was at best remotely 17 market and the decline? 17 18 A I would have expected that anyone 18 possible. It was at the far end of unlikely 19 in trading business would have reached out 19 at that point in time, looking at every 20 to as many sources of market information as 20 external indicator, the documents you have 21 one could get. And that you would have a 21 shown me today proved that. 22 more welcome reception from members of the 22 So, it was not a probability that 23 family. So it seems to me logical that all 23 was discounted in that time period. It was of the parts of our business that dealt with 24 24 a remote possibility. I think they did 25 mortgages would have had a natural 25 discount, if I can change the words, they 246 248 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 inclination to call and get market color. incorrectly discounted the remote 3 That is all I can add. 3 possibility that the deterioration, et 4 4 Q Looking back at the document, the cetera, et cetera. 5 5 Without agreeing with every next sentence in that paragraph says: This, 6 meaning the communication -- strike that. 6 single word, I think if you were to say that 7 7 We have already covered I think that Maheras and Barker in the summer, not the 8 8 sentence or at that least that concept. spring, but in the summer made a mistake 9 9 The next sentence though, it about this possibility, this fairly remote 10 10 starts with, "Senior management incorrectly possibility, one of the documents said 11 discounted the probability that the 11 0.01 percent, I think, if their view of that 12 deterioration that was becoming evident in 12 turned out to be as wrong as it was, then 13 the CDO market and in the subprime credit 13 yes, they were incorrect about that. But I 14 conditions during the spring and summer of 14 think it was later in the year and I think 15 2007 would have such a significant 15 it was a remote possibility, not a 16 16 deleterious affect on the firm's valuation probability. 17 17 of its assets and its ability to syndicate O The next sentence reads: "While 18 problematic assets." 18 the firm ultimately released those managers 19 What is your reaction to that 19 whose businesses incurred the losses, some 20 statement? 20 other remedial actions were slow in coming 21 21 after the subprime CDO exposure was A Well, senior management 22 presumably includes more than just the CEO 22 identified and its ramifications on earnings 23 and the CFO and so forth. If you include in 23 were announced." 24 that Tom Maheras and Randy Barker, the 24 Do you have any idea what the, 25 25 people who were running the fixed income whether there were other remedial actions 247

1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 that were slow in coming after the subprime 2 our consumer group had significantly under-3 CDO exposure was identified and its 3 performed for a number of years and that he 4 ramifications on earnings were announced? 4 should be very watchful of the performance 5 A I don't know the answer to that. 5 of U.S. consumer business. And please don't 6 6 take that out of context. It is not that I O That may have occurred after your --7 A Presumably the ramifications on 7 didn't talk to him about the securities 8 8 earnings refers to our announcement in mid business. But he had a background in the 9 9 October and I was gone, yes, late October, security business. And so I am sure we 10 early November, and I was gone after that. 10 talked about the securities business, but it 11 Q When was your official last day? is not as if I felt that there was anything 11 12 A I think I was on the payroll special that I was going to add to his 12 13 until the end of December, but my last day 13 thinking on the subject, but he did not have 14 as CEO was November 4th. 14 a background in the consumer business. **Q** The last sentence of that 15 15 O Take you back in time, Mr. 16 paragraph is, "Two rounds of CEO write-downs 16 Prince, to some testimony that you gave on April 29, 1998? 17 were announced before a unit was formed to 17 18 concentrate on loss mitigation and enhancing 18 A That is a different lifetime, 19 the CDO valuation process." 19 isn't it? Testimony where, if I may ask? 20 What is your reaction to that 20 Q I will get to that. It was a 21 21 hearing before the House Financial Services statement? 22 A I don't have any reaction. I 22 Committee on bank mergers on April 29, 1998. 23 mean I know what the words mean but I think This was about a year before the Financial 23 24 it happened after I left. 24 Services Modernization Act, the 25 25 MR. LERNER: If you are done **Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act which repealed** 250 252 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 1 Glass-Steagall. And you said something 2 2 with this, I'm returning my copy. 3 3 there, and I just will read it for the MR. BONDI: Thank you. 4 record to get your reactions. BY MR. BONDI: 4 5 O Do you know someone named Richard 5 "The size, resources and 6 б Bowen? diversity of operations of the new company 7 7 A Richard Bowen. Doesn't ring a will provide the financial strengths and 8 8 bell. Sorry. stability necessary to survive and grow in 9 9 Q Did you offer any advice to Mr. today's rapidly changing world. 10 Pandit in his role as CEO when he took over 10 "Whether it is a country crisis, 11 as CEO? Did you give him any advice? 11 a real estate crisis or any other crisis, it 12 A Well, you have to remember that 12 is clear that the financial services company 13 Vikram didn't take over until some number of 13 of tomorrow and its customers must have the 14 14 ability to withstand shocks. weeks after I left. 15 So it is not as if there was a 15 "As companies become larger and more diverse, they are better able to serve 16 hand off of the football on a Monday 16 17 afternoon. 17 as a source of strength and stability, not 18 only for their affiliates but most 18 The only thing that is responsive 19 to your question, I did meet with Vikram for 19 importantly for their customers. This is 20 breakfast at some point. This would have 20 what we will do for the 100 million 21 been sometime in 2008, first half of the 21 customers of Citigroup." 22 year, but I don't remember exactly when. 22 What is your reaction to hearing 23 And he asked me my thoughts about different 23 that statement now that you had made on 24 parts of the company and so forth. And the 24 April 29, 1998? only thing I remember telling him was that 25 25 A I am not sure how to answer the 251 253

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 question. businesses. I don't think that is the right 3 3 O Fair enough. That was general, conclusion to take from that. 4 and I should be more specific. 4 Q Do you feel like Gramm-Leach-Bliley 5 Do you feel that your description 5 and the repeal of Glass-Steagall contributed 6 6 in terms of the size, resources and in any way to the financial crisis? 7 diversities of operations of Citigroup that 7 A Well, it is very interesting. 8 8 had developed as a result of the merger with You understand of course that by the time of 9 9 Travelers, the Citicorp/Travelers merger, Gramm-Leach-Bliley, the Glass-Steagall Act 10 and the growth through the years, put it in 10 in a legislative sense only applied to the a better position to withstand financial 11 11 insurance business, that the Fed had long 12 shocks? 12 had authority to have banks and be in the 13 13 securities business. And in fact when A Yes. 14 14 Travelers and Citi merged, the only issue The model that you were 0 15 describing in terms of the companies 15 that had to be dealt with was the 16 16 becoming larger and larger, more diverse and combination of the insurance business with 17 better able to withstand shocks, is that 17 the bank, not the combination of the 18 18 still your view to this day in terms of securities business. 19 companies being larger and better able to 19 But I take your question to be 20 absorb shocks as opposed to more focused and 20 the combination of securities businesses 21 streamlined, or are we talking about two 21 with banks, whether or not that is a good 22 different things? 22 thing or a bad thing, whether this ought to 23 A Well, I think we are talking 23 cause us to revisit, whether that is 24 about a couple of different things. 24 permissible or not. 25 In the case of the financial 25 The variety of firms that had 254 256 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 2 2 crisis, what we saw was that a variety of problems, I am talking the 2007, 2008 time 3 3 frame, not the consumer slow down after firms, some small, some medium, some large, 4 4 encountered significant problems. Bear that, were mostly securities firms. Bears 5 5 Stearns, Merrill Lynch -- Goldman and Morgan Stearns was a fraction of the size of 6 6 Citigroup, Merrill Lynch was somewhere in both bank holding companies because of this. 7 7 the middle. AIG was probably bigger in some Lehman Brothers of course. In our case, the 8 8 ways. And some were brokerage houses, some Salomon Brothers business part of Citi. It 9 9 were banks, some were insurance companies. was mostly the securities firms. And what 10 10 We see a whole variety of institutions that happened was that the Fed had to take over 11 were hit very hard by the super senior 11 responsibility for those in a funding and issue, basically. regulatory sense. 12 12 13 So, I don't think that from the 13 So, if we were to say we are 14 14 going to enforce a separation of these financial crisis one could reasonably take a 15 15 businesses, I am not sure what we would get view that size, type of business, or any of 16 those characteristics were determining 16 from that. The Fed had to take on the 17 securities businesses. As I understand it, characteristics. I don't think you could, 17 18 in light of Bear Stearns I don't think you 18 there is not much prospect for the Fed to 19 could come away and say well, gosh, the 19 let go of the securities business going 20 bigger the company, the more complex, the 20 forward, so they got it both ways. 21 more difficult it is. I don't think in 21 My sense is that combining a 22 light of AIG you can come away and say well, 22 volatile business with a more stable 23 it is the banks. I think, I think it is not 23 business is actually good, not bad. So, 24 something that fits into one of those types 24 again, if you wanted to roll the clock back 25 25 of businesses or big versus small to the time when the securities businesses 255

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 **Interview - CHARLES PRINCE** 2 2 "When do you think the damage occurred?" weren't as important as they were -- in the 3 3 And you responded, "Well, I think Great Depression, the banks were the main 4 4 conduit to the capital markets; they are not honestly that the lending patterns began to 5 5 any more, then I could see that. deteriorate pretty significantly in 2006." 6 6 In 2006, when the lending But I don't think that question, 7 and I don't mean it in a disrespectful way, 7 patterns began to deteriorate, did that 8 I don't think that question deals with the 8 sound off any alarms in your mind that there 9 9 reality of the modern world. The reality of could be trouble ahead? 10 the modern world is that securities firms 10 A Let me make sure that we are 11 and banks and insurance companies are all 11 working with all the comments in the right 12 participants in the capital markets and 12 area. 13 there is no way to artificially segregate 13 When I talked about lending 14 14 them. standards deteriorating, I was talking about 15 15 Q Your testimony more recently on the lending standards in the origination of 16 March 7, 2008, before the house committee on 16 mortgages. And if you look at the subprime 17 oversight and government reform, you 17 mortgage originations, as you know they are 18 testified that the risk models eventually 18 done by semi annual tranches. And it is 19 19 really in the 2006 tranches and the first proved to be inadequate. 20 A When was this? 20 tranche in 2007 that the quality really 21 21 Q March 7, 2008. began to deteriorate. So that is what I was 22 A What was that in the context of? 22 talking about in the raw material. 23 2008, I am sorry, yes, I have got it, right. 23 That judgment, that conclusion 24 **Q** House oversight committee? 24 can only be seen in hindsight, that is as 25 A I got it, sorry. 25 those tranches of originations for the 258 260 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 **Q** I think the title of the hearing industry had earlier and earlier and higher 3 3 was "CEO pay and the mortgage crisis." and higher default rates, it became clear in 4 4 You testified, and I am hindsight that in 2006 and 2007 the 5 5 paraphrasing, that the risk models underwriting criteria had fallen off 6 6 ultimately proved to be inadequate. significantly. 7 7 Do you still agree with that view When I talked about by the spring 8 8 that the risk models at Citigroup ultimately of 2007, the damage had been done, I was 9 9 turned out to be inadequate? referring to the comments of Tom Maheras and 10 10 A I think the risk models in the Randy Barker that when they saw the bottom 11 industry. I think the risk models of the 11 line in that chart on page five that I 12 rating agencies, I think the risk models of 12 talked about, when they saw the triple B 13 the regulators, I think everybody's risk 13 line start to deteriorate, which really 14 14 models turned out to be inadequate. happened when the HSBC had their problem 15 15 O During that hearing Congressman with associates in March, they said to me 16 Kanjorski asked you the following. He said, 16 and the board and others after the fact, in 17 "Mr. Prince, your bank was in trouble. 17 September, October, that they tried to go 18 Didn't you get any reports that there were 18 out and hedge the positions. And there 19 such horrible failures in the system?" 19 wasn't enough liquidity in the market, there 20 You responded, "I think 20 wasn't enough volume to hedge it. 21 Congressman, that in all on honesty, by the 21 And so my point was that whatever 22 time some of those reports surfaced in the 22 we owned in the spring, that top line that 23 spring of 2007, most of the damage had 23 was still flat, there was no ability to get

261

off of that by the time the spring came.

And I was referring to them having said that

24

25

24

25

already been done. That is -- " and

Congressman Kanjorski interrupts and says,

1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 2 they tried to increase the hedging and The rating agencies knew about that. The 3 3 wasn't able to. So, I am sorry, I am not press had stories about those kinds of 4 4 sure I have answered your question. Is issues. But everybody believed and 5 5 everybody believed up until October of 2007 there more to the question that I didn't 6 6 that the strength of the structuring process answer? 7 7 would keep the rising flood waters away from Q Let me ask it a little bit 8 8 differently, and that is lending practices the super seniors. It is just as simple as 9 9 in the subprime origination space -that. 10 10 A Right. And so it is not just the 11 O -- as of, as an industry began to 11 characterization of the underlying asset. 12 deteriorate if 2006. 12 It is also the characterization of the 13 Do you agree with that, not --13 result of the securitization process. And 14 outside of Citigroup? 14 as I said, for all I know, the super seniors 15 15 are still paying out. That was the A The industry. 16 16 **Q** And Citigroup had a CDO business question. Now, in hindsight, if I knew a 17 that relied on RMBS, residential mortgage 17 fraction of what I knew today, would I go 18 backed securities, where the underlying 18 and can them to get this stuff off books, of 19 asset of those residential mortgage backed 19 course I would. What is the difference 20 securities were subprime mortgages 20 between Citi and another bank? Our guys 21 21 originated by other originators other than didn't sell the super seniors. It is just 22 22 as simple as that. If our folks had sold Citi. 23 My question I guess is the 23 the super seniors, you would be asking me 24 following is: If you had known in 2006 that 24 why we were so much smarter than Merrill 25 Citigroup had substantial positions on its 25 Lynch. How did we get it right, you would 262 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE 2 books of CDOs and underlying those CDOs were 2 be asking me. And that decision was made 17 3 mortgages of originators that had 3 levels below my office by people who had, I 4 deteriorating lending standards, if you had 4 believe, a good faith belief in what they 5 5 known that in 2006, could you have asked Mr. were doing. But it's overly generous to me 6 Maheras or Mr. Barker, take hedge positions 6 to say if I had known X would I have gone 7 7 on that? Could you have asked people to and changed the world. I would like to say 8 8 take defensive mechanisms that would have yes, but I think it is more complicated than 9 9 prevented Citigroup from having substantial that. 10 losses in the future? 10 O If I heard correctly today, 11 A Look, the answer that helps me is 11 though, in 2006 and early 2007, you didn't 12 to say yes. But that is too simplistic an 12 know the positions --13 answer even though it serves my personal 13 A Correct. 14 interest. The reality is that it would have 14 **Q** -- that were being taken on CDOs? 15 depended upon both an appreciation of the 15 A Correct. 16 underlying quality of the asset and the 16 Q I have learned to always ask one 17 belief in the strength of the securitization 17 question at the end that and that is, is 18 18 there anything, Mr. Prince, that you model. 19 In '06, everybody, the rating 19 expected me to ask or expected to talk about 20 agencies, everybody knew that lending 20 today that we haven't?

265

A Gosh, you have covered so much

ground, so quickly. We talked about the

regulators, we talked about risk. We talked

about the board. I can't think of anything

rating agencies, we talked about the

264

263

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

standards were lax. They didn't know they

lax. It is not as if no doc and low doc

loans or the fact of negative amortization

were deteriorating, but they knew they were

products was unknown in 2006 or early 2007.

1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE		
2	else, no.	2		
3	Q And, Mr. Prince, in fairness	Page Page		
4	obviously to you and to the process here, is	4		
5	there anything that you would like to add?	5 EXAMINATION BY MR. BONDI		
6	Is there anything that you feel that either	6		
7	the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission or	7 EXHIBITS		
8	its staff ought to know, anything that you	8		
9	would like to share?	9 E-mail Bates numbered Citi FCIC E 40		
10	A Well, I really wish that it	10 31616 was marked CR Exhibit 1		
11	hadn't happened. I don't wish that for me,	11		
12	but I wish it for the institution and I wish	Printout of remarks of April 19, 45		
13	it for the people of the institution. But	13 2007 to the Greenlining		
14	maybe that came through already.	14 institute's 14 Annual Economic		
15				
16	Q Mr. Prince, we are obviously in a	Summit in Los Angeles, Californiawas marked CR Exhibit 2		
17	confidential interview. I just ask that you	16 was marked CR Exhibit 2 17		
18	obviously don't discuss what we talked about			
	today with anyone outside of your counsel.			
19	A You get to the senior enough	19 91764 and 91765 continuing on to		
20	level in life, you forget things very	765, which purports to be the		
21	easily.	warehouse lines of credit with		
22	Q And, Mr. Prince, on behalf of the	mortgage originators from 2000 to		
23	Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission and its	23 2010 was marked CR Exhibit 3		
24	staff I would like to thank you for your	24		
25	time today and your candor. Thank you.	Presentation Bates Citi 7657 was 70 268		
	200	200		
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	1 Interview CHARLES DRINGE		
1 2	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE A Thank you for such a professional	1 Interview - CHARLES PRINCE		
2	A Thank you for such a professional	2 marked CR Exhibit 4		
2 3	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	2 marked CR Exhibit 43		
2 3 4	A Thank you for such a professional	 2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 		
2 3 4 5	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	 2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 5 were marked CR Exhibit 5 		
2 3 4 5 6	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	 marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 		
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	 marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	 marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	 marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 5 were marked CR Exhibit 5 6 7 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 8 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 9 10 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 11 marked CR Exhibit 7 12 13 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 14 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 5 were marked CR Exhibit 5 6 7 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 8 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 9 10 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 11 marked CR Exhibit 7 12 13 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 14 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR 15 Exhibit 8		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR Exhibit 8		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR Exhibit 8 Power Point deck Bates marked 146		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR Exhibit 8 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 FCIC 99654 was marked CR		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR Exhibit 8 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 FCIC 99654 was marked CR Exhibit 9		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 5 were marked CR Exhibit 5 6 7 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 8 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 9 10 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 11 marked CR Exhibit 7 12 13 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 14 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR 15 Exhibit 8 16 17 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 18 FCIC 99654 was marked CR 19 Exhibit 9		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR Exhibit 8 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 FCIC 99654 was marked CR Exhibit 9 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 159		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	marked CR Exhibit 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 were marked CR Exhibit 5 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 marked CR Exhibit 7 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR Exhibit 8 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 FCIC 99654 was marked CR Exhibit 9 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 159 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 159 21 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 159 22 31582 was marked CR Exhibit 10		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 5 were marked CR Exhibit 5 6 7 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 8 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 9 10 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 11 marked CR Exhibit 7 12 13 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 14 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR 15 Exhibit 8 16 17 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 18 FCIC 99654 was marked CR 19 Exhibit 9 20 21 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 159 22 31582 was marked CR Exhibit 10 23		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 5 were marked CR Exhibit 5 6 7 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 8 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 9 10 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 11 marked CR Exhibit 7 12 13 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 14 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR 15 Exhibit 8 16 17 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 18 FCIC 99654 was marked CR 19 Exhibit 9 20 21 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 159 22 31582 was marked CR Exhibit 10 23 24 The document marked Citi 1611657 174		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Thank you for such a professional engagement.	2 marked CR Exhibit 4 3 4 Documents Bates Citi FCIC 24594 96 5 were marked CR Exhibit 5 6 7 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 110 8 24768 was marked CR Exhibit 6 9 10 Document Bates Citi FCIC 2648 was 127 11 marked CR Exhibit 7 12 13 E-mail chain Bates marked Citi 137 14 FCIC E 36374 was marked CR 15 Exhibit 8 16 17 Power Point deck Bates marked 146 18 FCIC 99654 was marked CR 19 Exhibit 9 20 21 E-mail chain Bates Citi FCIC E 159 22 31582 was marked CR Exhibit 10 23		

F		
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	
1		
2	Products, The Way Forward,	
3	September 2007, Michael Raynes	
4	was marked CR Exhibit 11	
5		
6	E-mail Bates marked Citi FCIC E 201	
7	16495 was marked CR Exhibit 12	
8		
9	Document Bates marked Citi FCIC E 209	
10	41087 was marked CR Exhibit 13	
11	11007 was marked eff Lamon 15	
12	Document Bates marked FCIC 2970 213	
13	was marked CR Exhibit 14	
14		
15	Document Bates marked FCIC Citi 238	
16	198 was marked CR Exhibit 15	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	0.50	
	270	
1	Interview CHADLES DDINGE	
1	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE	
2	Interview - CHARLES PRINCE CERTIFICATION	
2 3		
2 3 4	CERTIFICATION	
2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATION I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public	
2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATION I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do	
2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATION I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of March, 2010.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of March, 2010.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of March, 2010.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of March, 2010.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of March, 2010.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, JESSICA R. BERMAN, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of March, 2010.	

A
abated 225:14,15
abcp 213:24,24
ability 25:25 106:21
107:21 112:18,20
154:24 155:15,24
182:7,14,23 183:13
183:15 228:18 233:7
247:17 253:14
261:23
able 19:20 52:4 175:3
178:25 183:18
185:22 186:25 238:3
253:16 254:17,19
262:3
abs 71:25 72:8 156:10
200:13,14 214:4,5,5
219:11,11,11,19,21
220:2,7
absence 231:15
absent 90:13
absolute 23:20 24:11
170:3
absolutely 118:19 absorb 254:20
absorb 234:20 abx 103:4
accelerated 227:15
228:19
accept 188:7 196:8,16
196:18
accommodate 167:10
accomplish 16:7
accomplished 171:5
account 226:18
accountant 199:5
accounting 83:18
199:4,6 222:15,18
223:4 225:4,7,25
226:25 227:4,4,9,14
227:18,20 228:2 235:8,12
accountings 226:8,13
accumulated 181:14
accurate 134:16 176:8
203:17
accurately 134:8
acquire 37:22 63:15
63:16 66:3,20
acquired 16:9
acquiring 24:15
acquisition 16:9 22:14
24:13 37:20 38:4
58:2,6 60:17 63:21
193:16
acquisitions 5:21 22:19,21 23:9 26:4
26:11,12 27:13,17
37:21 132:13 135:8
57.21 152.15 155.0

1
136:3,5,14,22 137:9
act 234:11 252:24,25
256:9
acted 93:25 176:22
177:3 194:15,22
action 93:22 271:13
actions 236:19,19
249:20,25
activities 10:15 13:5
15:23 28:9 37:24
43:15 49:21 50:8
101:6 112:9,12
141:5 177:22 235:22
235:25 236:10 237:8
activity 10:22 16:12,23
30:2 32:21 44:13
49:19,24 50:19 51:7
55:10,12 56:6 61:9
63:4 94:24 95:2
105:24 111:9 120:15
123:9 151:16 162:10
173:19 191:11
235:10
actual 11:15 50:22
206:25
add 247:3 252:12
266:5
adding 95:6
additional 34:11 104:3
241:7
address 29:3
addressing 30:22
adequate 54:18
adequately 19:20
adjustable 47:2
106:15 107:2,2
administrative 6:19
6:21 14:9 17:23 18:8
18:9,12,23 33:16,20
advice 196:7,8 251:9
251:11
advise 196:16
advisory 44:16
affect 100:3,4 247:16
affiliates 31:4 253:18
afternoon 167:4
195:15 196:17
251:17
agencies 36:21 72:19
78:9 79:6 82:7,8,12
85:4 88:23 117:22
118:3,19,21 119:10
120:11 191:15 193:2
193:4 198:16 229:23
236:22 244:21
259:12 263:20 264:2
265:23
agency 62:19,20 63:3

02.7
83:7
agenda 15:4 16:25
aggregate 164:14
165:2
aggregated 72:20,24
164:19 245:10
aggregating 164:4
aggressive 46:11,20
aggressive 40:11,20
47:24 48:5,22 52:20
132:11 135:6
aggressively 234:11
ago 27:20 42:16 57:15
60:15 63:24 64:23
162:21 182:3 203:19
agree 127:2 179:20,22
204:25 212:5 248:9
259:7 262:13
agreed 58:20
agreeing 249:5
agreements 61:6,11
154:22 155:12,20
156:3 182:6,11,21
ah 95:4
ahead 20:9 36:24
58:24 65:12 117:21
260:9
aig 223:13 255:7,22
al 218:3,4 221:18
alain 195:21
alan 53:25 191:18
alarm 81:25
alarms 260:8
albright 4:10
alexander 191:23
aligned 233:23 234:3
allemployee 29:14
allowed 61:18 241:7
alluded 198:20
alpha 186:12
alternatively 161:12
163:18
1, ,1 ,00,40
altogether 108:10
amazed 178:10
amazed 178:10 america 193:17
amazed 178:10 america 193:17
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24 amount 11:3 79:23
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24 amount 11:3 79:23 201:15 235:9
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24 amount 11:3 79:23 201:15 235:9 amounts 53:17
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24 amount 11:3 79:23 201:15 235:9 amounts 53:17 analogize 163:10
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24 amount 11:3 79:23 201:15 235:9 amounts 53:17 analogize 163:10 analogy 24:16 163:10
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24 amount 11:3 79:23 201:15 235:9 amounts 53:17 analogize 163:10
amazed 178:10 america 193:17 americas 1:7 2:9 ameriquest 57:20 58:2 58:5,8 59:4 60:5,16 63:15,17 65:14,15 65:19,20 66:3,5,20 194:16 amortization 46:25 48:24 106:14 263:24 amount 11:3 79:23 201:15 235:9 amounts 53:17 analogize 163:10 analogy 24:16 163:10

analysis 89:2 141:24
178:16,17 179:23
190:17 208:25
analyst 113:9
analysts 112:23
analyze 243:16
andrew 202:2,3,4
angeles 45:12,20
268:15
announced 207:21,25
249:23 250:4,17
announcement 202:13
237:11 250:8
annual 7:12 45:11,19
117:6 260:18 268:14
anonymous 29:23
33:23 34:3
answer 11:21,21 14:2
29:6 35:20 36:14
43:25 51:15 52:4,13
63:9 66:23 71:10
73:22 75:15 76:9
73:22 75:15 76:9 79:13,13 82:4 100:9
100:24 109:20 116:7
119:19,25 131:22
119.19,23 131.22
141:18 147:20 154:9
169:17 171:7 186:6
186:10 190:8 194:11
198:6 200:4,6
205:11 207:4 211:13
220:9,10,11 236:11
237:4 241:15 250:5
253:25 262:6 263:11
263:13
answered 177:2 262:4
answering 109:11
136:7 180:2
answers 142:23 143:5
143:5 167:16,18,20
anticipation 160:13
anybody 25:18 29:24
93:21 105:2 143:22
156:2 190:7 220:24
228:11
anymore 122:21
anyones 36:6
apologize 12:11 23:15
217:23 239:24
apparent 198:12
apparently 41:23
42:20 115:12 139:11 142:3,7 143:12
165:20 177:21
236:25
appear 244:8
appears 40:22 128:3,7
128:13 129:11
146:20 159:16 174:5
1.0.20 137.10 174.3

201:25 202:8 209:24 240:14 242:15 appetite 240:8 **applied** 256:10 applies 121:5 **apply** 3:16 162:6 appraisal 107:17 108:14 appreciate 123:19 137:6,16 219:6,8 appreciation 47:15,20 106:20 263:15 **approach** 176:18 232:2 **appropriate** 23:9,12 25:8 46:14,17 127:3 164:24 165:3 184:3 212:15 235:24 236:5 appropriately 205:16 **approve** 58:20 61:24 approved 65:12 118:22 approximate 151:5 approximately 14:17 30:21 31:3 april 7:12 45:10,18 72:4,15,21 73:17 238:15 239:8 252:17 252:22 253:24 268:12 arbitrage 46:9 area 16:8 41:23 45:7 73:4 132:10,18 135:4 185:8 191:11 231:17 260:12 areas 10:7,9 15:3,9 131:17 212:20 245:23 246:11 arent 13:24 24:7 25:15 117:19 211:20 argued 195:24 arm 47:2 106:24 arms 48:23 106:15 107:2,2 **arps** 2:13 arrow 218:18 artfully 123:5 **article** 68:24 120:19 artificially 53:10 118:7,16 258:13 asia 14:6 asked 50:19 61:13 100:23 101:22 125:3 125:6 177:6 205:9 205:20 251:23 259:16 263:5,7 asking 105:9 169:24 171:22 228:13 229:4

229:19 230:8 264:23	133:19 134:18	132:3 148:14,17	106:19 107:15	bernankes 124:7
265:2	148:11 217:10	153:6,19 183:2	111:13,17 112:20	191:20
aspects 64:17,18 112:5	authority 256:12	190:18 235:10,12,15	113:14 116:14 120:3	best 19:14 80:13 85:23
assault 181:8	auto 221:7	235:20,23,24 236:10	135:20 136:3 171:4	94:18 116:16,19
assess 241:17 242:4	automatic 107:9	236:14 237:5	182:9 229:11 231:4	120:7,12 143:3
assessment 240:3	available 64:6 165:10	balanced 22:15	237:3 240:16	154:13 155:4 167:12
asset 73:19 94:7 157:3	avenue 1:7 2:3,9	baltimore 5:7	bates 40:15,21 56:23	172:10 189:23
157:5 180:22,25	averaged 199:21	banco 58:3	57:9 70:5,10 78:24	197:22 231:25
181:10 201:10,11	avoid 39:23 142:24	bank 6:23 8:14 11:7	96:10,16 97:4 110:5	248:17
213:25 236:13 238:3	avoided 190:11	11:11 14:5 28:6	127:19,20 129:21	bet 111:18,18 192:24
262:19 263:16	aware 58:6 62:9 72:6	43:21 44:17,21,25	137:20 146:15,19	223:24
264:11	72:13,23 73:18,25	58:4 74:9 75:7 76:17	157:11 159:5,11	better 78:4 87:13,14
assets 54:11 68:14	74:19 75:20 132:23	77:2 85:7,10,11,17	201:18,24 202:21,22	119:24 140:6 150:19
153:19 154:2 170:8	132:25 133:3,9	100:18 155:13	209:14,20 213:2,3	151:22 173:9 184:21
171:20 178:21 179:5	135:24 151:4 169:4	160:22 175:21	217:21 238:24	186:15,24 187:21
179:16 190:23	awful 111:21 113:21	228:23 232:12	239:19,25 241:4	188:24 224:17
191:14 194:14,18	115:10 117:20	240:10 252:22	268:9,18,25 269:4,7	231:12 253:16
203:5 219:24 235:23		256:17 257:6 259:17	269:10,13,17,21	254:11,17,19
237:11 242:19	В	264:20	270:6,9,12,15	betting 106:19
247:17,18	back 4:23 10:12 27:19	bankers 28:8	batia 156:10	beyond 243:7
assigned 33:6,7,8	35:3 37:7 67:13	banking 10:21 11:13	bear 69:9 193:19	big 82:13 90:4 91:18
assistant 2:4 165:18	73:24 77:3 80:10	11:24 43:17 85:13	243:9 245:2 255:4	109:6 129:7 133:7
166:3 211:7	81:21 82:3,14,17	86:6 92:15 110:17	255:18	161:14,15 163:20,21
associated 73:19,20	96:2,6 101:20 102:5	111:5 115:7 121:15	bears 257:4	164:20 193:15 196:2
75:21 94:7 95:10,15	106:17 107:14	126:10 162:8 177:20	becoming 139:4	196:5 199:12 211:10
95:24 141:8 161:9	115:12 121:16	bankrupt 156:2	184:23 247:12	231:11 255:25
168:19 176:25 214:2	126:23 138:8 142:14	banks 52:18,23 76:12	254:16	bigger 23:21 24:12
214:19 237:12	142:25 143:17,24	76:22,25 77:8	began 26:25 84:6	37:20 81:19,19,19
associates 192:7	144:4 145:24 155:16	121:21,25 122:5,23	140:2,4 141:3	151:21 255:7,20
261:15	155:20 156:3,5	127:5 227:12 255:9	144:25 203:4 216:25	biggest 35:8 44:4
assume 13:11 165:11	164:10 165:24	255:23 256:12,21	217:9,16 260:4,7,21	163:12
166:11 203:16 242:2	166:16 172:2 182:14	258:3,11	262:11	billion 23:24,25 24:6,8
assuming 210:20,21	182:17,24 187:13,20	barker 82:25 85:19,24	beginning 139:5 203:6	59:17,20 79:21 98:3
228:4	196:22 207:14,16	86:15,25 92:9,23	begins 242:12	133:22 153:6,20,21
attached 202:13 210:5	216:24 217:13	93:4,10,13 145:4,7	behalf 2:8,14 210:2	163:8 170:8 194:8
attaching 209:24	218:21 222:5 236:8	147:16 149:5 156:18	266:22	195:9 200:13,13,14
attachment 97:2,3	236:14 238:18,20,23	167:25 169:11,21	belda 195:21	203:7,9,22 205:3
attachments 96:17	247:4 252:15 257:24	174:25 176:4,23	belief 36:2,7 86:21,24	206:13,17,19 207:21
attending 210:8,24	backed 73:19 94:8	177:4 179:7 180:5	87:3,6,16 88:14	208:2,2,20 209:5 215:18
attention 40:25 41:6	135:9 136:18 213:25	180:15 187:25	90:19 119:21 120:2	
42:8 45:24 71:20	262:18,19	222:14 247:24 249:7	120:3 132:20,22	birenboim 2:10 70:17
74:5 77:20 79:2,18	background 8:15 9:24	261:10 263:6	142:15 178:25	70:20 204:13 205:25
97:2,19 129:13	12:14 193:6 194:3 248:2 252:8,14	barkers 85:21 base 8:17 37:23 64:5	210:13 263:17 265:4 believe 7:24 27:24	206:5,8 208:8 214:9
138:4 147:22 150:25 153:8 161:5 169:16	backing 199:15	164:14	28:19 30:11 53:25	214:11 222:3 bit 27:9 69:25 80:23
200:8 202:19,24	backup 76:18,22,25	based 4:12,13,14	54:17 67:2 87:2	91:24 94:16 109:10
239:16 242:12	201:13	20:11,12 47:12,14	101:3,9 104:9 120:4	112:18 114:11
attorney 6:4	backwards 77:25	51:17 92:11 100:7	168:2,23 169:3,5	123:23 148:22
audit 17:25 18:14	bad 55:7 58:23 59:4,23	105:11 109:3 142:10	230:23 232:9 265:4	163:25 164:11 185:6
29:10 32:25 33:8,17	60:6,10,10 67:6 69:7	187:7 199:9 204:8	believed 35:23 77:21	200:22 217:9 232:17
210:6,8,11,24 211:4	92:17 117:16 131:5	220:21 230:3 240:18	99:8,16 101:5	262:7
211:8,11,14 229:12	163:10 187:20	246:2	180:10,19 189:24	blood 271:14
243:19	188:18 189:14	basic 10:14 131:14,17	191:15,15 238:5	blow 198:18
auditors 229:13	217:23 227:19	basically 82:10 86:4	264:4,5	board 8:2,7 18:5 117:6
august 62:5,8 110:3,18	256:22 257:23	88:21 107:24 108:19	believing 230:3	128:14,17,20,20,25
114:21 116:4,24	bag 182:15	125:6 184:19 255:12	bell 251:8	129:15,18 131:23
117:8 128:10 129:12	baking 136:17	basis 53:23 65:10	benefit 77:5	133:18,25 134:10,13
130:25 131:19	balance 23:11 26:14	79:16 101:7 106:17	berman 271:5,20	134:19 139:14 160:9
	ı	ı	1	1

160:13,14 195:24
196:21 197:25 202:5
211:3,6,9,11,15
212:5 213:9 214:7
214:14,21 228:23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
239:9 243:19 244:2
244:4,7,7,19,24
261:16 265:25
boards 29:4 197:9
bob 7:22,24 8:6 9:12
9:20 12:18 13:4
20:24 21:5 83:3,4
138:8 139:10,14
142:5,10,13
bobs 8:3,15 9:9,24
12:12,13 21:19
bond 22:5 119:13
222:25
bondi 2:4 3:2,6 18:3
40:13,19 45:15 57:6
61:22 67:10,13,15
70:8,23 73:15 96:14
105:8 110:8 124:8
124:10 137:17,23
150:8 159:8 167:5
167:12,17,23 174:15
201:17,22 204:24
206:3,7,10,11,20
207:19 209:18
207.19 209.10
214:10,13 222:6,10
224:23,25 238:10,16
238:19 239:4 251:3
251:4 268:5
book 39:2,3,4,7 66:16
79:4,20 80:22 81:2
94:13 123:21 124:3
173:20 185:2 201:11
241:19 242:7
books 38:24 48:10
72:14 87:20 89:13
92:19 96:2,6 111:7
123:25 124:4,5,7
181:15 200:24
201:16,16 222:19,21
227:11 263:2 264:18
borrow 76:24 108:3
borrower 106:21
DOI 10 WC1 100.21
107:3,14 108:12
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4 bottom 32:20 45:24
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4 bottom 32:20 45:24 110:11 114:19
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4 bottom 32:20 45:24 110:11 114:19 124:25 132:7 134:6
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4 bottom 32:20 45:24 110:11 114:19 124:25 132:7 134:6 134:24 138:5 139:10
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4 bottom 32:20 45:24 110:11 114:19 124:25 132:7 134:6
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4 bottom 32:20 45:24 110:11 114:19 124:25 132:7 134:6 134:24 138:5 139:10
107:3,14 108:12 borrowers 108:21 borrowing 108:22 bosses 189:8 bother 47:4 bottom 32:20 45:24 110:11 114:19 124:25 132:7 134:6 134:24 138:5 139:10 159:14 160:6 161:6

```
220:22 261:10
bought 57:22 58:21,25
  66:24 118:23 137:12
  173:21
bounce 10:2
bouncing 9:21
bowen 251:6,7
box 99:11 217:22
bracket 160:3
brad 2:11 3:6
bradley 2:4
breach 223:23
breached 148:21
break 41:9,15 63:12
  67:7,11,12 114:9
  159:3 167:11,21
  224:21,24 225:2,3
breakfast 251:20
brief 67:12 90:4
  167:21 224:24
briefly 4:18,25 144:10
bring 80:16 140:22
  141:19 190:16
  237:11
broad 52:25 99:23
  235:16 245:21 246:2
broader 140:21 153:3
broadly 100:2 116:3
  121:5
broke 224:15.18
broken 10:25
brokerage 255:8
brokers 47:24 48:5,9
  52:21,22 68:19
brothers 38:9 69:8
  120:6 193:20 257:7
  257:8
brought 80:15 81:2
  89:17
bruce 2:10
bubble 46:15 53:20
bucks 208:19 215:17
budget 16:2 112:9,21
  112:22 113:16 115:2
buergel 2:11 61:4 73:6
  103:5 149:14 150:4
  204:16 206:20
bugger 164:15
building 112:13
built 97:23 104:15,19
  105:13 156:12
  161:22 233:15.17
bullet 71:20,20 97:22
  148:13,20 150:20,24
  152:2 154:21 156:7
  156:15,25 157:7,14
  158:8,10 177:14
  180:20 181:18,23
```

bullets 177:18 179:22
bunch 115:21
burst 53:20
bushnell 17:10 19:24
19:24 20:15 40:24
90:9,18 91:20
120:11 143:19 144:5 145:5,23 147:16
165:11,14,22 166:5
166:7,18 191:7
229:14
bushnells 165:22
189:19
business 10:10,16,18
10:20 12:15,16,17
12:22 13:20,23 14:4
14:6,13,15 15:18,19
17:18 18:24 19:2,5
19:18,22 20:6,11,17
21:13 22:22 23:2
26:23 32:11,14,16 32:18,19 33:5,11,13
33:19 34:6,8 35:7
36:21 37:5,8 39:11
41:19,21,22,25 42:7
42:14,25 43:14,15
43:17 44:6.14.15.16
43:17 44:6,14,15,16 44:18,18,22,24 45:3
45:8 49:4,5,5,7,8,11
49:13 50:3,11 57:20
62:18 63:3,3,5 64:8
64:12 65:2,4 66:10
66:11 68:20 69:12
76:13 77:18 80:14
85:25 86:17,18
87:10,12 88:10 90:2
90:11 93:20 99:5,6,7
100:3,5 101:5
105:17,20,22 111:5 111:6 112:5,7,19
113:8,15 114:9
115:8 119:7 121:11
121:15,18,19 122:9
123:16 126:23
136:13,16,18,23,25
137:12,15 139:21
140:9,20 148:5,23
149:18,22,23,24
150:16 151:3,6,10
150:16 151:3,6,10 151:12,13,19,21,23 152:11,21 153:10
152:11,21 153:10 156:10,25 158:13,17
158:21,25 161:21
162:8,19 164:8,18
164:22 172:13
173:16 176:12,15,25
. ,

182:25 183:9 186:14

202:25

177:19,20,23 181:24 186:23 187:4,13,14 187:22.24 188:3 189:9,10 192:25 193:3,8,13,15,16 203:8 208:24 226:3 226:4 240:10 245:9 245:20 246:19,24 248:2,3 252:5,8,9,10 252:14 255:15 256:11,13,16,18 257:8,19,22,23 262:16 **businesses** 11:2 15:8 20:3,7 26:5 49:2 86:8,13 112:8 161:13,22 163:19 164:3 178:13 190:20 249:19 255:25 256:2 256:20 257:15,17,25 busy 22:18 buy 22:25 23:5,19 24:5 42:3 57:21,22 58:16 60:20,25 62:24 64:21,24 65:22 66:8 66:12 194:18 224:4 **buyer** 62:21 **buying** 23:19 50:3 53:16 58:11.20 66:9 66:10,12 131:7 173:5 \mathbf{C} 268:15 162:23 194:2 195:10

calamity 117:14 calculation 209:8 calendar 83:24 **california** 45:13,20 **call** 47:13 60:9 148:6 202:16,19 203:12,16 204:6,19 210:6,9,12 210:13,14,20,21,22 210:25 247:2 **called** 4:10 5:6 13:19 13:21,23 29:13 41:23 72:9 77:10 106:2 122:22 148:10 165:9.23 195:7.15 195:20 208:17 calls 82:21,24 83:10 87:8 90:22 91:8 237:21,22 canary 117:13,18 candid 134:10.16 candor 266:25 cant 52:9 60:25 75:8

79:25 80:5,8,17 81:8

89:10,10,23 90:15 90:24 91:10 94:10 95:11.19 97:11.15 102:25 104:20 115:14 125:22 146:2 146:3,8,12,13 157:22 195:16,17,18 200:18,25 205:5,18 205:20 214:25 215:2 215:5 225:18 234:3 237:4 265:25 capital 11:13,23 53:15 136:16 177:19 178:6 241:21 258:4,12 card 41:23,24 221:9 care 68:13 69:19,22 239:9 **career** 232:20 **careers** 233:18 carefully 90:24 131:12 137:5 138:25 139:7 140:14 180:12 carlyle 44:7 carry 242:14 carrying 243:16 carryover 241:5 cars 162:7 case 18:11 75:9 93:2 99:3 120:18 131:3 165:5 170:23 180:19 192:21 198:14 223:19 233:13 235:5 235:5 236:12 242:20 254:25 257:7 cases 47:5 108:7 caseshiller 103:5,6,8 199:9 cash 178:4 199:8 200:13 233:4,7 catalyst 97:6 98:25 categories 102:13 category 46:24 69:4 102:10 106:24 107:21 230:3 **caught** 156:21 166:9 cause 57:17 77:16 81:25 256:23 caused 27:7 59:18 152:17 190:23 215:20 224:12,13 232:12 235:13 244:12 causes 3:9,11 227:14 231:8 **caution** 168:15 cautioning 91:9 cautious 145:13 168:9 caveat 109:17,19

	i i		1	İ
caveats 240:20	certified 118:22	46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1	233:1 234:1 235:1	31:4,20 34:23 38:2,3
cc 159:17	certify 29:21 271:7,12	50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1	236:1 237:1 238:1	38:13 39:4,8 43:10
cd 186:25	cetera 84:18,19 117:11	54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1	239:1 240:1 241:1	48:16 55:11 58:6
cdo 35:7 37:4 44:8,22	136:19,19,19 138:15	58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1	242:1 243:1 244:1	59:3,13,15 62:9
72:7 74:2 77:17	162:15,16 183:14,14	62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1	245:1 246:1 247:1	63:22 72:13 73:11
78:20 81:2 86:23	195:18,18 248:6,7	66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	248:1 249:1 250:1	73:25 74:19 75:13
93:19 99:4 105:17	249:4,4	70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1	251:1 252:1 253:1	75:22 79:11,24
109:16 119:18 134:4	cfo 247:23	74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1	254:1 255:1 256:1	84:10 86:22 87:17
144:15,15 149:23	chain 33:12,15,19	78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1	257:1 258:1 259:1	88:14 89:7 90:8 92:3
150:16,21 151:2,6	109:25 110:2,4	82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	260:1 261:1 262:1	96:16 97:14 100:13
151:10,20 152:20	113:24 137:19 138:2	86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1	263:1 264:1 265:1	102:19 105:12 109:4
156:10 161:3 174:2	138:5,18 142:17	90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1	266:1 267:1 268:1	109:8,24 119:16
176:25 181:7,24	159:4,13 169:4,10	94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1	269:1 270:1 271:1	125:2 127:19 137:25
186:15 188:3 200:13	269:7,13,21	98:1 99:1 100:1	chart 216:4,6,18	141:8 146:19 152:18
200:14 214:5 219:12	chains 33:11,20	101:1 102:1 103:1	218:15 261:11	159:10 174:4 186:4
241:19 242:7,22	chairman 6:25 7:10,13	104:1 105:1 106:1	check 241:10	187:7 200:2 207:20
246:3,10 247:13	7:25 124:7,21	107:1 108:1 109:1	checked 42:21	212:6 214:18 225:8
249:21 250:3,19	134:19 160:10	110:1 111:1 112:1	chemical 202:6	228:21 229:5 231:20
262:16	challenges 43:8,21	113:1 114:1 115:1	chief 6:18,20 14:9,10	232:10,15 235:8,10
cdo2 219:21 220:2	198:22	116:1 117:1 118:1	17:9,22 18:7,9,22	235:14 237:10
cdos 51:22 71:25 72:8	chance 101:17 143:25	119:1 120:1 121:1	19:4,8,12 20:2,15,21	242:16 246:4,5
73:21 74:15,20	153:21,24	122:1 123:1 124:1	20:25 21:5,8,9 33:16	253:21 254:7 255:6
75:24 76:3 89:8	change 9:5 18:21	125:1 126:1 127:1	189:19 191:22	259:8 262:14,16,25
94:16,20,21 97:23	107:9 156:22 181:19	128:1 129:1 130:1	child 121:14	263:9
98:8,9 99:19,24,25	181:22,23 212:19	131:1 132:1 133:1	china 53:16	citigroups 49:16 57:8
100:6,14 103:19	228:8 248:25	134:1 135:1 136:1	choi 149:12	65:14,18 67:20
104:14,18,21 105:12	changed 5:21 8:19	137:1 138:1 139:1	christina 96:18,20	70:11 205:2 240:4
109:8 123:17 133:22	36:6 78:10 88:20	140:1 141:1 142:1	chuck 105:3 125:2	citis 96:2,6 113:7
133:25 134:2,4	123:11 156:19	143:1 144:1 145:1	circle 225:17	151:4 179:9 236:12
141:8 160:17,24	190:25 265:7	146:1 147:1 148:1	circumstance 120:14	clarification 123:19
168:3,5,18,19 169:2	changes 6:9 19:7,9	149:1 150:1 151:1	circumstances 93:8	137:6 219:8
172:7,8,11,17,20,23	156:8 167:11 178:18	152:1 153:1 154:1	122:5 223:22	clarify 184:7
178:9 196:20 198:23	changing 30:9 203:6	155:1 156:1 157:1	citi 40:16,21 56:24	class 157:3 181:10
214:4,5 219:11,19	253:9	158:1 159:1 160:1	57:9 63:15 70:5,10	classes 236:13
220:7 263:2,2	channel 94:17 142:25	161:1 162:1 163:1	72:7 78:24 96:11,17	classesconsummated
265:14	143:18,24 144:4	164:1 165:1 166:1	97:4 99:7 102:20	157:5
central 32:22	145:24	167:1 168:1 169:1	104:18 109:24 110:5	clear 23:7 28:16,17
cents 173:6,22	character 102:4,9	170:1 171:1 172:1	110:17 113:4 127:19	39:11 45:4 61:4
century 62:2,11	171:6 194:14	173:1 174:1 175:1	127:21 137:20,25	71:15 73:6 86:2 98:7
ceo 4:17 6:24 7:6,17	characteristics 50:7	176:1 177:1 178:1	146:19 150:25 151:8	98:15,20 102:8
7:19,23,24 8:23 9:22	154:5 255:16,17	179:1 180:1 181:1	159:5,11 174:5,10	130:19 139:19
13:9,13,18 18:18	characterization	182:1 183:1 184:1	177:11,12 178:25	141:17,23 147:8
21:20 22:7,11 31:5	222:7 264:11,12	185:1 186:1 187:1	181:15 190:12	172:20 177:5 184:23
34:21 61:23 71:24	charge 187:2 188:19	188:1 189:1 190:1	201:19,24,25 202:11	222:9 253:12 261:3
85:6 97:14 111:14	charged 237:23	191:1 192:1 193:1	206:4 209:15,20,21	clearly 46:13 69:21
125:2 146:21 147:2	243:14	194:1 195:1 196:1	211:17 213:2,22	91:5 146:24 194:15
151:7 160:10 190:9	charity 28:10	197:1 198:1 199:1	238:25 239:7,17	229:19 230:25
190:13 202:5 232:23	charles 1:4 3:1 4:1,2	200:1 201:1 202:1	241:4 246:9 256:14	248:15
247:22 250:14,16	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	203:1 204:1 205:1	257:8 262:22 264:20	cliched 21:19
251:10,11 259:3	10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1	206:1 207:1 208:1	268:9,18,25 269:4,7	client 8:13,17 28:9
ceos 124:23 159:14,20	14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1	209:1 210:1 211:1	269:10,13,21,24	clients 234:19
certain 18:2 83:22	18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1	212:1 213:1 214:1	270:6,9,15	cliff 218:10
84:17 99:10 112:9	22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1	215:1 216:1 217:1	citibank 38:8	clock 257:24
169:6 236:13 242:20	26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1	218:1 219:1 220:1	citicorp 5:25 229:5	close 51:9 56:10,15
certainly 34:7,24	30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1	221:1 222:1 223:1	233:9 254:9	83:18,19 92:24
167:9 173:16 211:2	34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1	224:1 225:1 226:1	cities 103:11	111:7 135:12,13
213:14 232:24	38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1	227:1 228:1 229:1	citigroup 4:17,19 5:10	163:9
certification 271:2	42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1	230:1 231:1 232:1	6:2,12 7:20 27:14,18	closed 67:3

closer 50:22 51:20 55:20 56:7 59:7 242:5 closest 134:5 **closing** 89:13 closings 83:18 **cmb** 11:7 135:8 137:10 138:15 213:8 240:10 **coal** 117:18 **coceos** 85:10 cogeneral 6:11,13 **cohead** 86:19 coheads 86:3 **coin** 119:2 collaborate 15:15 **collapse** 82:11 236:22 **collapsed** 82:7 85:4 88:23 117:22 119:10 119:12 collapses 81:11 collated 33:25 collateral 71:25 72:8 180:22,25 colleagues 100:18 colloquial 28:21 color 173:18,24 216:5 247:2 **colored** 76:10 **columns** 42:19 combination 46:5 256:16,17,20 **combined** 22:17 46:8 161:15 163:21 combining 257:21 come 11:15 22:8 28:4 38:3 75:4 101:18 124:24 138:21 151:23 153:17 154:16 165:18 175:8 181:8 189:14 191:7 193:13 255:19,22 comes 115:6,6 comfortable 55:16,22 56:9,19 64:15 66:14 **coming** 78:15,16 91:6 117:14 128:21 187:13 191:22 206:16 218:21 249:20 250:2 **comment** 46:4 142:13 142:14,20 191:17,20 240:21 243:8 commentary 113:24 comments 142:4 260:11 261:9 **commercial** 5:7.9.14 5:20,23 6:5 38:5 73:19 75:11,13,22

76:18,21,23,25 77:3 77:10 94:8 95:23,25 213:25 238:4 commission 1:2 2:2 3:7 167:19 266:7,23 commissioned 103:24 commitments 160:25 **committee** 7:25 13:22 13:22 210:6,9,12,25 211:4,9,12,14 229:12 243:20 252:22 258:16.24 commodities 86:9,14 **common** 124:21 communicating 246:4 communication 245:7 246:10,15 247:6 communications 33:22 communities 46:13 48:2 community 48:25 **companies** 6:4 13:20 16:10 18:16,17 24:7 37:25 40:5 53:16 76:19,20 224:7 253:15 254:15,19 255:9 257:6 258:11 company 5:2,6,7,9,12 5:15,22 6:6,8,24 8:9 8:10 9:22 10:6,13 12:2,6,8 13:12 16:9 18:18 21:12,15 22:17,19,20 23:5,6 23:23 28:23 29:19 37:19,22 38:7,9,10 39:20,25 42:5,10,25 50:18 51:13 52:6 56:21 59:9 64:3,14 67:5 74:10,11,12 75:6 76:20 77:3 92:10 93:4 105:19 110:24 113:14 116:20 117:7 128:25 129:9 130:21 146:8 165:3 190:18,24 198:12 212:19 215:16,17 227:21 229:9 230:6 232:18 232:20,21 233:25 234:6,7,16 236:15 237:10 251:24 253:6 253:12 255:20 **companys** 22:13 29:16 116:17 240:18.25 243:4

compared 12:17 94:24

151:5

compensated 231:19 compensation 231:21 231:24 232:7.8.10 232:17 233:3,16,18 233:22 234:10,25 competition 126:18 competitive 125:3 competitively 151:14 competitor 186:17 competitors 151:6 187:3 199:12 complained 117:16 complete 134:22,25 135:17 149:7 167:18 167:20 212:19 completely 156:12 161:24 212:10 230:12 244:13 complex 118:13,24 119:3 171:21 255:20 complexity 118:11 complicated 26:25 120:22 121:7 123:12 130:23 199:3 225:12 235:17,21 265:8 complications 25:8 75:18 188:21 component 38:2 components 114:10 215:12 composed 171:10 concentrate 250:18 concentration 241:18 241:25 concept 67:17 161:23 162:20 247:8 concern 29:25 47:11 57:16.18 59:18 65:18 66:4 99:3 104:23 198:3 215:5 **concerned** 19:19 116:2 116:5,22 129:5 145:2 concerning 166:19 237:19 concerns 29:4 34:4 47:5.7 84:6 106:11 166:8 conclusion 84:4,10 256:3 260:23 **conclusions** 36:16,18 36:23 239:19 240:3 conditions 116:3 117:20 121:22 245:13 246:16 247:14 **conduct** 244:22

conduits 242:22 confederation 38:16 40:4.5 conference 9:11 confidence 189:11 confident 89:16 confidential 266:16 confusing 220:16 congress 3:8 230:14 congressman 259:15 259:21.25 connect 61:21 connected 126:13 connection 93:19 106:9 consciously 132:10 135:5 188:24 consequences 69:6 164:23 171:12.14 consider 127:12 consistent 50:17 56:20 132:15 162:18 183:4 consistently 150:25 constantly 34:10 constraints 158:5 constructed 136:9 consult 4:14 93:12 consulting 4:6 consumer 10:18 12:17 14:4 26:22 41:19,21 41:25 42:21,24 49:4 65:3 99:12 100:4 135:6 136:13,15,23 172:12 173:16 193:16 194:17,19 245:22 252:2,5,14 257:3 contained 43:13 87:11 contemplated 157:18 contemporaneous 87:18 191:23 context 25:22 41:5,11 41:13 54:6,12 60:18 66:7 75:2 76:11 77:17 79:16 80:24 94:11 97:11 101:19 115:14 116:9 122:4 122:9 123:13 125:17 125:25 126:22 127:13,14 129:4,5 129:10 130:16 138:18 140:9.11 145:16,17,21 157:10 158:22 172:15 176:11 177:4 185:16 185:19.25 189:16 190:16 201:5 215:14 218:24 229:17

236:16,17 252:6 258:22 contexts 201:4 **continue** 26:4 64:25 93:7 196:6,14 continued 6:7 7:22 8:2 195:11 213:23 continuing 56:25 57:10 69:12,13 84:14 268:19 continuum 49:19 50:21 51:24 55:15 55:21 56:4 144:13 contracts 112:16,17 contribute 26:6 contributed 232:13 235:13 256:5 contributing 226:10 226:18 contributor 231:11 **control** 29:10 controversial 226:16 controversy 28:19 convened 83:10 convenient 224:22 conversation 81:22 103:14 125:21 126:2 126:3 215:6.7 conversations 80:4 103:22 143:20,24 144:4,8 145:16,25 convey 122:10 convinced 209:7 coordination 41:9,15 copy 202:14 217:23 239:14 251:2 **copying** 40:23 160:12 core 29:16 corp 159:14,21 **corporate** 6:22 10:19 14:5 20:14 42:7,22 42:23 44:21,25 68:22 74:8 85:11 86:7 115:7 121:14 136:17 160:4,22 corporation 160:11 161:21 220:24 correct 11:5,19,21 109:9 133:3,12 152:19 206:10 209:9 209:22 224:11,12 248:4 265:13.15 correctly 27:23 241:17 241:24 242:4.6 265:10 correlation 156:11 correspondingly 158:24

conduit 258:4

	_
cost 77:5	
costs 112:11	
couldnt 57:22 75:12	
108:3 126:25 127:9	
counsel 2:5 6:6,7,11	
6:14,15,16 17:17	
18:6 33:16 57:8	
266:18	
counsels 104:8 150:18	3
counted 31:16	
counter 69:14 181:25	
182:13,22 183:11	
counterparts 122:23	
counterparty 242:20	
countries 31:11,14,19	
32:10	
country 32:15 64:4	
102.2.252.10	
103:2 253:10	
couple 21:3,4 83:6	
89:20 115:8 121:12	
132:4,6 133:17	
140:18 149:6 168:9	
208:18 209:3 215:10	6
200.10 207.3 213.10	,
227:24 254:24	
course 4:17 8:7 10:24	
23:10 42:2 48:20	
77:18 83:17 87:12	
116:25 122:22	
126:15 157:23	
159:17 167:14	
158:17 167:14 185:11,12 209:6	
185:11,12 209:6	
216:20 219:4 233:14	4
244:23,25 256:8	
257:7 264:19	
court 46:3 207:13	
courtesy 121:3	
24.0	
courting 24:9	
cover 14:22 70:12 71:	6
96:18 127:25 128:5	
	2
128:15 147:2 209:23	3
209:25	
covered 208:5 247:7	
265:21	
cr 40:17 45:13 57:4	
70:5 96:11 110:5	
127:21 137:21	
146:16 159:5 174:13	3
201:19 209:15 213:4	
238:25 268:10,16,23	3
269:2,5,8,11,14,18	
269:22 270:4,7,10	
270:13,16	
crazy 218:22	
create 54:10 155:10	
	_
created 54:19,22,23,2	
109:8 118:17 227:20	0
creating 5:25	
creation 231:12	

credibility 196:13 credit 5:7,9,14,20,23
6:5 35:24 38:5 41:22
41:24 57:2,11 58:7
58:17 59:12 60:10
60:11 129:22,25 131:14 146:21
147:24 148:2 149:16
147:24 148:2 149:16 149:20 150:13,15,17
157:14 174:7,11
177:12,15,21 178:4
204:3 219:16 220:14 221:8 232:12 242:20
245:12 247:13
268:21 269:25
creditor 155:13
crisis 1:2 2:2 3:7,10
38:22 43:22,23 58:17 118:4 119:15
191:21 192:14 226:6
226:8,10,13 230:16
230:21,22 231:14
236:25 244:11
253:10,11,11 255:2
255:14 256:6 259:3 266:7,23
criteria 47:14 63:2
261:5
critical 31:21
criticized 244:20,24
crittenden 40:24 89:14 91:20 145:5 159:17
160:12 165:17,21
203:11,21 204:5
206:12,15 208:17
210:3
crittendens 168:6
cross 43:14 44:24 culture 25:10 30:9,10
37:11,24 38:6,7,8,10
38:13,13,16 39:11
39:25 40:6
cultures 37:11,18
38:12 40:5 cumulative 27:6
115:20
currencies 86:14
currency 118:6
current 79:20 106:17
106:19 107:15 200:9
200:16 currently 4:3
customary 92:15
customers 112:17
253:13,19,21
cut 222:17
cycles 46:16

<u>D</u>
daily 82:21 83:10
90:22 91:8 101:7 111:4,13,17 112:20
111:4,13,17 112:20
117:15 139:4
damage 98:2 164:17
228:19 259:23 260:2
261:8
dance 120:24 123:7
125:11
dancing 120:24 dangerous 130:6
dash 79:4,19 156:11
157:2
date 7:7 40:18 45:14
57:5 62:4,6 70:7
96:13 110:7 114:24
124:20 126:6,7
127:22 134:18
137:22 146:10,12,17 159:7 174:14 201:21
209:17 213:6 239:3
dated 41:2 96:19 110:3
138:6 141:14 146:22
159:17 210:3 239:8
dave 17:10 142:25
143:18 145:5 165:9
165:13 229:13
david 18:20 19:12 20:4
40:23 66:16 90:12 90:16,21,21 91:9,20
103:13 120:11
143:18,20,21,25
144:5 145:12,16,18
146:10 160:9 161:19
165:11,14 166:2,4
168:13 189:22 190:2
190:7 191:7
davids 166:8 189:25 day 9:14,17,18 13:11
18:20 21:17 24:23
58:21 82:10 83:14
87:24 88:8,9 90:13
91:2,2 93:5 95:4
111:7,8,8,10,11
113:15,16,16,22
114:3,5,7,21 115:11
115:11,12,19,22 116:3 117:16 146:3
146:4,11 194:8
200:25 209:6,8
223:12 250:11,13
254:18 271:17
daybyday 113:14
days 38:21 78:10
81:10,20 83:7 84:13

93:5 108:2 113:17
113:19 116:20 146:5
196:23 197:22
208:16
daytoday 8:9 12:20
db 165:9,11
dc 2:3
deal 101:23 129:25
deal 101:25 129:25
152:3,8 173:22
194:16 209:4 219:16
228:18
dealing 146:7 237:7
dealings 246:6
deals 194:17 258:8
dealt 246:24 256:15
dear 138:7
death 176:3
debate 227:7 230:14
232:6
decade 53:7,8 119:7
december 3:12 237:9
250:13
decent 39:16
decide 93:18 107:3,8
decided 196:18
deciding 107:14
decision 63:14,16
64:16,18 66:19,20
93:9,13,17 196:15
265:2
decisionmaking 83:22
decisions 11:10 119:17
119:20 232:13
241:11
241.11 Jack 72.9 14.79.24
deck 73:8,14 78:24
97:5 146:15,21
174:6 177:11 181:7
204:20 210:6 211:17
213:22 269:17
decline 99:9,22 236:24
246:17
declined 97:24 98:16
203:10,22 205:3
205:10,22 205:5
declining 98:10
deemed 72:18
deep 151:11
deeply 141:20
default 72:18 79:8
223:10,16,21 261:3
defects 162:2
defensive 188:11,13
263:8
deferring 106:18
defined 183:3
definitely 185:24
219:7,20
definition 22:21

118:12 degree 52:4 99:10 132:10 135:4 162:4 deleterious 247:16 delinquency 99:13 **demand** 48:17 49:16 54:20 118:18 demonstrated 183:14 department 40:12 127:7 **departure** 92:3 237:18 237:20 **depend** 50:20 **depended** 263:15 dependence 178:20 179:3,14 depression 103:21 192:15 258:3 deputy 2:5 **describe** 4:18 25:21 32:4 38:17 172:3 **described** 23:14 29:3 34:13 38:14 48:7,18 49:22 56:3 102:17 144:4 167:24 168:9 168:16 174:21 191:13 197:7 199:24 describing 48:19 52:11 104:9 254:15 description 49:25 106:6 254:5 designation 8:3 desire 46:7 139:20 desk 21:17 51:22 71:24 72:7 149:20 169:9 180:19 234:9 246:8,11 desks 52:12 173:13 246:3 despite 192:16 234:19 destroyed 119:13 detail 35:22 36:20 58:13 138:24 144:11 168:16 detailed 19:17 42:6 75:17 105:23 140:7 170:14 197:10 232:5 details 65:9 deteriorate 84:15 102:21 216:25 217:3 217:16 260:5,7,21 261:13 262:12 deteriorated 215:4 216:23 245:13 deteriorating 145:20 173:4 260:14 263:4 263:22 deterioration 44:5

88:25 89:21 91:4

84:21 247:12 248:6 249:3 determine 212:13 determined 221:14 determining 255:16 deutsche 175:21 devastating 171:14 develop 39:24 developed 200:2 231:21 254:8 development 177:12 devoted 30:22 dialogue 82:19 83:25 **diary** 146:9 didnt 9:5 20:10,12 39:21 40:8,10 43:14 44:23 61:23 66:25 81:17 89:18,20 99:24 102:8.23 108:25 119:22 120:4 128:20 132:21 133:24 136:12 140:21 142:24 143:13 146:9 155:21 156:22 157:24 164:17,20 168:2 172:16,22,23 175:19 178:11 182:23 183:20.20 185:17.18 185:19,20 186:8 188:5,6,9,22 193:13 196:3 198:2,8 209:10 229:20 233:13 234:6 240:24 242:8 251:13 252:7 259:18 262:5 263:21 264:21 265:11 die 135:15 difference 154:18 157:24 191:7 264:19 **different** 9:7 10:3 35:2 35:12,13 44:17 51:3 68:22 78:2 87:21 100:25 107:11,20 108:8 141:21 171:9 171:19 180:5,14 182:3,4 190:19,20 194:2,14 199:11,19 201:3,4 205:10 215:2 245:23 251:23 252:18 254:22,24 **differently** 190:3,4,11 194:12 227:3 262:8 difficult 23:18 24:11 130:5.22 131:6 196:10 255:21 difficulties 34:18 60:19,21 64:9,11

66:11,13 188:22 225:7 diligence 120:17 dim 27:4 176:3 dinner 124:12,16,18 126:5,8 dinners 124:22 dip 65:24 176:16,16 186:23 direct 13:17 19:6 33:21 71:20 79:2,18 138:4 141:17 161:5 215:25 directed 194:19 directing 127:12 129:13 direction 8:8 10:5,9 21:14 51:12 59:9 112:15 116:5 193:7 directions 235:3.4 directly 13:9.16 50:13 50:15 127:6 175:7 219:25 director 2:4 195:21 directors 130:21 196:21 213:10 214:7 214:15 215:21 229:12 239:9 243:19 244:8 disaggregate 146:3,13 disagree 9:3 244:2,18 disclosing 207:22 disclosures 231:23 232:3 **discount** 248:25 discounted 199:8 247:11 248:5,13,23 249:2 discreet 52:11 discrepancy 203:25 **discuss** 266:17 discussed 87:24 discussing 168:22 196:20 **discussion** 73:3 95:7 96:5 102:7 126:16 146:21 147:3 180:4 197:6 204:10 213:8 230:9 discussions 145:22 218:25 232:5 237:19 dislocation 186:17 189:12 dislocations 189:8 disparate 165:2

disrespectful 258:7

disruption 156:13

distance 10:13

distinction 12:25 189:2 distinguish 13:10 98:11 **distribute** 48:11 69:5 69:18 178:25 183:15 183:18 185:21,23 distributing 69:6 distribution 110:16 178:20 179:10,15 diverse 253:16 254:16 diversified 157:2 diversities 254:7 diversity 253:6 divert 219:2 diverted 26:20 **division** 105:18 doc 106:5 107:12 109:7 263:23.23 **document** 40:14 45:25 56:23 57:13 70:25 94:4 96:9 97:8 99:11 104:3 109:22 127:18 127:20 146:23 147:8 147:9,10 159:3 174:9,16,19 182:4,4 200:8 209:14 213:3 213:12 238:24 239:12 240:14 241:4 243:3 247:4 268:18 269:10,24 270:9,12 270:15 documents 18:5 96:10 204:9 248:20 249:10 269:4 doesnt 63:5 68:11,15 69:18 92:20 107:13 153:11 162:9 231:6 236:11 251:7 doing 15:14,14 25:8 32:6 42:25 50:14,15 50:16 64:22 84:18 108:7 115:2 117:3 122:13 127:10 128:25 129:10 139:9 174:22 229:15 243:6 265:5 dollar 173:7,22 190:23 dollars 23:3,24,25 107:19 108:15 133:23 185:4 208:20 215:18 domestic 3:10 **donald** 156:9 dont 4:25 11:24 12:3 20:24 31:14 34:14 38:18 39:14,18,22 40:8 41:17 43:5

57:24 58:12 60:3 61:3,8,20 62:24 63:9 63:11 65:8,16,21 66:6,17,23 68:17 69:23 71:2 72:11,16 73:2 77:12 78:12 79:14,15 80:18 81:12 87:4 88:5 91:15,15,18 93:21 93:24 96:24 98:7 101:15 103:15 105:2 105:2,4,6 106:24 107:8,17 108:13 119:19,24 121:5 124:20 126:6 130:17 141:11,11 145:14,14 146:9 154:17 156:2 156:4,16,23 157:8,9 157:19,24 158:3 161:14.23 163:2.15 163:20 166:12.13.14 166:15 167:21 169:5 169:12,16 171:6 175:4,4 176:17 178:10 179:17,25 180:11,13 184:5 186:6,6,9 187:13,16 189:8,10 190:4 193:9 194:3,9,11 199:13 200:4,6 203:14,17 204:9,13 204:16,23 205:5,10 205:17 206:25 207:6 207:8,12 210:11,20 211:3,11 212:7 213:13 215:12,18 218:21,22 220:9,10 220:11 221:4,11,15 223:6,8,10,16 224:10 225:25 226:2 227:10,11 228:11 230:7 231:13,17 234:15,17 235:5 236:6,7,7 237:3 240:15,18,20,24,25 243:7 244:19,23 245:16 246:12 250:5 250:22 251:22 252:5 255:13,17,18,21 256:2 258:6,7,8 266:11,17 door 195:12 **dotcom** 160:4 double 24:14 25:4,13 25:19 doubt 3:19 134:3 dow 202:6 downgrade 217:16

218:2,4,9 221:24 239:17 **downgraded** 36:8 78:9 82:8 119:10 240:5 downgrades 83:8 221:18,25 222:12 downtown 15:11 140:19,25 dozens 10:25 13:6 draconian 180:21 dragging 188:25 dramatic 12:9 230:6 dramatically 97:25 draw 45:23 97:18 129:21 147:21 150:25 202:18,24 239:15 242:11 **drawing** 13:2 189:3 drawn 130:10 drive 241:7 driven 22:14 65:14 83:24 123:10 **driving** 195:11 drop 224:13 drove 195:7 druskin 20:24 21:5,7 40:23 83:4 93:15 110:3,10 111:19 115:9 117:15 139:15 dubas 2:5 due 3:11 120:17 duly 3:23 271:9 dvnamic 91:25 dynamics 102:2 \mathbf{E} earlier 20:5 36:13 67:19 71:7 74:7 106:9,13 109:3 110:9 118:5 123:22 132:15 133:13,21

135:21,23 139:24 145:2 167:13 168:16 170:22 171:23 172:7 179:8 181:4 183:6 188:17 189:21 191:4 191:8 208:6 225:11 230:10 231:2 261:2 261:2 early 8:24 26:24 87:15 116:12 130:24 184:19 192:6 244:10 250:10 263:25 265:11 earned 215:17 **earnings** 113:2,4,6,7 202:13 204:6 244:15 249:22 250:4,8

earthquake 163:12 encapsulated 245:19 221:18 248:6,6 facilities 59:20 269:2,5,8,11,15,19 easier 82:4 encompass 161:14 249:3.4 269:22 270:4,7,10 **facility** 59:17 62:5,10 easily 103:16 266:21 163:20 **europe** 15:11 22:5 facing 131:14 270:13,16 easy 101:21 encountered 255:4 27:3 28:5,14 exist 231:7 fact 11:19 98:13,24 ended 55:8 190:21 existed 199:25 200:6 154:11 155:25 eclipse 241:8 evaluate 34:23 economic 45:12,20 event 119:14 124:12 196:24 existing 95:7 167:19 215:24 222:15 223:2,5,18 ends 216:11 139:22 161:10,15 exotic 46:11,21 48:22 240:22 245:7 256:13 enforce 154:24 155:24 268:14 162:13,23,24 163:3 52:20 60:13,24 61:2 261:16 263:24 257:14 economist 191:23 163:21 166:9 194:25 factor 226:10,10,19,19 106:13 **engage** 83:22 194:25 224:14 exotics 60:9 61:17,18 economy 53:21 factors 46:6 edges 92:6 198:17 **engaged** 5:20 28:8 228:18,20 expansion 23:13 factory 54:15 231:11 **edging** 208:4 82:19 events 43:7 102:16,20 **expect** 191:3 facts 207:2 219:8 effect 181:6 226:20 139:23 168:21,23 expected 47:15 176:5 factually 171:18 engagement 267:3 246:18 265:19,19 failed 230:20 241:9 235:6 engaging 29:25 eventually 58:23 95:15 **failure** 230:17 effective 241:10 engineering 54:11 139:2 169:11 258:18 experience 120:15 enhanced 118:15 **everybody** 24:19,20 experienced 150:21 failures 259:19 effectively 183:13 **effort** 40:2 enhancing 250:18 24:23 36:22 198:10 190:12 230:17 fair 11:2 92:25 102:20 **eight** 137:18 208:15,20 enormous 164:22 198:19 230:13 **explain** 138:21 109:2 222:6 240:5 213:21 214:17 219:9 **ensure** 31:18.20 32:2 explained 23:16 254:3 263:19.20 264:4.5 219:17.23 220:5 entailed 144:8 everybodys 259:13 explaining 47:4 fairly 8:13 88:24 either 69:23.24 88:22 entire 12:7 41:5 87:23 evident 115:16 247:12 explosion 82:13 244:19 249:9 101:13 123:4 128:18 98:21.23 111:13 **evolving** 144:13 exposed 46:16 fairness 266:3 160:13 184:18 201:7 121:3 130:9 exact 91:11 126:6 **exposure** 65:15,18 **faith** 265:4 228:15 242:9 266:6 entirely 78:2 exactly 31:15 115:14 71:16,24 72:7,20,23 **fall** 6:17 27:3 43:8,11 elaborate 55:23 entities 42:8 246:5,11 130:18 138:21 73:3 77:12 95:10 44:3,9,23 168:22 181:9 219:5 227:15 125:17 **entitled** 97:5 147:24 251:22 100:19 132:21 elevated 152:22 153:4 174:6,10 200:9 examination 66:15 133:10 134:2,5 **fallen** 261:5 **email** 40:15,22 41:2,7 269:25 197:10 268:5 141:7 164:7 183:10 **falls** 156:17 218:10 41:17 96:18.23 97:3 entity 6:12 23:18 **examine** 102:12 185:14 200:10.16 familiarity 105:23 109:25 110:2,2,4,10 42:10 68:11 86:4.4 **examining** 42:5 43:12 201:2,9,11,14 203:4 familiarize 213:19 110:12,12,13 111:2 environment 53:8 143:7,8 203:7,20 204:2 **family** 246:23 84:12 118:16 144:14 example 23:3 26:9 205:2,24 206:13,22 fannie 62:21,24 137:14 111:20 113:23 133:15,21 137:19 episode 28:6 33:4 69:9,9 108:20 207:22,25 214:19 far 74:11 207:7 217:17 138:2,5,18 139:10 equation 54:21 164:6 215:14 249:21 250:3 248:18 141:13 142:17 159:4 equities 85:15 86:9,14 examples 15:25 22:23 exposures 132:8 135:2 fashion 81:13 243:18 34:10 106:13 159:13,14,21 160:16 **equity** 114:15 121:20 161:13 163:19 244:5 fast 197:2,4 165:6,19,20,24 121:21,24 122:6,9 exasperating 245:6 214:23 215:8 240:8 excellent 100:21 **fault** 37:3 201:18 202:2,7,8 123:14 126:17 241:18 242:7,21 209:23,25 210:2 132:18 140:12 excess 46:6 123:10 244:15 favorable 121:23 268:9 269:7,13,21 173:22 exchange 41:18 express 65:17 198:3 fcic 40:16,21 56:24 270:6 **eroded** 241:20 excited 78:7 153:8 **expressed** 42:12 87:10 57:9 96:11,17 97:4 emails 41:4 111:12,16 eruptions 30:14 excitement 78:13 88:16 89:6 167:25 109:24 110:5 127:19 171:24 **esq** 2:10,11,11,12,16 exclamation 41:10,16 **expressing** 66:4 90:19 127:21 137:20 138:2 125:12 126:21 146:15,20 159:5,11 embarked 29:12 essence 92:7 exclusively 45:2 **embedded** 170:16 estate 100:3 164:6,12 119:22 120:5,16 201:19,25 209:15,21 162:17 168:15 193:3 229:8 240:23 172:15,18,19 173:3 excuse 41:7 168:5 extend 61:9 211:17 213:2,4,22 243:5 173:15,25 191:21 193:9 extended 54:5 58:7 238:25 239:7 241:4 emphasize 123:13 192:14,24 220:24 executive 7:25 13:22 59:13,15 77:14 268:9,18 269:4,7,10 extensive 231:22 232:4 234:13 221:6 246:7,16 97:20 269:14,18,21 270:6 253:11 **emphasized** 29:16,17 exhausted 196:14 extent 215:8 270:9,12,15 29:18 estimate 113:4 exhibit 40:17 45:13 external 27:18 84:12 fdic 228:25 229:2 employed 233:6 estimated 98:2 57:4 70:3.6 96:12 248:20 feature 226:3 **employee** 16:6 33:21 estimates 113:2,10,13 110:6 127:21 128:6 extraordinary 215:10 **fed** 28:19 30:6 53:19 33:23.23 34:5 137:21 146:16 159:6 **extremely** 8:16 72:19 124:12.18.22 127:6 113:17 110:24 et 84:18.18 117:11 174:13 201:20 79:7 150:21 240:22 241:13 242:8 employees 29:14 242:25 243:5 256:11 136:19,19,19 138:14 203:20 205:8.14 evebrows 77:16 232:11 162:15,15 183:14,14 209:16 213:5 238:11 257:10,16,18 F enabling 51:10 195:18,18 218:3,3 239:2 268:10,16,23 federal 27:12 29:4

228:22,23 238:14 239:6 **fee** 186:16 feel 12:16 34:21 40:10 41:4 50:24 59:2 84:8 113:19,21 143:23 187:23 208:4 254:5 256:4 266:6 feet 9:11 189:2 **fellow** 19:11,16 85:9 174:22 felt 101:10 117:3,7 252:11 fifth 79:19 **fiftv** 115:3 **fight** 196:6,7 **figurative** 91:22 145:3 168:10,11 figuratively 144:24 145:4.6 168:13.14 figure 159:23,25 160:15 162:10 **files** 66:5 **filing** 31:9 **fill** 165:10 filtered 175:5 final 142:23 143:4,5 **finally** 199:20 **finance** 49:4 194:19 **financial** 1:2 2:2 3:7,9 34:18 38:22 43:22 43:23 48:15 52:19 52:23 54:10 64:18 65:6 76:12,15 118:4 118:11 119:14 120:20 121:4 183:11 183:21 184:2 224:15 224:18 226:6,8 235:14,21 246:9 252:21,23 253:7,12 254:11,25 255:14 256:6 266:7,23 **find** 25:4,16 75:8 128:23 210:5 **finding** 11:19 25:9 167:19 215:24 **fine** 67:9 144:23 218:23 **finely** 80:2 **finish** 167:13 **finished** 167:6 211:24 **fire** 176:6,20,24 177:6 **firm** 4:10 24:18,25 25:2,3,6,12 241:7 242:16 249:18 firms 69:10 121:20,21 121:24 122:6 123:14 126:17 193:20 224:8

240:6 241:21 244:14 247:16 255:3 256:25 257:4.9 258:10 first 5:11 10:23 14:20 15:7,16 16:11 18:19 21:20 41:6 68:12 70:12 71:23 73:25 74:4 75:20 76:3 77:19 78:11,14,16 79:3 80:7,11 81:21 81:22 82:18 87:18 89:6,17 90:2,7,25 94:6 96:20 97:19 104:21 107:7 110:11 110:12,21 113:5,23 113:23 116:15,15,18 116:18 117:4 121:6 128:9 129:14 132:5 138:4.4.13.14.17 139:11.12 140:18 141:7 142:8,22 143:3,11,12,13,16 150:20 158:9 159:20 161:7 168:17 175:12 175:14,14 177:14 178:19 181:7,22 183:9 196:19 197:5 211:8 216:20,22 240:16 243:23 251:21 260:19 **fit** 46:24 145:17 fits 255:24 five 12:23 26:11,12 37:11,17 96:9 103:8 103:11 119:6 164:7 164:13,13,18 177:10 215:17 216:4 217:19 217:20 219:14.16 221:17 261:11 **fivepoint** 29:13 30:3,7 30:24 38:14 40:3 234:13 **fixed** 35:7 43:13 44:14 44:17 45:2 50:3 85:14,15,24 86:8,13 86:16,18 87:5,9,11 105:21 113:25 114:9 114:12 120:8 136:24 137:10 148:6,8 149:17 151:11,13 154:13 161:2 177:25 189:10 193:5,7,14 245:19 247:25 **flat** 261:23 flip 71:11 78:23 150:9 178:14 186:11 213:17,21 217:14

241:3

flom 2:13 **flood** 192:18 223:21 264:7 **flow** 35:14 36:15 42:18 55:10,12 63:4 137:15 199:8 230:10 **flowing** 35:12 flows 32:23 53:15 118:6 fly 68:20 focus 21:10,10 22:7,13 22:14 31:25 40:25 41:6 45:7 74:8,13 121:14 132:16 140:12 172:5 173:24 231:17 focused 8:11 10:8 28:12 31:25 38:21 44:4 49:3 60:10.12 85:12.14 131:18.25 158:10 160:17 193:21 254:20 focussing 80:21 **folded** 94:22 **folks** 69:16 138:20 172:12 186:7 222:14 264:22 following 88:13 208:15 259:16 262:24 followup 17:2 **followups** 139:13 **football** 251:16 **forced** 237:2 forecast 112:9 114:2 114:14,17,24 115:4 foreclosure 99:13 foremost 15:7 **forever** 14:19 forget 101:15 105:3 154:17 266:20 form 125:13 187:5 formal 16:24,25 17:3 **formats** 219:24 formed 3:8 250:17 **former** 39:3 190:9 formulaic 107:9 forth 5:13 12:13 15:11 15:19 16:5 21:15 22:18 25:11 30:3 33:18 34:2 38:11 55:21 64:6,20 65:8 68:23 74:15 83:20 86:10,15 91:8 94:16 95:19 102:14 103:12 126:14 131:8 132:13 140:7 143:8 145:20 145:21 158:22

166:16 183:12 191:14 192:24 197:12 227:12.16 228:11 236:3 244:2 247:23 251:24 271:9 forthcoming 142:12 142:16 fortunate 232:22 forward 8:11 23:10,13 58:22 61:3 153:14 171:4 174:7,12 182:9 184:21 185:24 197:2,4 204:4 257:20 270:2 found 54:21 55:16 56:12 71:16 foundation 17:25 18:15 **four** 2:14 9:14 10:14 16:20 32:4 84:13 119:6 140:25 151:13 161:8,10 162:13,23 163:3,8,13 164:7,12 164:13,17 167:6 177:10 199:8,11,18 216:3,18 232:23 fraction 255:5 264:17 frame 20:23 30:15,20 34:20 62:7 72:4 74:7 77:20 82:16,17 84:14 89:11 103:15 103:16 104:24 116:4 117:23 139:25 147:12 157:16 167:4 169:14 189:18 197:4 200:19 217:10 218:8 232:24 233:5 257:3 framework 46:18 frankly 132:25 157:22 231:17 freddie 62:21,24 137:14 free 41:4 frequency 8:20 163:5 **frequent** 9:19 229:11 frequently 15:13 120:10 229:14 friday 143:2 209:2 friendly 155:13,22 front 54:16 143:20 frustration 42:13 198:3 ft 121:10 **full** 3:24 11:21 141:23 167:18.20 211:9.15 fullness 212:9 **fulltime** 229:10 fully 193:3 212:16

229:15 **function** 18:23 19:21 29:10 33:2,17,20 56:7,16 101:4 178:12 243:14 245:8 **functions** 18:2,13,14 29:9,11 32:25 **fund** 75:7,8 76:21 fundamental 35:20,22 152:25 fundamentally 106:16 107:4 **funded** 238:8 **funding** 50:13 75:10 75:14 77:6,7 238:6 242:18 257:11 funds 192:23 further 29:7 84:21 241:6 271:12 **future** 8:12 47:19 112:18 187:6 227:3 244:15 263:10 **fuzzy** 92:6 G

gained 114:13 garrison 2:7 gary 89:14 91:19 145:5,12 160:12 165:17,21,21 168:13 195:7 197:11 199:17 204:10 205:6,12 208:16,23 210:2 garys 211:7 gc 165:8,16 **gears** 45:6 geithner 124:21 126:4 general 2:5 6:5,7,15 6:16 9:25 17:16 33:15 52:15 75:15 99:5 227:5 229:6 232:7 254:3 generally 48:6 67:21 100:4 105:10,12 116:5 226:5 generate 112:10 generous 265:5 geographies 35:13 190:20 **george** 160:7,9 161:20 162:5 166:2,8 getting 22:3 47:6,8 51:8 56:15 77:4 151:21,22 163:9 173:8.9 180:16.18 give 5:12 16:13 24:16 29:6 32:5 43:24 71:10 73:22 76:8

70.12 120.22 120.16
79:12 128:23 130:16
130:20 131:9 154:4
154:8 155:4,16
156:5 167:15,18
172:13 176:17
182:23 224:21
238:18,20,22 241:14
251:11
given 8:15,16 71:13
72:6 100:24,25
125:3 127:11 158:11
158:18 169:25
186.17 238.12
186:17 238:12 240:22 271:11
240:22 271:11
glad 137:7
glasssteagall 253:2
256:5,9
global 146:21 147:24
148:2 149:16,20
150:12,15,16 157:13
174:6,10 204:3
269:25
globally 3:10
go 4:22 12:22 14:18
15:2 16:21 24:5 25:4
29:15,20 34:15
46:15 67:13 76:24
103:18 107:24 108:5
114:11 118:20 123:2
130:4 132:2 140:25
153:21 166:21,25
170:8 217:11 218:22
228:9 232:8 233:19
234:15 235:2,3
245:4 257:19 261:17
264:17
goal 30:16
goaround 16:11 20:20
goes 92:17 125:8 156:2
188:18
going 8:11 12:4 15:17
15.18 19 16.3 7
15:18,19 16:3,7 19:23 23:10,13
19:23 23:10,13
24:22,23 26:16
42:18,19 47:24 52:3
54:4 55:6 58:21 59:6
60:25 61:2 64:19,19
64:24 65:2,5 66:8
69:22 73:24 74:11
70.12.02.14.16.17
79:12 83:14,16,17
84:7 86:22 87:17
88:5,15 89:7,12 90:8
01.16 17 02.11
91:16,17 92:11
102:20 109:21,23
102:20 109:21,23 112:24,25 113:11
102:20 109:21,23 112:24,25 113:11
102:20 109:21,23 112:24,25 113:11 122:20,24 123:2
102:20 109:21,23 112:24,25 113:11 122:20,24 123:2 129:7 131:5 136:11
102:20 109:21,23 112:24,25 113:11 122:20,24 123:2
102:20 109:21,23 112:24,25 113:11 122:20,24 123:2 129:7 131:5 136:11

145:10 153:13 154:14 155:3,16,17 155:18,19 157:21 158:13,15,19,20 166:25 171:4 173:8 175:2,7 176:16 182:9 184:21,21,24 185:7,23,24 187:14 187:19,20,21 189:15 191:24 192:13 195:8 195:9 196:2,5,9,11 196:22 199:10 209:4 215:22 216:21 218:14,19,23 239:22 252:12 257:14,19 goingforward 237:3 gold 173:2 goldman 12:14 223:12
223:13,14 257:5 goldplated 66:10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
grammleachbliley 252:25 256:4,9
graph 219:14 221:17 grateful 20:9 great 35:22 42:11 78:12 113:19 117:4 117:14 129:25 131:7 190:23 230:2 258:3 greater 139:20
greenlining 45:11,19 106:10 268:13
greenspan 191:18

greenspans 53:25

grew 11:8,13 12:8,8 54:8 119:5,7 152:3,8 154:10 ground 265:22 group 4:11 5:24,24 13:19,20 14:14,15 16:6 19:5 20:17 32:14,16 42:9 117:7 126:21 127:11 135:7 140:21 175:10 197:7
197:8 210:17 252:2
grouping 13:25 14:7
groupings 10:24
groups 10:15
grow 8:11 11:10 22:20
22:24 23:4,18 24:2,3
26:11 253:8
growing 11:25 12:4,9
26:6,7,10
grown 25:22 37:19
growth 12:13 22:16
23:11 25:24 26:13
27:13,17,18 54:6,8
150:21 241:8 254:10
guarantee 76:23 77:9
77:14
guess 66:22 80:24
114:4 123:3 130:25
131:22 166:24
169:18 187:9 197:22
204:11 205:16,20
207:11 210:19 242:5
262:23
guessing 163:25
204:14 205:19
guv 154:13 175:3.17
guy 154:13 175:3,17 175:18,22 180:18
guys 27:8 57:21 68:22
189:6 264:20
-07.0 -01.120
H

H habit 129:6 hadnt 12:19 118:21

hadnt 12:19 118:21,22 177:6 217:5 266:11 half 14:21,24 15:16,20 16:11,12 45:24 86:5 116:18 251:21 halfway 21:2 halves 86:5 hand 12:18 44:15 216:10 251:16 271:17 handed 128:11 180:13 195:21 209:9 hank 39:16 happen 84:7 92:22 95:19 96:7 153:12 164:20 190:6 248:14

248:16 happened 26:19 28:14 37:5 66:23 76:9 77:24 78:3 81:9 88:19 96:4 103:21 105:21 111:10 141:21 146:3 147:18 148:11 164:16,21 170:2 177:22 195:2 219:4 224:16 231:8 235:5 236:15 250:24 257:10 261:14 266:11 happening 82:22 84:20 94:11,18 132:19 171:11,12 happens 218:9 hard 13:7 30:25 35:19 36:14 76:8 166:23 188:13.16 255:11 harder 22:20 225:21 harm 190:23 havens 237:21,23 havent 114:19 128:12 180:11 189:9 224:2 239:11 265:20 havoc 224:13 **head** 6:22 14:4,5,8,9 17:14 80:17 85:24 86:16,18 141:20 202:10 headed 117:20 heading 114:12,15 116:6,23 129:22 148:10 156:17 headquarters 115:9 heads 13:20,23 14:14 14:15 17:18 19:5 20:17 32:14,16,18 33:13 47:6,8 80:14 90:3,11 126:9 140:10 151:23 hear 180:7 heard 76:7 195:13 214:22 238:9 265:10 hearing 98:5 179:13 206:18 252:21 253:22 259:2,15 **heating** 160:14 heavens 61:25

heavily 66:17

hedge 178:20 179:4,15

184:2 192:23 261:18

183:10,11,12,21

hedging 183:3 184:12

261:20 263:6

hedged 179:6

hedges 185:25

184:14 185:14 262:2 held 4:19,20 67:21 74:19 79:24 112:25 140:16 186:8 200:23 helfer 17:15 165:16 help 205:20 **helped** 11:10 **helping** 226:22 helps 263:11 heres 89:18 92:6 95:21 129:8 159:24 195:12 hereunto 271:16 hesitating 109:10 208:6 hiding 227:11 hierarchy 32:11,17 220:12 higgens 149:18 **higgins** 149:11 **high** 8:16 10:23 54:13 106:4 107:23 109:6 145:9 150:21 180:23 181:13 182:20 214:4 219:10,19 220:3 221:4 higher 54:11 68:2 186:16 193:4 261:2 261:3 highest 44:11 220:17 highlight 242:18 highlighting 104:7 highlights 110:18 highly 189:25 **hindsight** 54:17 55:3 55:13,18,22 95:17 100:20 102:8 116:25 155:12 157:23 169:25 170:11.19 260:24 261:4 264:16 hint 99:21 hired 175:20 **historical** 37:23 53:22 130:6 179:9 183:13 216:18 **historically** 23:8 53:10 185:22 **history** 12:15 22:16 64:22 66:9 92:21 116:17,20 183:18 hit 144:17,18,19 255:11 **hold** 27:13.17 48:9 49:7 68:11,13,15 69:21 84:25 100:5 100:13.19 102:13 112:10 222:22,23 224:7 228:5 233:17

holding 155:8 182:15

257.6	242.15	120.17	015 00 000 4 10 11	!4
257:6	243:15	120:17	215:23 230:4,10,11	internal 83:25 102:2
holdings 101:5 119:18	identifying 24:8	inconsistent 49:21,24	244:4 246:20	internally 206:17
holds 68:9	ill 5:12 85:25 144:11	50:9 51:11 59:8	informed 95:8,9	international 10:16
home 47:15 106:20	illegal 122:14	incorrect 11:18 36:2	infrastructure 170:10	interrupts 259:25
195:7,11 209:2	im 35:21 43:4 57:25	242:2 249:13	170:12	interview 1:4 3:1,13
homeownership 46:7	89:19 139:19 163:25	incorrectly 247:10	inherent 245:10	4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1
honest 66:22	251:2	248:5,13 249:2	initial 59:17	9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1
honestly 104:20	imagine 35:11 38:20	increase 118:10,11	initiative 38:15	13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1
162:11 231:14 260:4	immediately 21:22	152:4,9 157:4 262:2	initiatives 157:4	17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1
honesty 259:21	26:20 34:17 194:8	increased 150:23	inkling 170:7	21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1
hooked 172:12	immerge 186:24	increasing 29:9 191:10	input 173:12,13,15	25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1
hope 131:23,24	impact 44:10 99:14	increasingly 231:9	inquire 140:5	29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1
hoped 114:3,5	172:18 215:15 217:8	incredible 41:8,14	inquiry 1:2 2:2 3:7	33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1
hopefully 167:5	226:14 242:23 244:14	incurred 249:19	266:7,23	37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1
horrible 108:20		independent 20:7,10	inside 18:25 21:11	41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1
170:12 259:19	implemented 156:8 212:16	43:5 166:14 203:14	28:23 34:14 42:10	45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1
horses 180:8 hotlines 34:3	implementing 30:24	203:17 205:6 207:8 213:13 243:14 245:8	43:13 44:25 45:2 52:12 87:5 113:14	49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1
hour 14:20,21,23 16:2	implications 242:19	independently 66:18	151:11	57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1
		index 102:25 145:20		
hours 14:21,24 209:5 house 85:13,16,17	implied 73:10 importance 42:17	199:10	insisted 93:2 instance 119:18	61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1
107:18,25 108:4	important 8:6 10:17	india 33:5,7,9	instances 27:6	69:1 70:1,25 71:1
120:8 252:21 258:16	15:12 22:12 119:4,9	indicate 152:11 166:3	institutes 45:11,19	72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1
258:24	126:24 136:6,8	indicator 88:3 248:20	268:14	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1
houses 126:10 255:8	258:2	indicators 84:16	institution 68:8 76:15	80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1
housing 46:14 99:9,15	importantly 212:21	indices 84:17	122:20 266:12,13	84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1
99:17,22 103:2,17	253:19	indirectly 50:15 127:7	institutional 8:15 69:6	88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1
hq 160:4	impossible 25:14	175:4	69:10,11 106:10	92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1
hsbc 192:6 261:14	122:12,19	individual 10:25	institutions 48:16 50:4	96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1
huge 77:12 91:18	impressed 7:4	122:19 161:13	51:2 52:19,23 68:18	100:1 101:1 102:1
hundred 21:11 23:24	impression 175:23	163:19 164:4	69:4,15 76:13	103:1 104:1 105:1
24:4 31:7,8 101:6	inability 236:20	individually 126:22	235:22 236:6 255:10	106:1 107:1 108:1
107:18 108:6,15	inadequate 245:12	127:10 164:9,18	instrument 222:22	109:1 110:1 111:1
115:3 133:22 142:11	258:19 259:6,9,14	individuals 5:18 235:3	228:5	112:1 113:1 114:1
144:23 155:3 194:6	inappropriate 28:9	industrial 76:20	instruments 36:8	115:1 116:1 117:1
208:18 209:3 215:16	30:2	industry 12:8 54:9	76:17 78:5 84:24	118:1 119:1 120:1
217:13 222:20 233:6	incent 234:10	84:18 98:22,23 99:6	118:24 154:15	121:1,9 122:1,10
233:11,13	incented 234:21	104:25 118:17 119:5	insurance 38:6 224:7	123:1 124:1 125:1
hundreds 170:13,13	incentives 232:8	123:11 126:14 127:9	226:2 255:9 256:11	126:1 127:1 128:1
170:14 185:4	incents 235:2	133:21 192:22	256:16 258:11	129:1 130:1 131:1
	incidents 28:20	245:24 259:11 261:2	integrate 25:16	132:1 133:1 134:1
<u>I</u>	inclination 247:2	262:11,15	integrating 24:10	135:1 136:1 137:1
idea 31:6 61:15,19	include 18:17 247:23	inexpensive 66:13	25:10	138:1 139:1 140:1
99:21 110:23 113:10	includes 247:22	inferring 43:4	intended 15:7	141:1 142:1 143:1
149:13 249:24	including 133:6	inflated 108:17,23	intensity 81:9	144:1 145:1 146:1
ideas 9:21,21 10:3	income 35:7 43:13	influence 12:12	intensive 146:6	147:1 148:1 149:1
identical 127:25 128:3	44:14,17 45:3 85:14	informal 8:4 16:18	intention 228:5	150:1 151:1 152:1
128:16	85:15,24 86:9,13,16	information 15:7	interact 15:13	153:1 154:1 155:1
identification 40:17	86:18 87:5,9,12	31:21 32:3 34:11,12	interaction 9:19	156:1 157:1 158:1
45:14 57:5 70:6	105:22 107:16,17	34:14,22,25 35:12	interactions 8:21	159:1 160:1 161:1
96:12 110:6 127:22	108:22 113:25 114:9 114:12 120:8 136:24	35:14,17 36:4,6,13	interest 53:10,18,20	162:1 163:1 164:1 165:1 166:1 167:1
137:22 146:17 159:6 174:14 201:20	137:10 148:7,8	36:15,16 42:13,18 72:12 80:4 101:2,14	69:11,12 118:7 211:23 223:2 263:14	168:1 169:1 170:1
209:16 213:5 239:2	149:18 151:11,13	109:18 129:2 141:23	interested 221:21	171:1 172:1 173:1
identified 249:22	154:13 161:2 178:2	147:10 153:22 154:4	271:15	174:1 172:1 173:1
250:3	189:10 193:6,8,14	154:6 180:17,17	interesting 123:21	177:1 178:1 179:1
identify 5:2 41:20	245:19 247:25	191:5 192:3,9	256:7	180:1 181:1 182:1
70:10 241:17,25	inconceivable 120:13	194:13,21 200:24	interim 90:23	183:1 184:1 185:1
70.10 271.17,23	inconcervable 120.13	177.13,21 200.27	1110011111 /0.23	103.1 107.1 103.1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
			<u></u>	·

			[
186:1 187:1 188:1	35:23 44:4 74:5,16	june 71:14 72:5,15,22	124:4 126:6 141:11	lawyer 17:24 24:19,20
189:1 190:1 191:1	74:17 78:20 80:3	73:18 96:23 124:13	143:6 145:14,14	24:22,23,24 33:7
192:1 193:1 194:1	88:3,4 92:14 94:23	124:14,18 177:15	156:16 157:8 163:3	196:8
195:1 196:1 197:1	101:21 104:22	191:8 203:10,22	163:7 169:12,16	lawyers 25:2,5,13,15
198:1 199:1 200:1	107:21,22 108:9,9	205:3 206:14 216:25	170:25 171:7 175:19	25:17 29:10 33:14
201:1 202:1 203:1	146:7 165:9,22	217:2,2,4	176:12,17 178:3,6	70:11 122:25 135:15
204:1 205:1 206:1	166:23 193:23 197:5	junior 148:24 149:3	185:19 186:3,6,9	208:7
207:1 208:1 209:1	234:24,24 255:12	junk 82:10 119:13	187:18 188:24 189:7	lax 106:3 263:21,23
210:1 211:1 212:1	256:14		189:14 194:5,9,11	layers 169:22
213:1 214:1 215:1	issued 94:8	K	198:8,9 200:4,6	lead 153:11 195:21
216:1 217:1 218:1	issues 26:18 28:4	kaden 17:21,22 18:11	203:15 205:10	196:10
219:1 220:1 221:1	30:23 34:5 35:6	40:24 83:5 142:4,7	211:23 212:2,7	leaders 14:3
222:1 223:1 224:1	43:11 44:19,24	142:10 143:12	214:25 215:24 220:9	leadership 5:17 233:2
225:1 226:1 227:1	60:23 74:14 77:2	kadens 18:4 142:13	220:11 221:5,11,15	233:25
228:1 229:1 230:1	98:13,14 130:22,23	kanjorski 259:16,25	221:21 223:6,8,10	leading 43:22 65:19
231:1 232:1 233:1	131:11,14,24 134:15	karen 2:5 24:21 165:7	223:10,17 224:10,12	85:3 97:25 230:21
234:1 235:1 236:1	140:3 212:9 245:16	165:17	230:19 237:17	leads 194:4
237:1 238:1 239:1	264:4	karp 2:11 17:20 67:8	240:16,18,20,24	leah 202:9,10
240:1 241:1 242:1	item 235:21	98:19 124:6 238:14	246:3,10 250:5,23	learned 59:2 60:4
243:1 244:1 245:1	items 106:22 200:17	keep 146:9 155:17	251:5 260:17 263:21	154:20 157:12
246:1 247:1 248:1	235:15,19	264:7	264:14 265:12 266:8	168:17 175:20
249:1 250:1 251:1	iterations 6:9	kept 233:13	knowing 112:24	211:18,19 212:4,6,8
252:1 253:1 254:1	ive 18:4	key 118:19 216:4	170:23 197:13	212:14,23 226:24
255:1 256:1 257:1	J	kick 187:16	223:24	265:16
258:1 259:1 260:1		kind 16:12 30:13	knowledge 9:25 30:13	learner 238:12
261:1 262:1 263:1	jail 123:2	32:10 42:11 53:22	73:3 171:5,6 208:10	learning 198:4
264:1 265:1 266:1	jane 2:12	59:24 60:8 68:21	208:14	leave 92:10 238:12
266:16 267:1 268:1	january 5:8 59:10,11	74:5,16,17 82:11,15	known 5:23 59:11	leaving 93:4,8
269:1 270:1 271:1 intuitive 15:5	59:19 156:9 203:3 japan 16:6 22:4 26:24	82:16 90:5 91:25 92:16 104:22,23	109:5 149:20 152:7 170:5,5,22 171:17	led 46:10 47:23 89:4 169:10 193:21
inventories 161:2	28:4,6,8,12,15,16	111:6 118:20 132:18	170.3,3,22 171.17	199:17 215:12
inventories 101.2	121:9 234:15	147:3,10 162:24	263:5 265:6	232:14 240:8
investigate 3:9	jessica 271:5,20	176:18 189:16 193:7	korea 138:8 139:11	left 51:25 88:10,20,22
investigator 3:18	jest 37:15	194:2 198:18 210:17	172:2,4	88:23 96:7 104:12
investing 53:8,11	job 18:20 19:10,24,25	216:24 217:7 221:10		181:19 182:19
investment 6:23 11:7	21:9,12,16,19	221:12 234:2	L	216:14 234:6 236:15
14:5 43:17,20 44:16	187:15,17	kinds 15:23 28:3,20	lack 41:8,14 54:18	237:10 238:5 250:24
44:18,21,25 52:18	john 237:21,23	44:24 82:23 122:6	lacked 39:10	251:14
52:22 65:8 66:21	johnson 202:9,10	122:14 137:12 264:3	lady 24:20	legal 14:9 17:14,25
74:9 85:7,10,11,12	join 165:25	kister 110:14,22	laid 51:12 52:7 56:20	18:14 32:25 33:15
85:17 86:6 97:23	joke 37:10,13	kkr 44:6	language 162:18	legislative 256:10
100:18 160:22	jonathan 2:16	klein 85:9 86:3,5	206:21	lehman 69:8 193:19
232:11 237:13	judge 141:2 173:10	knew 15:13,14 175:21	large 9:22 10:17 30:4	236:2 257:7
investors 118:14	judged 30:10	242:2 263:20,22	31:24 37:21,23	lender 48:22 122:16
invoked 149:7	judgement 60:7 64:9	264:2,16,17	47:14 52:18 105:19	122:17 155:13,21
involve 43:14 82:25	judgment 26:3 49:20	know 13:3,11 20:24	113:6 176:16 191:21	lenders 126:20 127:6
involved 8:8,13 11:9	82:11 92:9,10,22	31:14 35:15,19,21	255:3	lending 44:5,13,15,20
13:4 15:21 21:16	122:18,18 171:20	36:25 40:8 41:3	largely 47:24	47:13 74:13 76:17
52:8 56:17,21 63:16	176:7 260:23	42:22 47:3 48:6,13	larger 22:19 23:5,6,7,7	80:22 86:7 94:13
66:17 104:14 119:20	judgmental 171:18	56:13 59:24 61:20	23:17 94:25 163:14	106:4 108:17 117:10
148:6 190:19 228:2	judgments 143:9	62:25 63:9 66:23	253:15 254:16,16,19	121:11,15,17,19,23
involvement 209:11 involving 22:15	162:22 july 96:19 98:6 104:17	79:14,15 80:18,25	largest 180:22,25	122:9 123:14 126:16
isnt 108:16 125:6,14	110:20 116:12 117:5	81:13 84:19 87:4 90:4 91:15,22 93:23	181:10 193:7 late 80:6 154:12 167:3	127:15 131:15,20 132:6,9,17,18 135:3
141:20 158:17	117:7 120:19 133:15	94:15 95:18 99:20	167:9 184:18 200:20	140:12 149:24
252:19	148:11 191:8 217:10	100:20 104:20	218:25 250:9	160:24 162:9 172:8
issuance 77:4	jumping 36:24	108:19 112:2 115:19	latin 193:17	173:20 203:8 260:4
issue 28:15 35:4,8,20				
	iumps 107:7	115:21 119:10 19 25	law 24:18.25 25:2.3.12	260;6.13.15 262:8
	jumps 107:7	115:21 119:10,19,25	law 24:18,25 25:2,3,12	260:6,13,15 262:8

				-
263.4.20	210.20 22 221.16	62:20,23 63:7 106:5	222.2 4 5 220.6	93:6 94:14 100:17
263:4,20	219:20,23 221:16		223:3,4,5 230:6	
length 37:4	245:9 261:11,13,22	107:23 109:6 122:14	250:18	102:12 120:6 138:14
lerner 2:16 105:5	lines 42:14 56:14 57:2	122:15 182:7,14	losses 77:22 97:25	140:22 141:6 142:11
207:4 224:20 238:17	57:11,16 58:7,12,13	194:20 225:25	99:4 115:10 133:22	142:16 145:3,7,18
238:22 250:25	58:14 59:3,12 61:8	263:24	150:6 152:18 184:25	146:12 147:16 149:5
lessons 154:20 157:12	61:14,17,24 76:22	located 140:20	185:8 190:12 194:6	154:13 156:18
211:18,19 212:3,4,6	77:2 149:6 151:20	logical 246:23	223:18 229:21	167:25 174:24 180:5
212:8,14,22 226:24	216:5 219:13,15	london 178:5 237:14	232:15 235:8 240:9	180:15 183:5 185:6
letter 128:3,4,7,10,13	268:21	long 12:14,19,20 14:17	241:20 249:19	187:25 192:4 193:25
128:16,22 129:20	liquidity 46:7 71:21	30:11 43:25 67:5	263:10	218:13 222:13
131:19 133:9,18,25	73:17,18 74:23 75:2	79:12,13 100:10	lost 113:25 114:6	223:19,25 229:22
134:20 195:22	75:5,8,21 76:4,7,14	112:15,16 114:20	223:14	247:24 249:7 261:9
letters 128:5,15	76:16 77:9,13 78:6	120:9,23 123:5,6	lot 21:16 24:7 32:2	263:6
129:11	78:21 94:3,7,21 95:4	125:9,10 154:8	74:10 109:14 151:21	maherass 169:19
level 9:19 20:2 32:20	95:5,10,24 120:22	160:6 166:25 176:14	151:22 176:9,10	main 76:16 230:15
32:20 33:13 56:4,6	121:6 123:10 133:10	183:18 192:13	186:7,8 189:7,13	258:3
68:16 69:2 75:16	133:11 161:9 200:12	193:21 205:8 222:22	190:16,19,20 206:18	major 76:19 77:2
83:2 105:23 112:14	200:22 207:23 214:2	223:17 224:11 233:5	206:21,21 224:16	122:13
112:15 123:9 144:16	225:13,14,16 236:25	234:14 240:15	230:14	makers 68:5
144:17,18,19,25	237:24 242:18,21	256:11	lots 10:2 15:8,8 29:5	making 19:10 20:7,10
145:10 151:15	261:19	longer 18:22,24 88:16	34:25,25 35:5,11,12	21:18 22:23 26:8
152:14,16,22 153:5	list 10:23 35:8 44:11	longterm 29:17	35:13 51:2 113:18	84:8 156:22 222:19
153:24 162:9 163:9	57:17,25 60:2 61:19	look 10:12 22:16 37:25	113:20 201:3,4	228:3
164:4 169:23 192:19	62:3,13 110:16	39:24 43:2 45:23	211:19 226:16	manage 19:21 92:13
216:24 234:8 266:20	203:20 205:8 210:16	68:6,7,10 71:4,19	lou 17:21,22,24 83:5	112:7 162:7 235:25
levels 120:14 169:4,6	213:22	81:21 84:19 104:2	141:16 142:4 191:23	managed 18:12 92:18 177:16
234:7 265:3 leveraged 44:5,13,20	listed 31:9 62:14 lists 200:12 205:23	109:22 113:23 140:13 150:5 171:8	low 53:10,13,23 77:11 79:7 106:5 107:12	management 13:21
74:13 80:22 94:13	213:24 214:17	175:5 181:17 187:18	109:7 118:7,16	32:8,11,17,23 33:10
117:10 121:11,15,17	219:10	196:2 212:21 216:3	220:2,6 221:2	33:15,18 88:20
127:15 131:15,20	literally 9:9 18:19,20	216:17 218:13,16	263:23	101:4 148:14,15,17
132:5,9,17 135:3	101:10	260:16 263:11	lowely 165:7,17	148:18 149:8 151:17
149:23 160:24 172:8	little 9:11 20:9 27:9	looked 102:5,7 147:4	lower 55:3,4 60:20	156:9 158:6 169:4
173:19	38:19 69:25 76:11	179:8 182:2 199:16	77:5 83:2 98:15	169:10 239:18,19
liabilities 237:12	80:23 91:24 92:6	looking 34:10 35:3,5,9	99:18 100:13 151:15	240:3,4 241:6,8,9,16
liability 201:8,9,15	94:16 99:11 109:13	41:22 42:2,3 64:8	194:18 203:4 225:20	243:13,13,20 244:10
library 140:17 147:15	109:14,18,18 112:18	70:12 77:25 101:20	225:21 234:7	244:12 245:8,9,10
175:10 197:8	114:11 117:9,11	118:15 131:11	lowered 53:19 89:3	247:10,21 248:13
life 20:5 266:20	128:23 148:22	179:20 204:8 208:18	233:10	manager 29:25 31:24
lifetime 252:18	160:15 163:25	208:19 215:15	lowest 99:18 144:17	120:12 169:9
light 244:20,22 255:18	164:11 167:9 175:10	217:18 222:5 235:7	216:9	managers 29:24
255:22	185:6 198:17 200:22	247:4 248:19	ls 11:6	249:18
liked 36:4	217:9,23 218:6	looks 71:5 106:2	ltv 108:3,8,17,18,23	managing 32:19
likelihood 171:9,11,13	232:17 262:7	110:16 111:19,21	109:6	237:24
likes 121:4	liveris 202:2,3,4	189:14 213:14	ltvs 106:4 107:23	mandates 127:11
limitations 154:23	llp 2:8,13	217:23,24	lunatic 192:20	manufacturing 76:20
155:23	loan 60:12 62:17 66:4	looming 99:4	lunch 159:3 167:21,22	161:22,25 162:3,19
limited 132:9 135:3	66:5,16 92:16,17,18	loop 197:3 225:17,24	lynch 193:19 255:6	march 1:6 62:6,8
limits 148:21 158:23	107:12 108:20,24,24	los 45:12,20 268:15	257:5 264:25	113:12 216:21,22
170:15 180:22	122:5 188:18 220:13	lose 83:14,16 84:10		258:16,21 261:15
181:12,13 182:19	220:14,17,22,23,25	85:2 86:22 87:17	M	271:17
183:4	221:3,3,4,7,7,8,9,10	88:6,15 89:7 90:8	macro 52:15	mark 101:7 137:17
line 14:3 54:15 61:5,8	221:11,12	91:17 113:20 145:8	maheras 63:19,20	201:17 225:20,20
62:3,10 76:18	loaned 107:25	154:15 189:11,11	65:17 80:15,16 81:6	228:3,8,10,16
113:23 160:2 201:13	loaning 44:6	215:19	82:20,25 84:9 85:5,9	marked 40:16 45:13
216:7,7,8,8,12,16,19	loans 57:24 58:23 59:4	losing 92:12	85:13,25 86:3,7,11	56:24 57:4,9 70:3,5
216:22,23 217:6,8	59:7 60:6,8,13,24	loss 65:3 114:23 115:3	86:17,24 87:16 89:6	71:5 96:9,11 110:5
217:11,16 218:7	61:2,7 62:15,19,19	133:7 168:3 222:18	89:15 90:7 92:3,23	123:23 127:21

127 20 21 25 146 15
137:20,21,25 146:15 146:16 159:5 174:5
174:9,13 201:19,19
201:24 209:15,15,20
213:2,4,4 222:18,20 238:25,25 239:7
268:10,16,18,23
269:2,5,8,11,13,14
269:17,18,22,24 270:4,6,7,9,10,12,13
270:15,16
market 34:19 48:12,17
65:24 76:24 82:22 97:6 98:25 99:9,17
101:7 102:3,17,21
102:22 104:9 115:18 116:6 131:15 141:5
144:15 150:21
154:21 155:11,19
156:12 172:14,18,19
173:3,5,10,18,23,25 181:7 182:5 189:7
189:12 193:22 199:2
203:5 225:11 228:8 244:20 245:12 246:7
246:17,20 247:2,13
261:19
marketed 47:10 marketing 213:23
marketplace 43:9 50:6
50:25 51:5
markets 11:13,23 110:17 111:5 112:25
113:11,18,20,25
114:15 116:22,23
129:22,25 132:20 136:17 139:5 146:22
177:19 178:6 198:15
226:6 258:4,12
marking 102:2 240:9 marks 220:5,6 222:15
222:16 224:11
marktomarket 198:24
198:25 224:5,9 225:4,6,10,24 226:7
226:13,17,25 227:4
227:8,14,17 228:2
marriage 271:14 maryland 5:8
material 54:13,15,22
54:25 55:6 231:10 231:13 260:22
materials 128:19
129:8
math 24:4 matter 145:9 230:7
271:15
matters 172:9
i

```
meagher 2:13
mean 12:3 25:20 35:6
  36:20 37:17 46:20
  50:2 60:10 89:19
  93:23 96:4 98:18
  101:20 103:9,10,12
  105:19 119:20
  120:25 141:9 143:4
  154:25 157:9 159:21
  166:13 177:16,24
  178:23 180:9 198:10
  213:25 224:14
  248:11 250:23,23
  258:7
meaning 64:3 80:2
  82:13 87:24 100:13
  114:3 115:11 158:20
  161:19 163:13 166:4
  179:5 183:9 201:4
  226:21 233:11 247:6
meaningful 158:15
meaningfully 244:13
means 3:17 155:2,5,6
  156:6 157:8 163:4
  177:17 178:24
  182:18 206:22
meant 142:2 143:24
  148:2,19 156:15
  157:7 163:24 164:2
  186:21 191:13 201:2
  222:16 242:6
measure 164:3
measured 112:20
  151:12 164:8 242:22
measuring 114:22,25
mechanically 75:18
mechanics 20:6 52:10
  52:11 105:16
mechanisms 263:8
medium 25:3 255:3
meet 8:18,24 14:14,14
  156:4 162:9 174:22
  174:23,25 251:19
meeting 7:12 15:16,20
  16:6,14,15,17,18,24
  17:3 20:17 90:3,11
  90:12,16 128:20,21
  134:13 138:14,17
  139:11,12,14,17
  140:10 141:7,19
  142:5,8,22 143:11
  143:12,13,15,17,17
  147:13 151:19,24
  157:20 160:13
  165:25 166:2.12.19
  172:22 175:24 176:3
  176:5,6,7,11 180:7
  210:19,25 211:3
```

```
215:6,7
meetings 14:18 15:4
  16:17 80:14.25
  82:22,24 83:10 87:8
 90:23 91:8 129:3
  138:19 139:3,22
  140:16 147:19
  171:25 172:6,16,24
  174:21 215:2
meltdown 180:21
member 8:6 19:5
  129:15,17 160:10
  197:25
membered 86:13
members 3:14 121:18
  128:25 195:24
 214:21 246:22
memory 81:16
mention 81:18
mentioned 10:4 17:5
  20:21 27:2 63:14
  85:18 87:7 90:25
  106:13 118:5 133:25
  140:10 162:20
  188:17 200:21 225:2
mentioning 140:9
  207:22
mentions 149:9 177:25
merged 5:25 6:11
 233:9 256:14
merger 6:10 254:8,9
mergers 252:22
merging 38:12
merrill 193:19 255:6
 257:5 264:24
met 175:13 229:10,11
 229:13,13
method 32:22
methodologies 178:8
methodology 213:23
metrics 231:20
mezzanine 214:5
 219:11 220:7,11,19
 220:23,25 221:2,3,4
 221:10
michael 17:16,18 85:9
 85:12 165:16 174:8
  174:12,24 175:13,16
  176:20 270:3
mickey 156:10
mid 91:4,4 104:23
  117:24 134:18
  144:21 185:3 200:19
 250:8
middle 36:9 51:24
 53:7,7 69:25 78:19
  78:20 82:15,16
 94:14 119:6 154:12
```

196:23 216:7,12,12 217:3 218:7 220:14 221:3.6 255:7 midjuly 116:12 midway 220:20 migrated 139:2 million 23:3 114:2,2,4 114:5,7,13,14,16,17 114:23,24 163:7 195:9 208:19 215:16 215:19 253:20 millions 185:4 mind 4:25 5:3 16:20 63:11 80:6 81:11,23 89:24 92:5 94:22 95:20,22 130:8,12 130:18 134:4 168:21 191:2 211:22 213:16 215:5 260:8 mine 9:10 117:14.18 196:13 minimize 162:2 minimized 190:12 minor 226:11 minus 216:11 minute 164:11 minutes 18:5 57:15 182:3 203:19 misheard 214:12 missed 161:11 162:15 193:22 missing 230:23 mission 11:19 215:24 misspoke 211:7 mistake 249:8 mitigation 200:10 250:18 mix 157:4 173:23 mixed 131:3 mode 187:12 model 23:13,13 48:8 48:10 67:24,25 156:25 181:24 199:8 199:9,15,17 254:14 263:18 models 161:11,12 162:15 163:18 164:3 164:25 166:10,19 197:11 198:21 199:7 199:8,11,19,23,24,25 258:18 259:5,8,10 259:11.12.14 modern 258:9.10 modernization 252:24 modest 184:25 185:8 moment 42:16 60:15 63:24 64:23 78:12 95:6 162:21 213:17

monday 14:16 195:19 195:23 208:16,21,22 209:6 251:16 money 41:24 42:23 43:2 44:6 76:24 77:11 85:3 86:22 87:17 88:6,15 91:17 92:12 108:13 113:19 113:21 115:22 121:20 122:5 223:2 monitoring 141:4 182:12 240:7 243:14 month 83:19 112:22 114:23,24 124:13 monthly 128:13 months 12:24 80:21 107:7 115:2 116:15 116:19 117:4 161:8 186:14 moodys 217:25 218:3 221:18.22 morgan 257:5 **morning** 3:3,5 14:16 16:21 195:16 mortgage 45:8 46:11 46:21,25 47:19 48:9 49:4,9,10,12 52:20 52:21 57:3,11 59:21 59:22.25 62:18 64:8 64:11 65:24 67:20 106:7,8 109:5 123:15 132:20 135:9 136:18 137:12,15 172:13 244:11 259:3 260:17 262:17,19 268:22 mortgages 47:22 48:18,23 49:6 51:19 52:9 54:19,24 56:8 58:18 64:3 67:18,21 68:2,19,25 97:24 104:13,15,19 105:13 106:3,14,25 137:13 155:7,10,17 181:2 181:11 231:3 246:6 246:25 260:16 262:20 263:3 motivation 65:13 mouth 180:8 move 40:4 209:13 moved 197:6 moving 173:11 mts 27:2 28:13,17 234:17 multiparagraph 206:23 **multiple** 32:3 78:9 128:4 193:2

music 120.21 22 122.6	nonogonov 60.14.17	occurred 100:22	anaratina 14.10 20.21	262:9
music 120:21,23 123:6 123:8 125:10	nonagency 62:14,17		operating 14:10 20:21	
123:8 123:10	62:19,23 63:5,7 nonmarked 101:8	101:13 171:25 221:25 237:17 250:6	20:25 21:5,8,10 177:20	originations 109:15 260:17.25
N	normal 20:16 32:7	260:2		
			operation 33:5 149:25	originator 155:16,25
name 3:6,25 5:21 6:9	101:19 117:19 126:12 236:10	oclock 210:12,13 october 7:8 8:23 36:9	operations 8:10 14:3 32:9 152:21 253:6	originators 49:18 56:15 57:3,12,17
18:4 19:13 57:19			254:7	
68:22 102:25	notary 271:5 notch 51:25 52:2	41:2 82:16,18 88:11		59:21,22 60:2 61:10
named 85:9 165:7		91:4,6 98:14 117:23 117:24 140:2 154:12	opinion 52:17 187:5 229:4	262:21 263:3 268:22
251:5 names 17:7 41:20	note 127:24 148:21	169:14 184:19		ought 43:2 113:6
	noted 3:23 267:4 notice 3:22 133:24	196:25 197:19	opportunities 157:13 158:11 186:13,25	127:12 145:13,15 224:20 227:8,18
57:25 61:20 68:17 149:9,11	noticed 18:4 62:2	200:20 203:13 204:6	opportunity 60:20	256:22 266:8
narrative 128:24	notion 77:13,15 78:4	204:25 206:9,12	65:22 131:8	outcome 271:15
narrow 245:25	123:3 162:6 168:10	204.23 200.9,12	opportuntiesfinding	outlined 161:16
narrowly 215:9	235:19 236:9	210:25 212:14 213:8	186:16	163:22
nationally 137:15	november 34:20	213:10 214:7,9,15	opposed 38:16 212:23	outreach 126:13
nationwide 103:18	207:20 208:2,22	221:16,23 222:2	254:20	outside 42:9 75:11
natural 83:24,25	250:10,14	250:9,9 261:17	opposite 181:18,22	87:9 113:17 140:17
246:25	nowadays 20:8	264:5	195:5	144:14 147:15
naturally 46:15	nuanced 8:4	octobers 189:18	optimistic 176:13	262:14 266:18
nature 92:2 126:3	number 46:22 79:16	offer 207:2 251:9	187:10	outsider 236:17
176:14 182:22 231:9	80:8 83:3,4,5 93:16	office 9:9,13,15,15	option 48:23	overall 21:14 118:4
236:18 238:13	93:23 95:12,15,22	140:17 147:15	orally 166:12	149:8 151:2 226:12
necessarily 47:18	96:9 122:11 126:23	159:14,21 192:5	order 125:7,15	240:4
69:19,24 99:7	151:2,9,9 164:15	265:3	organic 22:16 23:11	overly 188:2,4,10,23
106:25 107:13	170:15 187:2 194:17	officer 6:19,21 14:10	26:13	265:5
necessary 15:15 253:8	202:21,22 203:9,21	14:10 17:9,9,23 18:8	organization 24:12	overselling 48:4
need 41:9,15 54:12	204:11 215:11	18:10,23 19:4,8,12	31:25 83:3 88:17	oversight 127:8
174:25 183:20,20	217:21 222:20	20:2,16,22,25 21:6,8	169:7 170:16 229:8	258:17,24
196:9,11	239:19,25 251:13	33:17 189:20,23	240:23 243:6	oversold 47:17
needed 4:15 21:17	252:3	officers 21:10 170:14	organizational 39:9	overview 13:3 14:23
22:13 26:4 31:22	numbered 40:16,21	offices 64:4 229:9	organizations 235:2	71:16 118:6 129:3
54:15	157:12 268:9	official 7:7,24 8:3	organized 32:10 126:4	owned 74:2 75:9 100:8
needs 31:25	numbers 12:10 23:22	250:11	177:20	261:22
negative 46:25 48:24	26:8 61:20 200:20	officially 14:20	original 216:6	
69:7 77:19 106:14	205:7,8,9,10,13,18	offsite 117:6	originate 49:7,13	P
194:25 225:17,23	206:5 207:10 239:23	oh 43:19 95:5 115:19	51:13 57:23 58:22	page 45:23 70:13 71:5
226:23 263:24	nw 2:3	131:7 135:13 212:7	59:4 60:9 61:2 64:25	71:6,6,11,15 78:23
negotiating 24:9 25:9		213:13	68:13 69:5,18 100:5	97:19 99:12 104:3,4
negotiations 66:3	0	okay 22:9 24:4 52:2,3	132:11 135:5 136:12	105:25 124:2,25
neither 229:22,22	object 248:8	63:12 103:8 140:13	194:20	129:20 132:8 134:6
nervous 192:8,13	objective 92:20 176:8	150:2 159:2 172:23	originated 52:21 59:25	134:24 147:22 150:9
net 214:19	obligated 3:15,21	177:13 204:15	61:16 68:19 135:10	150:10,12 154:20
never 31:15 83:14,15	75:14	213:20 218:21	223:14 262:21	156:20 177:10
84:10 103:21 135:15	obligates 75:6,7	220:20	originates 68:9,11,15	179:19,21 181:4,5,6
141:17 145:8 147:3	obrien 2:12	old 108:2 135:15	originatetodistribute	181:7,18 183:9
154:14 162:10	observe 43:9	onboarding 242:19	67:18,25	186:12 200:8 202:19
192:15 195:10	observed 43:18	once 33:22 143:14	originatetohold 48:8	205:25 206:4 211:17
new 2:9,9,15,15 41:22	obtained 123:6	165:10	67:17,24	211:18 213:21
54:2 62:2,10 89:3	obviously 3:17 12:13	oneciti 38:15,15	originating 49:6 56:22	214:17 215:11,20
124:12,18,22 178:5	23:5 29:8 55:9 81:20	oneoff 16:23	58:18 59:7,23 60:5	216:14,15,18 217:14
180:17,17 196:10,11	84:14 95:17 102:6	ones 21:25 77:16	60:13,24 61:15 64:3	217:17,19,20 219:9
200:24 212:22	129:18 144:2 152:24	106:12 131:25	68:23	219:14,15,17,23
228:24 237:25 253:6	165:19 180:12 188:6	212:15 224:3	origination 45:8 47:21	220:5 221:17 239:16
271:6	190:15 215:3,19	open 79:20,23	49:13 50:22 51:9,10	239:22 241:3 261:11
nice 24:19 42:20	266:4,15,17	opening 62:4	51:21 52:8 54:19	268:3
night 68:20 210:20	occ 228:22	operate 31:12	56:7,10,16,17 67:20	pages 31:9,10,10
nogo 118:20	occur 28:20 47:20	operated 31:14 37:8	231:3 246:9 260:15	127:25 132:4 157:11
			<u> </u>	

177:10 178:14
177.10 176.14
186:11 211:19 216:3
219:4 240:15
paid 20:10,12 65:7
-
222:25
nainting 100.2 24
painting 188:2,24
pandit 239:9 251:10
panic 218:22
paper 73:20 75:11,13
75:22 76:18,21,23
75.22 75.16,21,25
76:25 77:4,10 94:8
95:23,25 98:18
153:7 195:6 197:15
213:25 223:11 224:6
238:4
papers 95:18 130:17
130:18 131:2,4
208:11 236:2
paragraph 45:25
48:20 73:16 129:21
130:5,9,10,11,13,20
131:13 134:7,23
161:7 240:17 241:5
241:16 242:10
243:12,17 245:2,5
247:5 250:16
paragraphs 132:7
naralegal 2:5
paralegal 2:5
paralegal 2:5 parallel 33:3,11
parallel 33:3,11
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8 participant 122:13
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8 participant 122:13 participants 193:22
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8 participant 122:13 participants 193:22 258:12
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8 participant 122:13 participants 193:22
parallel 33:3,11 parallels 104:8 paraphrase 136:11 paraphrasing 259:5 paren 135:12,13 156:11 parenthetical 136:9 parodyne 54:2 pars 51:23 part 20:19 21:12 38:3 38:7,8,10 40:2 47:14 48:8,10 49:6 51:24 52:9 64:13 65:14 67:4 74:10,12 79:3 80:23 86:16 90:22 93:3 102:14 105:18 105:18 113:6 118:9 121:6,8 123:8,17 126:12 132:12 135:7 136:2,5,14,22 137:8 137:8,14 148:5 161:3 164:22 173:17 173:18,23 179:3 192:7 198:11 216:20 229:8 237:25 243:23 243:25 257:8 participant 122:13 participants 193:22 258:12

112:6
participated 13:6
48:21 55:10
participating 50:11
51:7 participation 16:16
particular 10:7,9 16:8
21:25 45:25 46:23
95:20 111:11 113:22 115:24 128:5 179:17
115:24 128:5 179:17 191:11 211:13 234:8
particularly 13:24
49:3
parties 182:13,23 244:23 271:13
partner 6:13
parts 38:2 44:20 98:9
100:6 164:7,18
246:24 251:24
party 69:14 120:16 181:25 183:12
patch 187:19
pathways 32:3
pattern 25:24
patterns 260:4,7
paul 2:7 paulson 123:22 124:11
124:19,23 125:13,22
126:11
paulsons 39:3 124:3,5
125:25 pay 64:19 106:17,18
106:21 107:4,14,21
173:6 222:24 259:3
paying 264:15
payroll 250:12 pen 155:8
pennsylvania 2:3
penny 83:15,16 84:11
89:8 90:8 145:8
154:15
pension 227:20,22 penultimate 202:25
242:11
people 8:17 9:23 13:14
14:8,11 15:2,9,12
20:8 32:9 33:9 36:10 36:17,21 39:15
41:25,25 42:21,22
42:24,24 44:7 47:5,8
47:17 48:2 50:13 53:14,18,24 61:15
53:14,18,24 61:15 61:16 64:5 66:16
68:21,24 77:21 80:7
82:20 83:2 84:17
87:5,7 91:14 93:17
93:23 96:21 99:8,16
101:9 102:8,23

103:6,16 108:7
112:6 113:9 115:7
118:23,25 119:24
120:14 126:12 128:4
131:4,7 139:18 140:22,23 143:21 148:23,24 149:2,4,6
140:22,23 143:21
149:9,12 151:19
154:3 156:16,18,19
157:25 158:21 169:3
169:10 171:7 173:15
173:17 175:8 182:16
182:24 187:16
188:11,16 192:16
193:21 194:7,17,19
196:4 197:14 208:24
210:16,23 212:22,23
227:7,10,13 234:4
234:11 242:2 247:25
263:7 265:3 266:13 percent 12:5,5 21:11
percent 12:5,5 21:11
22:24 23:4 24:2 26:10,12,13 31:2
79:8 99:14 101:6
103:9,10,11,18
107:6,8 108:2,4,5,6 108:6,8,17,22
142:12 144:23
150:23 152:3,4,8,9
155:3 216:24 217:14
223:15 233:6,11,11
233:12,14 249:11
percentage 79:15
233:15
perfectly 164:24 165:3
performance 189:19
213:9 252:4
performed 118:19
252:3
period 11:25 17:12 30:12 53:12 72:21
73:17 80:20 83:9,12
87:23 88:14,24
90:23 115:18 135:12
135:13 144:12 146:4
184:3 248:23
periodically 237:23
periods 232:25
permissible 256:24
permission 9:2
permit 58:19
person 14:6 32:12,13
32:17 33:6,8 39:16
92:19 128:17 141:2
169:8 175:6 176:22
177:3 196:10,12
201:13,14 personal 208:9,14
personai 208:9,14

232:18 263:13 personally 92:24 93:22,25
personnel 212:19 229:5
persons 17:6 79:10 187:24
pertaining 221:16,17 pertains 244:6
pertinent 13:24 165:4 232:25
petros 19:13,13,20,22
phenomenon 54:4,5
phone 9:18 143:2
phonetic 19:14 165:7 phrase 21:19
phrased 123:4,5
physically 9:17 15:10
pichler 149:11,19
pick 108:19 picked 216:23
picking 15:24
pictorial 219:3
picture 188:2
pie 12:2 piece 98:18 173:21
piggyback 106:4
107:23 109:6
pipeline 55:9
place 54:21 97:15
139:15,16 170:17
184:13 185:25 220:12 230:24
plan 29:13 30:4,7,24
38:15 40:3 202:14
227:22 234:13
plated 173:3 platform 58:18 64:2,6
64:13
play 48:16 52:19 225:7
playing 120:23 123:7
125:10 please 3:24 9:4 11:5,17
11:19 24:17 41:3,4
130:9 136:9,10
167:20 201:17 210:5
216:2 241:3 245:5
252:5 plucking 206:23
plus 223:2
pockets 164:13,14
point 5:15 26:3 36:10
37:16 39:17,19,21 39:22 40:20 41:10
41:16 55:15 60:11
69:3 77:21 79:16
81:24 85:8 92:8 97:5
98:12 99:25 104:8

Page	
04.117	2
9 126:	19
19 157	:7
	18
20	
24	
19:10	
1 227:6	5
3,14	
	7
9:15	
3:4	
7	
2 74:14	1
8 136:2	2
137:9	
5:11,18	
:4 244:	9
9:21,23	3
154:5	3
154:5 233:2	3
154:5 233:2 11	3
154:5 233:2 11 71:22	
154:5 3 233:2 3 11 9 71:22 19 81:2	5
154:5 3 233:2 11 9 71:22 19 81:2 9 88:2,6	5
154:5 5 233:2 11 0 71:22 19 81:2 9 88:2,6	5
154:5 5 233:2 11 0 71:22 19 81:2 0 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2	5
154:5 233:2 11 271:22 19 81:2 2 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25	5
154:5 233:2 111 271:22 19 81:2 2 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8	5
154:5 5 233:2 11 0 71:22 19 81:2 1 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8	5 25
154:5 5 233:2 11 0 71:22 19 81:2 9 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8 181:14 00 214:	5 25
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 19 88:2,6 90:20 44 135:2 148:25 69:8 181:14 10 214:15 5,6	5 25 4 18
154:5 5 233:2 11 0 71:22 19 81:2 9 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8 181:14 00 214:	5 25 4 18
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 19 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8 181:14 0 214:1 5,6 25 263	5 25 4 18
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 19 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8 181:14 0 214:1 5,6 25 263	5 25 4 18 :6
154:5 233:2 11 0 71:22 19 81:20 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8 1181:14 5,6 25 263 :23	5 25 4 18 :6
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 19 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:2 148:25 69:8 181:14 0 214:1 5,6 25 263	5 25 4 18 :6
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 0 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:: 148:25 69:8 181:14 0 214:: 5,6 25 263 :23 14 154 49:3,9	5 25 4 18 :6
154:5 233:2 11 0 71:22 19 81:2 0 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:: 148:25 69:8 1181:1 0 214:: 5,6 25 263 14 154 49:3,9	5 25 4 18 :6
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 0 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:: 148:25 69:8 181:1 20 214: 5,6 25 263 14 154 49:3,9 0 248:1 14 209:	5 25 4 18 :6
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 19 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:: 148:25 69:8 181:14 20 214:: 5,6 25 263 :23 :14 154 :49:3,9 9 248:1 14 209: 6,16	5 25 4 18 :6 :2
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 19 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:: 148:25 69:8 181:14 20 214:: 5,6 25 263 :23 :14 154 :49:3,9 9 248:1 14 209: 6,16	5 25 4 18 :6 :2
154:5 233:2 11 071:22 19 81:2 0 88:2,6 90:20 4 135:: 148:25 69:8 181:1 20 214: 5,6 25 263 14 154 49:3,9 0 248:1 14 209:	5 25 4 18 :6 :2
	224 117 29 126:13 3146:13 74:6,20 12,14,13 3,22 18 19 10 1 227:6 3,14 19:10 1 227:6 3,14 19:15 3:4 7 22 74:14 8 136:2 6 137:9 5:11,18 6:4 244:

174:6 209:24 219:10

269:17	press 140:4 202:14	110:1 111:1 112:1	266:1,3,15,22 267:1	product 46:25 47:2,9
pr 96:21	264:3	113:1 114:1 115:1	268:1 269:1 270:1	105:24 151:11,15
practical 26:2 154:23	pressed 13:7	116:1 117:1 118:1	271:1	169:12,13,15 217:5
155:23 187:16	pressure 176:10	119:1 120:1 121:1	printout 45:9,17	245:25
practice 128:22	pressures 125:4	122:1 123:1 124:1	268:12	production 128:2
practices 125:5 262:8	presumably 247:22	125:1,2,9 126:1	prior 8:22 58:2,5	products 36:2 46:11
precedence 130:7	250:7	127:1,17 128:1	70:24 74:18 152:7	46:17,21 47:19,25
preceding 34:18	pretto 96:19,20	129:1 130:1 131:1	152:22 168:21,23	48:7,24 49:10,17,18
precipitated 236:24	pretty 12:16 16:18	132:1 133:1 134:1	177:14 184:3,13	50:23 51:14 52:20
precipitating 119:14	28:15 35:14 43:12	135:1 136:1 137:1	237:19 242:14,15	54:7,7,8 55:24 56:18
139:22 224:14	45:2 52:11 84:22	137:24 138:1 139:1	243:17	56:22 59:23,24 69:7
precipitous 82:12	87:11 134:8,16,16	140:1 141:1 142:1	private 8:14 10:21	69:20 106:8 109:16
224:13 228:17,20	134:16 145:13	143:1 144:1 145:1	28:6 121:20,21,24	118:10,12,13,14,18
236:18 237:7	170:17 188:12	146:1,18 147:1	122:6,8 123:14	118:22 119:3 132:11
precipitously 176:18	233:23 234:3 245:19	148:1 149:1,15	126:17 132:17	135:6,10 136:12
precisely 81:6	260:5	150:1 151:1 152:1	140:12 143:19	155:11 174:7,11
predecessor 5:9,12	prevent 30:16 125:23	153:1 154:1 155:1	173:21	177:15,22 178:4
predecessors 4:20	prevented 263:9	156:1 157:1 158:1	probabilities 248:5	179:2 183:19 204:4
232:21	preventing 28:3	159:1,9 160:1 161:1	probability 72:18 79:7	236:23 245:11,18,22
predictability 112:14	previous 147:20	162:1 163:1 164:1	247:11 248:10,14,22	246:2 263:25 270:2
predicting 112:23	156:20	165:1 166:1 167:1	249:16	professional 191:16
preferred 185:11,13	price 106:20 225:19	167:24 168:1 169:1	probable 248:15	267:2
premise 9:3 11:14	prices 103:2	170:1 171:1 172:1	probably 10:22 30:19	professionals 53:11
premises 11:18	primarily 119:23	173:1 174:1,3 175:1	40:11 48:2 76:2	153:13 171:8 244:21
prep 210:13,22	120:5,15 172:11	176:1 177:1,9 178:1	130:3 131:2,3 176:8	profit 112:11
preparation 70:16,22 97:17 146:25	240:6 primary 32:22 132:16	179:1 180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1 184:1	197:16 248:4 255:7 problem 26:22 56:5	profits 20:11
prepare 210:18	157:3 173:24	185:1 186:1 187:1	103:19 104:23	program 29:14 30:16 233:23 234:2
prepared 17:4 165:25	prince 1:4 3:1,3,19 4:1	187:24 188:1 189:1	103:19 104:23	progress 30:5 113:15
166:4,5	4:2,2,4,16 5:1 6:1	190:1,9 191:1 192:1	131:21 134:17	prohibited 127:10
preparing 70:24	7:1,16 8:1 9:1 10:1	193:1 194:1 195:1	170:19 183:23 196:2	project 16:23
prerecorded 202:16	11:1,4 12:1 13:1	196:1 197:1 198:1	196:5 197:5 225:13	projected 220:6
prescription 52:7	14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1	199:1 200:1 201:1	225:14,16 261:14	progretted 220.0
56:20	17:5 18:1 19:1 20:1	201:23 202:1 203:1	problematic 247:18	promise 238:18
present 90:10,17	21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1	204:1,18 205:1	problems 21:21,24	promoted 6:18 34:7
124:17 126:10,11	25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1	206:1,24 207:1	22:4,4,6 26:21 30:14	promoting 52:19
presentation 16:13	29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1	208:1 209:1,19	34:4 35:7 44:8 66:9	prompted 139:19
70:4,14 71:9,9,12,13	33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	103:20 170:12 192:6	181:9
72:5 73:9 97:20	36:25 37:1 38:1 39:1	212:25 213:1 214:1	196:4 235:14 255:4	proof 154:11
98:24 101:25 102:18	40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1	215:1 216:1 217:1	257:2	proper 241:8 244:9
104:17 105:11 106:7	44:1 45:1,16 46:1	218:1 219:1 220:1	process 16:3 29:8	properly 28:23 242:13
133:14,20 147:4	47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1	221:1 222:1 223:1	47:21 51:9,10 55:2,5	242:17 243:15
175:11 179:19 180:3	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	224:1,21 225:1	55:18 82:6 91:7	propose 181:20 182:9
184:17 186:2 204:3	55:1 56:1 57:1,7	226:1 227:1 228:1	92:13 93:3 108:21	proposed 58:11
205:22 209:25	58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1	228:21 229:1 230:1	126:18 143:16	178:18
210:15 211:4,10	62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1	230:19 231:1 232:1	144:13,20 162:2,3	prospect 28:3 168:2
212:18 213:9 214:14	66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	233:1 234:1 235:1	188:8 197:18,24	257:18
268:25	70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1	236:1 237:1 238:1	199:17 250:19 264:6	protecting 29:18
presentations 179:7	74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1	239:1,5 240:1 241:1	264:13 266:4	protection 183:10
presented 60:17,18	78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1	242:1 243:1 244:1	processes 27:25 28:12	prove 89:19
63:18,21 66:2 71:8	82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	245:1 246:1 247:1	28:25 83:22	proved 245:11 248:21
81:12 87:19 147:11	86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1	248:1 249:1 250:1	procyclical 226:21	258:19 259:6
179:24 200:25 211:6	90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1	251:1 252:1,16	produced 18:6 57:8	provide 4:3 20:18
211:8,15 212:5,8	94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1	253:1 254:1 255:1	70:11 96:16 109:24	75:14 76:16 141:17
213:15 214:6 215:23 244:3	98:1 99:1 100:1	256:1 257:1 258:1 250:1 17 260:1	127:18 137:25	162:22 253:7
244:3 presenting 147:6	101:1 102:1 103:1 104:1 105:1 106:1	259:1,17 260:1 261:1 262:1 263:1	146:19 159:10 174:4	providing 76:14 78:6 141:23
184:8 243:18,25	104:1 103:1 106:1	264:1 265:1,18	201:24 209:20 213:2 239:6 241:19	provoked 28:18
104.0 243.10,23	107.1 100.1 109.1,2	204.1 203.1,10	237.0 241.17	provoncu 20.10
			[

proxy 178:20 179:4,15 quoted 53:25 120:20 reacted 142:19 263:16 109:10 180:2 185:20 231:23 232:3 quantifying 141:7 125:9 reacting 115:23 220:11 reaction 39:12,14 76:6 **public** 5:16 18:15 33:2 quarter 83:19 112:22 quotes 154:21 reasonable 28:3 51:5 68:3 202:11 179:13,18 214:8,21 reasonably 255:14 113:5 116:11,13,14 R reasons 13:23 27:24 271:5 116:18 150:5,7 240:11,19 241:2,12 publicly 56:4 203:10,23 205:4 radar 21:22 59:14 241:22 242:24 122:11 126:24 pull 13:7 54:20 206:14 235:25 236:4 123:18 151:8 169:20 243:21,24 244:16 recall 5:3 28:7 66:17 **pumped** 46:12 236:8 197:6,9 245:14 247:19 70:13 71:2 72:11 purchase 49:11 51:21 250:20,22 253:22 quarterly 116:14 raise 77:16 79:22 82:15 83:6 64:7 65:13,20 71:25 215:18 ramifications 249:22 90:3,18 94:5 95:8,14 reactions 253:4 72:8 132:12 135:7 quarters 116:16 250:4,7 read 22:4 39:2 41:5,12 95:25 96:22 97:13 136:4,13,21 **question** 11:14,16 ran 14:6 15:9 21:18 46:2 68:23 95:18 98:4,5 104:21 39:20 102:23 161:20 99:10 111:17 114:19 115:14 121:9,12 purchased 136:2 13:25 14:2 22:9 125:22 126:2 128:9 26:17 31:23 35:19 124:4,6 128:12 purchaser 63:6 175:18 **purity** 227:9 36:12,14 39:24 40:2 random 15:25 129:8,24 130:2,9,19 141:6 146:23 147:13 134:25 137:4 157:9 purports 56:25 57:10 51:15 52:4,14,16 randy 82:25 84:22 166:17 172:5 184:18 53:2,2,4,6 68:4 85:18,19 91:14 92:9 160:18,20 162:11 196:19 199:7 203:11 268:20 **purposes** 5:6 14:23 73:10,13 82:4 99:24 205:17 208:23 210:8 145:3 168:10 169:11 195:6 207:14,15 49:12 100:9,21,23 101:14 176:23 177:4 247:24 208:11 211:25 210:21.24 214:8.20 pursuing 42:9 102:11 109:20 261:10 223:11 232:2 236:2 recalling 27:23 66:14 **pushed** 121:21 122:15 125:14,18,20 randys 169:16 243:2.3 253:3 117:13 put 3:21 15:22 17:6 136:7 138:11 141:22 reading 38:20,21 received 70:13 111:3 range 14:12 33:21 152:25 153:9,11 41:18 43:5 68:18 18:20 19:23,24 ranked 151:2,9 239:13 27:12 54:16 61:8,18 158:4 161:10 162:14 rapidly 25:23 253:9 98:5 130:17 211:22 **receiving** 71:9 96:22 62:20 71:21 75:5 164:23,24 165:3 rare 161:14 163:20 reads 241:6 249:17 105:7 76:11 80:17 91:21 168:20 169:24 rate 107:5 150:22 ready 134:13 reception 246:22 92:19 94:11 95:12 170:21 171:15,22 161:8 real 47:5 100:3 102:15 recess 167:22 95:13 108:10 113:9 177:3 184:6,15 rated 78:5 153:7 164:6,12 172:15,18 recession 191:25 114:17 116:8 117:17 190:8 207:7.13 192:11 193:4 172:19 173:3.15.25 recognize 57:19,24 121:5 155:8,19 208:6 211:13 215:9 rates 53:11,12,18,20 191:21 192:14,24 58:3 71:8 97:8 219:2 229:6,18 220:24 221:5 246:6 156:3,23 158:2 53:23 86:14 106:5 174:16,18 213:12 246:16 253:11 164:13 171:15 175:8 236:11 251:19 254:2 106:24 109:7 118:7 226:15 228:3,6,16 175:18 182:7,14,17 256:19 258:6,8 realistic 193:10 recognized 127:4 261:3 182:24 183:21 262:4,5,23 264:16 rating 36:20 72:18 realistically 190:25 recollect 85:23 117:2 184:23 188:19 reality 101:24 130:2 recollection 27:4,21 265:17 78:8 79:6 82:7,8,12 197:18 201:12 204:7 questioning 238:21 258:9,9 263:14 31:13 43:6 63:25 83:7 85:4 88:23 204:17 212:14 questions 33:24 51:17 117:22 118:3,19,21 realize 104:18 196:3 65:11 80:13 81:3 215:13 254:10 63:11 142:24 222:8 119:9 151:5 191:15 realized 147:5 94:19 147:7 166:15 puts 73:17,19 74:23 229:4,19,20 230:8,9 193:4 198:15 219:16 really 9:5 41:9,15 51:9 172:10 176:4 199:22 75:2,21 76:4,7 78:21 244:9 82:5,7 84:5 94:10 200:21 203:15,18 229:23 236:22 244:21 259:12 204:23 205:6 207:9 94:3,7,21 95:4,5,10 quick 234:18 106:19 107:19 quickly 265:22 263:19 264:2 265:23 213:14 95:24 133:10,11 108:11,13,16,18 200:12,22 207:23 **quinton** 156:9 ratings 89:3 118:25 119:13 122:4 126:19 recommend 38:23 214:2 242:21 quite 10:16,17,23 131:5,10,11 140:13 recommending 184:14 119:3,8,17,22,23 120:5 217:15 222:17 reconsolidated 237:2 **putting** 19:9 27:16 25:24 27:20 28:18 142:18 154:10 153:5 205:12,17 29:8 30:4 37:21 42:6 223:17 163:15 169:25 reconstruct 79:25 80:5 234:18 54:9 69:7 77:10.18 raw 54:12,15,21,25 171:16 180:2 182:8 80:9 89:10 90:24 92:23 96:5 121:23 188:20 193:9 198:18 92:5 130:15 55:6 231:9,13 0 121:24 122:4 123:23 199:17 200:18 reconstructing 77:23 260:22 q3 202:12 126:20 130:22,22 raynes 174:8,13,24 205:19,20 209:10 78:3 80:19 quadruple 24:15 131:6 162:10 170:13 175:8,13,16,24,25 217:5 218:5 227:14 reconstruction 81:8 qualify 47:18 171:13 176:16 231:7 234:23 240:21 95:20 176:2,5,21 180:4 194:24,25 240:25 243:7 260:19 record 3:25 40:20 46:4 qualities 66:4 184:8 186:20 187:7 quality 55:4,7,7 60:11 quote 98:20 103:20 187:25 189:5 204:2 260:20 261:13 67:14 70:9 85:5 66:5 68:2.14 69:19 120:25 121:3.8 205:22 270:3 266:10 98:19 105:5 127:24 191:14 194:18 216:9 124:14 125:25 reached 246:19 **reason** 3:19 27:16 134:23,25 142:21 216:20 217:7 219:24 53:22 62:25 75:12 166:21 207:15 222:9 149:10 154:22 reaching 34:22 155:21 172:17 212:8 react 88:5 236:21 80:18 90:14 105:20 253:4 271:10 221:2,3,4 260:20

1 117 5 100 0
recorded 117:5 122:3
202:19 203:12,16
204:5,18 207:16
recounts 124:16
recovering 92:14
recovers 218:8
recreate 197:15
recurred 30:18
recurring 30:17
redefine 158:5
redeployment 157:15
reduced 53:18
reemergence 186:15
refer 196:22 201:6
216:7 220:12,13,19
242:15
reference 74:22 88:8
124.2.143.18.148.5
179:8 181:12 216:11
216:12
referenced 94:3
123:22
referred 60:14 73:7
106:22 134:23
136:21 147:20 160:2 165:13 181:23
165:13 181:23
referring 21:25 111:24
111:25 112:2,3
1
121:10 124:11
136:15 138:16 149:3
181:16 182:8,20
206:2,3 221:5,12
261:9,25
refers 73:16 133:21
149:22 155:25 163:5
239:17 250:8
refinance 68:25
reflect 190:2,10
223:18
reflected 142:19
reflecting 66:13 142:3
142:13
reflective 176:15
reflects 134:8 240:6
reform 258:17
refrigerators 112:13
regarding 138:11
region 32:14,15
regret 91:16
regulating 47:21
regulation 54:18
230:18 231:12,15,16
regulations 230:16,18
230:20,22
regulators 27:7,10
28:11 36:22 125:4
125:23 127:4 170:15
193:2 228:24 229:3
1,3.2 220.2 7 22,.3

229:7,10,16,25
230:2 244:22 259:13
265:24
regulatory 21:21,23 26:18,21,22 27:25
28:12,16,25 29:9
30:14,23 46:9,18
60:22,23 120:11
193:2 231:2 257:12
reinforcing 30:9
225:24
relate 50:7 106:23
113:7 219:18,24
related 19:21 34:6
44:14,19 60:23 74:8
74:12 84:16 108:9
170:17 172:14
219:17,22,25 220:3
229:20 245:17
271:12
relates 82:23 83:23
158:9 161:25 183:8
204:19
relating 43:8 75:23 94:21
94:21 relation 43:7
relations 18:15 33:2
202:11
relationship 11:25
42:6,11,12 156:24
relative 170:4 190:22
release 202:14,15
released 249:18
relevant 17:12
reliance 157:2
relied 120:4 262:17
reluctant 231:24
rely 118:25 119:16,21
119:22 120:15,16 relying 23:8
remarks 17:4 45:10,18
268:12
remedial 249:20,25
remember 11:24 12:4
12:9 41:17 42:16
58:12,14 65:9,16,21
65:22 66:6,7 68:17
68:18 78:12 81:6,14
87:22 90:15 91:11
93:21 95:11 97:11
98:17 102:25 103:12
103:14,15,22 105:2
105:4 118:8 124:17
124:20 125:9,12
126:21 141:11
146:25 157:19,19,22
157:25 166:12,13,15

173:14 174:20,24

	176:19 179:17
3	195:16 199:13,18
	200:25 204:10 207:6
	215:5,13 234:12
	237:22 251:12,22,25
	remembered 7:2,5
	105:6
	remembering 89:17
	remind 206:25
	reminder 218:12
	remote 248:24 249:2,9
	249:15
	remotely 248:17
	removed 12:19 69:17
	repeal 256:5
	repealed 252:25
	repeat 59:6 63:23
	report 3:11,11 15:2
	19:6 20:18 30:2
	33:10,14 37:9 92:25
	111:4 117:15 238:2
	244:13
	reported 13:9,16
	18:22,24,25 21:13
	30:5 31:21,22 32:12
	32:12,15 33:25
	116:10,13,21 122:2
	152:13,15 191:17
	reporter 46:3 121:10
	207:13,16
	reporting 18:21 20:16
	33:12 34:4 238:5
	reports 11:6 13:12,17
	65:25 259:18,22
	represent 39:7 45:17
	71:12 128:2
	representation 139:7
	representations 140:3
	represented 17:24
	79:9,17
8	representing 135:10
	reputation 8:16 29:19
	69:13 234:16 241:21
	required 106:16
	227:23 233:5
	requirement 233:10
	233:17
	rescheduling 138:11
	reserve 27:12 29:4
	91:19 228:23,23
2	238:15 239:7
	reservoir 196:12
	residential 262:17,19
	resign 194:23 195:3,4
	195:25
2	resignation 195:22
5	196:9,16,18 209:10
	resigned 89:5 194:9

resigning 195:14 resisted 92:25 resize 158:19 resizing 158:10 resolute 84:22 91:15 **resolve** 189:12 resources 157:15 253:5 254:6 respect 19:8 51:18 119:17 168:25 180:21 181:24 227:3 230:2 239:17 242:8 respond 111:22 166:5 166:7 235:18 responded 142:21 259:20 260:3 responding 110:9 response 111:20,25 141:13 142:18 145:24 243:4 responsibilities 46:10 238:2 243:15 responsibility 127:8 248:3 257:11 responsible 21:14 32:18 205:12 responsive 251:18 rest 87:14 245:23 restructuring 93:3 result 126:25 254:8 264:13 resulted 93:4 118:10 results 29:17,18 67:25 111:8 116:10,17,21 117:5 138:15 retail 137:11 **retain** 233:5 retaining 191:12 **retire** 19:23 retired 6:14 19:23 retiree 39:22 **retrospect** 35:16,17 40:9 66:19 152:20 232:9 return 65:7 165:11 returned 172:3 returning 251:2 revealed 98:21 revenue 23:24,25 24:6 24:8 65:3 110:18 112:10 114:21,25 158:11 241:8 revenues 20:13 24:3 152:5.9 reversal 237:7 **reviewed** 180:12 239:13 reviewing 113:15

reviews 29:23 revisit 256:23 revolution 41:24 42:23 43:2 richard 251:5,7 richter 163:11,12 rid 158:20 rifkind 2:7 **right** 9:10 12:11 15:22 16:10 31:15 37:6 52:2 53:3,5 62:16 65:11 66:25 85:3 91:10,23 114:4,7 115:25 129:10 136:20 137:11 159:19 166:6 169:23 181:17,20 184:10 194:21 207:14 216:10 217:17 221:23 224:8 229:4 229:19 230:8 237:4 256:2 258:23 260:11 262:10 264:25 ring 251:7 rise 144:16 rises 103:17 rising 144:25 264:7 risk 14:8 17:8,9 18:17 18:23 19:4.8.12.21 20:2,15 32:25 33:6 54:2 77:6,9 101:4,8 120:12 148:14,17 153:7,13,15 154:2,5 156:8 158:6,23 162:21,22 164:3,12 164:25 170:10,12,14 170:15,18 171:7,8 171:10.19 173:16 178:11 180:22 181:12,13,25 182:10 182:19 183:4 185:12 189:19,23 191:16 200:9 224:3 234:15 234:18 239:18,19 240:2,4,7 241:9,9,18 243:13,20 244:14 245:8,11,17 258:18 259:5,8,10,11,12,13 265:24 riskier 125:5 risks 125:8,15,24 164:9.9 165:2 243:16 risky 170:20 **rmbs** 49:12 51:18.19 51:21 63:8 246:7 262:17 road 186:23

	1	I	I	I
robust 28:2,24 39:25	saying 16:19 58:14	securities 10:19 12:15	sending 128:10	215:2
154:23 155:21	81:6 89:19 91:11,22	19:18,22 50:5,6 51:3	senior 14:3,7 17:8	separated 9:10 15:10
170:13 182:6 231:5	103:13,17 105:2	51:4 56:5 82:9,23	35:25 71:21 72:2,9	separation 257:14
role 4:6 7:17,17,20,21	107:18 115:13	104:14 112:5,19	72:14,24 73:20 74:2	september 67:3 73:5
7:22 8:3 10:5 16:14	120:21 125:10 129:7	113:8 119:11 135:9	74:20 75:23 79:3,5	74:6,16,18 75:24
18:7 20:16 48:15	131:4,7 141:12	136:19 138:22	79:20 80:12 81:7,25	76:3 77:20,24 78:15
49:16 52:17 85:21	145:7,12,23 146:10	144:15 161:2 172:25	86:23 87:19 88:15	78:17,18,21 79:10
118:20 125:4 127:3	148:24 156:19,20,21	192:11 208:24 224:8	89:8 90:9,19 94:9	79:22 80:6,10 82:15
188:11 225:6 226:8	157:20 158:12,23	226:4 252:7,10	132:24 133:2 135:24	85:22 87:15 89:13
237:18 251:10	162:12,25 180:4,6	256:13,18,20 257:4	148:23 149:2,6	91:4 94:14 104:24
roll 238:3 257:24	180:10,15 183:17,23 187:12 188:7 193:9	257:9,17,19,25 258:10 262:18,20	151:17 152:14,15 156:18 168:4,5	117:10 138:7 139:25 141:15 144:21
room 9:11 15:2 90:14 143:22	198:19 203:12 204:5	securitization 48:12	169:2 178:8 183:2	146:22 147:14
rose 223:21	says 41:8 72:17 88:2	49:10,11 51:19	186:5 192:19 195:20	151:18 152:7,23
rosetta 159:25	94:14 99:12 104:6	52:24 54:14 55:2	196:20 198:4,23	154:17 159:18 168:3
rosy 188:2,5,10,23	104:13,14 106:3	231:10 246:8 263:17	207:23 217:7 220:8	168:7 169:14 174:7
rough 23:22 187:19	108:12,15 111:20	264:13	223:9 241:6 243:12	174:12 184:19 185:3
roughly 59:16	113:4,25 124:16	securitize 54:13	244:9,12 245:9	189:18 196:24
round 82:21 168:12	138:10,13 141:16	securitized 35:25 54:7	247:10,21 248:12	200:16,19 205:23
rounds 250:16	142:10 145:18 147:2	54:7,8 63:7	255:11 266:19	206:6,7 214:20
rubin 7:22 8:21,25	148:13,21 149:7	securitizing 49:17	seniors 74:15 77:22	217:11,12 218:8
10:4 11:9 83:3 93:16	152:3 154:20,21	security 126:10 252:9	79:24 82:5,6 83:15	261:17 270:3
128:6 129:17 138:3	156:7 157:15 158:5	see 10:14 41:18 48:20	83:17 84:11 98:11	series 5:17,20 114:8
138:6 141:14,25	158:10 159:14 160:3	49:15,21 50:8,10	98:12 101:11,16	serious 44:9 84:6
142:5,8,8,9,14,18	160:6 161:7 163:17	51:11 65:25 70:25	102:3 133:4 141:18	191:25
171:24 195:15	165:8 177:11,14	84:16,20 89:9 98:18	144:22 145:11	serve 196:15 241:10
rubins 7:16	180:20 202:12 203:3	99:11 101:21 102:19	191:12,18 192:9	253:16
rules 3:16	210:14,15 217:12	112:18,20 114:12	200:23 218:14	serves 263:13
run 25:19 102:19	218:13 244:7 247:5	117:12 123:25 124:6	219:19,22 220:4	services 252:21,24
103:7 149:18 192:13	248:12 259:25	132:4 137:2 140:25	223:23 229:21 264:8	253:12
222:22 223:17	scale 163:11,12	142:24 149:6 160:4	264:14,21,23	sessions 29:15
224:11 234:22	scared 176:2	160:7,7 173:8 175:3	sense 12:10 26:2,14	set 15:4 55:21 62:25
running 21:12 112:5	scenario 180:21	191:21 192:14	27:7 32:5,17 42:12	87:8 138:19 246:2
180:18 234:8 247:25	scenarios 101:11	193:18 197:4 208:7	60:22 88:18 95:2	271:9,16
248:3	schedule 139:13,15,21	216:5,10,13,15,19,21	122:11 130:21	sets 139:3
	167:7	217:10,11,15 218:6	131:10 132:19 145:3	setting 68:25 171:25
S	scheduled 167:3	218:16,20 231:18	146:4 170:3,4	240:7
sabatakakus 19:13,16	scheduling 166:22	234:20 239:24	173:11 187:16	settled 199:20
sachs 12:14	schooled 193:12	240:24 255:10 258:5	188:23 197:10,16	seven 71:11,15 140:23
safe 36:11 54:11 186:9	scores 35:2	seeing 71:2 97:13	200:5 223:3 224:10	237:12
191:19	screen 123:18 169:20	98:17 146:23 173:4	226:12 256:10	severe 240:8
safely 54:23	197:6,9	seen 11:7 37:9 54:14	257:12,21	severely 241:20
safety 198:12	screwing 162:7	57:13 70:15,16,21	sent 115:12 128:3,4,16	share 16:15 39:18,21
salomon 38:9 120:6	sec 71:13 72:6 102:2	97:10 146:24 169:14	128:17 129:2,12	142:15 233:19,20
257:8	second 15:20 16:2,12	192:15,25 239:12	133:14,20 159:22	266:9
sandy 7:15 sat 175:9 205:14	64:20 79:4 88:11	240:13 260:24	160:11 210:14,16 sentence 72:17 79:17	shared 42:14 86:24 87:3,6,16
208:22	91:5 94:25 97:2,3 116:11,13,14,16	segregate 258:13 seizes 76:24	137:4 156:6,23	87:3,0,10 sharing 15:8
satisfactory 190:5	139:17 154:20	self 115:15 238:8	163:17,23 240:16	sheet 148:14,18 153:6
240:5	197:19 239:16	sell 75:12 155:18	241:5 242:11 244:6	153:19 183:2 190:18
satisfied 194:10	243:25	186:7,8 192:10	245:15 247:5,8,9	235:10,12,15,20,23
satisfy 118:18	secondary 48:12,17	225:19,21 233:7,12	248:12 249:17	235:24 236:10,14
saturday 208:15	secretary 39:3 124:3,4	238:3 264:21	250:15	237:5
save 53:21	124:11,19,23 125:13	selling 47:25 75:10	sentences 130:10	sheffali 210:2
saw 70:14 97:11,16,16	section 71:19 79:19	186:4 187:11,18	161:19 162:12	shift 45:6
165:18,19 191:22	104:12 106:2 138:10	189:5	242:15	shifted 140:11
198:15,16 203:5,20	154:19	semi 260:18	separate 19:10 89:23	shifts 186:18
255:2 261:10,12	secured 94:9 203:7	send 128:14,18,21	94:17 149:24 178:12	ship 27:9,11
, ,		7 - 7		
	1	I	<u> </u>	1

shocks 253:14 254:12	simply 107:6,15
254:17,20	122:20 128:21 15
shop 68:25	170:23 180:7 190
short 88:24 131:22	191:4 244:18
133:2 234:14,15,22	single 38:13 39:10
hortterm 29:17 77:5	78:10 179:18,18
77:7	216:13 217:2,4
houldnt 48:3 148:24	249:6
204:20 207:2	sir 7:5,10 9:8 11:17
how 40:14 70:2 96:8	13:15 26:15 37:3
109:23	38:25 40:22,25 6
howed 40:21 68:21	71:17 97:9 109:2
101:25 134:14	135:14 141:10
166:13	147:22 150:10 15
howing 45:16 57:7	166:23 190:14
96:15 127:17 137:24	211:21 214:24
146:18 159:9 174:3	239:11,16 240:11
201:23 209:19	243:10
212:25 239:5	sit 34:14 81:5 113:
hown 205:7 220:5	163:2
248:21	sitting 35:2,15 77:2
hrink 158:24	194:11 212:11 22
hut 187:14	223:19,25
ide 8:15 42:7 54:20	situation 14:25 26:
54:20 65:4,6 85:13	50:3 54:19 83:12
85:14,16,17 86:6,7	89:25 90:6 102:1
86:12,17 181:17,19	103:23 108:14 12
181:20 205:14,15	123:11 127:5 130
216:14 217:17	138:22 164:5 172
des 119:2	173:9,9 190:22
ideways 113:11	193:10 196:11 2
igma 161:10,23,25	220:23 227:25
	228:17 238:7 24:
162:9,13,23 163:3,5	
163:6,15 166:9	situations 34:23
ignalling 117:14	234:20
igned 128:8	sivs 237:16,19,24,2
ignificance 118:3	238:7
ignificant 10:2 35:4	six 12:24 37:11,17
53:17 97:25 99:4,22	116:15,19 117:4
150:6 152:18 156:8	140:23 157:16
164:17 169:13,15,19	161:10,23,25 162
178:19 179:3,14	162:13 163:3,5,6
181:8 194:25 221:19	166:9 186:13 21
226:9,19 241:20	212:4
	· ·
247:15 255:4	size 12:2 23:17,20
ignificantly 12:4	24:11,15 25:4,13
158:14 252:2 260:5	58:13 59:17 95:9
261:6	158:19,24 253:5
ilo 44:19	254:6 255:5,15
ilos 41:10,16 42:15	sized 25:3
43:10,16,20 177:16	skadden 2:13
178:3	skills 189:25
imilar 33:17 107:10	skimming 132:3
196:4 245:23	_
190:4 /40:73	slate 2:13
	slide 149:21
simple 24:4 153:9	
simple 24:4 153:9 264:8,22	
simple 24:4 153:9 264:8,22 simpler 118:14	slightly 43:24 153: 203:10,23 205:4
simple 24:4 153:9 264:8,22	slightly 43:24 153: 203:10,23 205:4 206:14

imply 107:6,15	sle
122:20 128:21 154:9	
170:23 180:7 190:5	
191:4 244:18	sn
ingle 38:13 39:10	
78:10 179:18,18	
216:13 217:2,4	
249:6	
ir 7:5,10 9:8 11:17,22	
13:15 26:15 37:3	Sn
38:25 40:22,25 67:2	
71:17 97:9 109:21	
135:14 141:10 147:22 150:10 157:6	Sn
166:23 190:14	
211:21 214:24	SI
239:11,16 240:11	sn
,	SO
243:10 it 34:14 81:5 113:3	
163:2	SO
itting 35:2,15 77:25	
194:11 212:11 223:8	
223:19,25	S0 S0
ituation 14:25 26:25	
50:3 54:19 83:12	SO
89:25 90:6 102:15	SO
103:23 108:14 123:6	
123:11 127:5 130:6 138:22 164:5 172:14	
173:9,9 190:22	so
193:10 196:11 215:3	so
220:23 227:25	so
228:17 238:7 245:6	50
ituations 34:23	
234:20	
ivs 237:16,19,24,25	
238:7	
ix 12:24 37:11,17	
116:15,19 117:4	
140:23 157:16	
161:10,23,25 162:9	
162:13 163:3,5,6,15	so
166:9 186:13 211:22	
212:4	
ize 12:2 23:17,20	
24:11,15 25:4,13	
58:13 59:17 95:9	
158:19,24 253:5	
254:6 255:5,15	SO
ized 25:3	SO
kadden 2:13	SO
kills 189:25	SO
kimming 132:3	SO
late 2:13	SO
lide 149:21	sp
lightly 43:24 153:3	sp
203:10.23 205:4	ST

low 116:24 117:9
243:18,25 245:22
249:20 250:2 257:3
mall 24:14 25:2 72:19
105:18 151:25
152:10,12 162:4
164:3,19,21 170:3
171:13 190:22
198:11 255:3,25
maller 158:14,16,19
158:21,24 163:14
164:10 193:20
mart 122:16,25
192:16
marter 264:24
napshot 115:5,22
ocalled 35:24 72:2
79:5
old 47:17 52:22 75:22
137:13 234:4,5
264:22
ole 6:15
olely 172:7
olved 225:15
omebody 88:2 94:20
95:3 147:5 154:16
173:6,20,21 182:14
185:12,13 188:14,19
218:13
omeones 212:13
ooner 35:18 198:2,5
orry 13:8 22:10 37:2
43:25 57:25 80:9
85:20 97:12,15
135:12,16 137:3
154:8 160:19 163:16
175:17 200:5 211:12
214:10 217:18 219:2 220:15 221:14
220:15 221:14
235:17 251:8 258:23
258:25 262:3
ort 14:7 16:10 19:15
25:19,25 68:20 73:4
81:10 85:15 91:5,21 94:17,22 95:6,6
94:17,22 95:6,6
132:3 155:8 167:3
188:25 197:3,17
199:21 216:9
ound 260:8
ounded 192:20
ounds 19:15,15
ource 253:17
ources 246:20
outh 117:11 153:21
pace 226:25 262:9
pare 38:18
peak 234:3 235:4
236:16

I	
	speaking 55:24 226:5
	special 12:16 15:21
	16:23 252:12
	specific 61:11 99:7
	105:15 137:3 149:19
	161:12 163:18
	210:21 254:4
	specifically 52:13
	183:2 197:14
	specificity 52:5
	specifics 95:11
	specify 61:7
	spectrum 50:12 56:2
	68:8,10
	speculate 204:21
	207:3
	speculation 80:23
	208:12
	speech 49:23,25 50:10
	60:14 106:10
	spells 19:15
	spent 11:2 80:20 94:12
	spikes 161:8
	split 233:3
	spoke 57:15
	spotty 47:22 231:5
	spring 192:5,5 247:14
	248:16 249:8 259:23
	261:7,22,24
	square 2:14
	squared 214:5 219:12
	stability 253:8,17
	stable 257:22
	stack 129:7 staff 14:8 18:14 32:24
	266:8,24
	stages 244:10
	stand 81:15,23 128:22
	standard 154:21
	155:11 182:5 standards 106:4 113:2
	155:22 156:4 260:14
	260:15 263:4,21
	standpoint 53:3 77:8
	212:12 233:25
	star 138:13
	star 138:13 starkest 68:7,12,16
	69:17
	start 16:19 65:6 81:17
	143:15 196:12
	261:13
	started 4:23 5:5,6 6:4
	38:4 94:18 138:20
	196:23 197:20 201:8
	217:3
	starting 34:19 203:3
	startle 81:14
	startling 81:13
	oun unig 01.13

Page 2.
1
starts 46:2 247:10
state 3:24 129:24
131:13 231:4,4
271:6
stated 228:5
statement 39:13,15
41:7,11,14 46:3 98:5
98:6 136:4 148:17
161:6 232:3 240:12 241:13,23 242:25
241:13,23 242:25
243:22,23 244:17
247:20 250:21
253:23
statements 45:22
142:2 231:23
states 26:23 62:3
79:20 97:22 231:5,6
stating 228:2
status 119:13
staying 126:13
steal 238:17
stearns 69:9 193:19
255:5,18 257:5
step 10:12 69:16
121:16 164:10
stepped 86:19
steps 78:10
sticks 95:22
stock 99:15 156:23
233:4,7,12,16,18,20
234:5,5
stockholders 233:24
stone 159:25
stonebridge 4:11
stop 27:7 122:13
166:23
stopped 6:16
stopping 121:6
stops 120:21
stories 264:3
storms 97:5 104:7
133:14,19
story 195:7
straight 217:8 218:17
strange 77:15
strategic 8:8 10:5,8
11:10 13:5 178:18
strategy 22:14,15
24:13 39:11 100:6
183:3 184:12,14
streamlined 254:21
street 52:18 55:9
120:8,12 121:19
124:23 154:14
189:23 193:8 225:10
230:15,15 234:25
strength 35:23 179:9

253:17 263:17 264:6

strengths 253:7
stress 89:9,14,18,21
102:19,24 103:7,24
103:25 176:10
strike 247:6
string 25:19
strong 54:9 66:15
183:14
struck 39:5 123:20
235:9
structure 18:21 32:8
39:9 220:13 221:6
221:13 231:2 232:10
234:10,25
structured 84:25
118:10,13,17 145:9
150:13,15,16 155:10
157:13 158:13,17
174:6,11 177:12,15
177:21 178:4 182:12
182:22 186:15,25
204:3 220:17,25
221:8,9 223:20
232:12 236:23
237:13 269:25
structures 33:3 34:13
55:14 158:5
structuring 51:22
119:7 192:17 203:8
221:13 264:6
struggling 58:15
strugging 50.15
stuck 182:15
stuff 193:4 217:7
236:3 264:18
sub 148:20 177:18
subbullets 114:8
subject 150:18 202:12
226:16 235:17
252:13
subjects 35:13
submit 242:13
subordinate 132:19
subparagraph 71:23
subprime 48:25 54:24
<u> </u>
71:24 72:7 97:24
99:13 102:4 104:13
104:15,19 105:13
106:3 131:16,21
132:10 135:4,10
181:2,10 203:5,7
207:21 213:23
220:16,21 221:13
241:18 244:11
245.10.245.11
245:10 247:13
249:21 250:2 260:16
262:9,20
subsequent 148:20
subsequently 155:7

subset 149:17 subsidiaries 31:4,19 subsidiary 5:15 substance 125:13 182:18 substantial 262:25
263:9 substantially 11:8 205:23 substantive 209:11 success 30:7 successful 30:19 186:3
186:4 successor 239:10 suddenness 236:20 suffered 65:3 sufficient 34:21 167:7 179:10
suggest 8:5 12:10 52:14 suggested 27:11 84:21 133:5 144:14 suggesting 91:12 suggestion 170:7
suggestions 109:13 212:13 suggests 88:3 suite 2:3 summarize 231:25 summary 29:6 97:20
134:17 239:18 240:2 240:17 summer 8:20,22 9:6 34:19,20 35:5,9 44:2 44:3,12 74:7 94:12 98:8,16 99:2 100:11
100:16 102:16 104:17 121:13 126:8 132:16 218:18,25 219:5 247:14 248:17 249:7,8
summit 45:12,20 268:15 sunday 138:6 210:14 210:19 super 35:24 71:21
72:2,9,14,23 73:20 74:2,15,20 75:23 77:22 79:3,5,19,24 80:11 81:7,24 82:5,6 83:15,16 84:11
86:23 87:19 88:15 89:8 90:9,19 94:9 98:11,12 101:11,16 102:3 132:23 133:2 133:4 135:24 141:18

144:22 145:11 168:4

168:5 169:2 178:8

102.2.106.4.101.12
183:2 186:4 191:12
191:18 192:8,19
191:18 192:8,19 196:20 198:4,23 200:23 207:22 217:7
200:23 207:22 217:7 218:13 219:19,22
220:4,8 223:9,23
229:21 255:11 264:8
264:14,21,23
supervised 228:22
suppliers 112:16 support 76:16 78:6
90:5
supposed 87:13 163:6
173:2
sure 4:22 5:4 9:6 11:12
11:20 13:5 17:17
19:25 21:18 24 26:5
19:25 21:18,24 26:5 27:22,25 28:22,24
35:21 36:5 37:15
43:19,19 47:3 51:23
51:25 52:3,13 63:13
66:7 67:6,8 86:2
87:5,6 91:10,23
93:14,14,15,16
95:17 96:3 97:10
105:14 110:23 116:7
116:23 125:19 126:5
130:14 147:18,19
155:3 157:25 169:20
169:22 172:20 180:9
183:7 191:6,25
195:6 198:6 213:16 222:9 224:23 243:11
252:9 253:25 257:15
260:10 262:4
surfaced 259:22
surprise 81:15 169:7
surprised 7:2 61:14 146:25 246:14
surprisingly 55:2
108:24
survey 33:23,24
survive 253:8
susanna 2:11
susceptible 48:4
swathes 119:11
sworn 271:9
syndicate 247:17
synthetic 178:5
system 224:15,19
259:19
T
table 15:17 16:22
91:22 95:12,13
145:5,7 168:11,12
168:14 197:13

. 1 122 24
tabs 123:24
take 28:21 33:4 39:18
43:2 63:11 67:7,11 69:8 71:4 76:22
80:10 91:3,18
109:17,19,22 125:7
125:15 159:3 167:21
222:7 224:3 239:11
251:13 252:6,15
255:14 256:3,19
257:10,16 263:6,8
taken 69:3 168:25
237:25 265:14
talk 9:6,12,13,18 16:2
16:5,8 36:19 37:4
68:3 78:14 110:12
126:12 132:5 165:23
172:16 175:6 232:16
232:16,18 252:7
265:19
talked 9:7 42:17 67:19
80:7 93:15,15,16 106:8 133:13 142:7
171:23 172:17 182:4
212:2 230:25 231:18
234:12 252:10
260:13 261:7,12
265:22,23,24,24
266:17
talking 30:8,23 44:10
80:21 99:5,6 104:25
122:8 160:21 161:3 161:4 171:24 184:20
161:4 171:24 184:20
185:3 194:7 198:9
201:6 212:20 225:3
254:21,23 257:2
260:14,22
talks 150:20 157:14
160:23,23,24,25
202:7 206:12 tamp 125:5
team 55:20,25 119:21
120:4,7
teams 246:9
teaser 106:5,24 107:5
109:7
tech 53:20
technologies 161:21
technology 64:5
telephone 82:21,24
90:22 141:4
telescoped 80:5
tell 3:15 5:11 13:7 94:5
134:11 143:22 144:7
158:2 165:24 166:4
198:2 200:18
telling 139:10 154:9,14
183:5 187:8 251:25

temporary 54:3 64:10
64:11 65:24 225:13
225:16
ten 12:23 22:24 23:4
24:2 26:10 83:7
88:25 163:11
tenure 5:13 6:3 19:4
23:23 31:5 56:13
97:14 109:4,12
111 12 151 7 220 22
111:13 151:7 228:22
term 30:11 112:16,17
176:14 234:14,14,15
1/0:14 234:14,14,13
terminate 93:10,13,18
terminated 93:24
termination 62:6
terms 8:20 10:8 12:12
25:25 28:21 40:7
48:16 49:15 68:12
86:21 120:21 121:22
122:7,16 126:18
130:8 151:15,16
· ·
215:15 226:12 227:2
235:11,14 254:6,15
254:18
territory 208:5
test 89:19 91:24
102:19
testified 109:3 135:23
144:10 172:6 258:18
259:4
testify 208:9
testimony 252:16,19
258:15 271:8,11
258:15 2/1:8,11
testing 9:21 89:9,14,22
tests 102:24 103:7,24
103:25
thank 3:5,20 67:10
121 2 127 16 150 2
121:2 137:16 150:3
184:16 202:23 219:6
251:3 266:24,25
267:2
thanks 71:18
thats 236:4
theirs 93:17
thereabouts 6:7
thing 16:10 18:19
22:12 44:11 86:20
114:18 117:10 132:5
134:10 162:6 175:9
188:15,16 198:7,17
224:18 235:9 240:22
251.19 25 256.22 22
251:18,25 256:22,22
things 8:19 9:7 13:6
16:20 23:19 24:15
29:5 35:9 64:23
65:11 74:11 76:3
81:14 84:20 91:17
96:6 100:8 102:21

205:15,16

120:22 134:11
160:21 167:3 182:24
190:6,10 198:25
224:16 225:17
226:22,22 227:11
237:5 254:22,24
266:20
think 6:17 7:8 8:12
11:23 18:19 20:8,13 25:23 26:24 27:3,19
25:23 26:24 27:3,19
27:22 30:18 32:7
36:9 46:8,23 47:4
50:21 51:16 53:5,6
55:13,17,19 56:15
58:9,9,10,10,24 59:5
59:6 60:22 63:25
66:24,24 67:23 68:6
69:2,3,23,24 72:16
73:2,9,12 74:4,14
78:19 81:2 85:8
86:15 87:10 88:11
88:19,21 90:21 91:3
91:9,23 93:24 94:15
98:24 99:20,24
98:24 99:20,24 102:9,13 104:24
105:6 109:9,11
118:9,14 119:23
132:14 134:5,8,15
136:6,7 139:24
145:15,18,19 148:19
150:19 153:2,14
155:2,5,24 156:5,17
158:9,18,22 162:17
162:21 163:24 164:2
167:5 168:8 169:25
170:6 171:21 173:17
176:2,6 177:2 179:6
179:11 180:11,13
181:3,5,16 182:2,7
182:18,20 183:8,16
183:23 185:2,3,18
187:13 188:5,9,22
188:25 189:6,21,24
189:25 190:4 191:16
191:19,24 192:16,19
193:8,11,24 194:3
193.8,11,24 194.3
204:13 207:12
210:11 211:3,5,5,10
211:11,14 212:10,21
214:3,11 215:14
218:3 220:3 223:19
224:20 225:9 226:17
226:20 227:8,17,25
228:11 229:24 230:2
230:7,11,25 231:7
231:14,25 233:22,24
234:9,23,24 235:6

236:17,20 237:3,6,6 238:11 240:20,21 241:25 243:17 244:3 244:19,24 245:16,18 245:21,24 247:7 248:4,24 249:6,11 249:14,14 250:12,23 254:23 255:13,17,18 255:21,23,23 256:2 258:6,8 259:2,10,11 259:12,13,20 260:2 260:3 265:8,25 thinking 11:14 84:23 94:12 100:2,7 123:18 130:12 134:9 134:12 150:19 171:2 189:4,6 236:23 252:13 **third** 71:5 94:23 97:22 120:16 150:5.6 228:4,6,7,7,9 241:3 **thirds** 91:6 thomas 128:7 129:14 thought 19:11 22:12 23:15 26:16 34:24 35:14 36:10 42:20 53:12,14,19,24 55:25 59:8 65:23 93:7 96:7 98:12.13 102:3 117:19 120:7 126:24 127:3 142:22 143:13,14,16 155:4 158:14 162:5 170:20 170:25 183:20 184:25 185:7,21 186:9 187:9 188:10 188:20 189:3,5 190:15 192:21 212:22,24 220:18 227:2 **thoughts** 16:16 67:16 128:24 167:2 251:23 **thousand** 107:19 108:15 184:4 thousands 82:9 three 9:13 10:14,24 12:22 16:20 27:6 32:4 35:2,15 46:6 84:13 89:23 103:10 132:8 134:6,24 139:3 140:24 146:6 149:9 150:22 151:13 161:8,9,19 162:13 162:23 163:3,8,13 166:8 169:22 177:18 199:7,10,18 200:17

202:19 216:5 219:12

219:13 242:14

thursday 196:17 tied 165:19 tighten 27:8,11 127:13 till 83:13 87:24 **time** 5:16 6:9,10 11:3 11:17,25 12:20 17:12,17 19:3 20:23 21:4,11,18 28:22 29:2 30:12,15,20,21 34:20,25 35:4 36:5 38:18 46:16 62:7 64:7 71:7 72:4,21 73:17 74:4,6 77:19 77:20 80:20 81:13 82:16,16 83:9,13 84:14 85:6 87:18,21 87:23,25 88:13,24 89:4,11,17 90:2,25 91:5 92:8 94:6 95:20 98:17 99:8.16.20.21 99:25 100:8.21 101:9,23,24 102:5,6 102:15,24 103:15,16 103:25 104:16,21,24 105:7,10,15 114:20 115:18,24 116:4 117:3,23 120:9 130:24,25 131:18 132:23 133:5.8 134:9,20 139:25 144:21 146:4 147:12 156:12 157:5,15 160:11 167:4 169:14 175:12,14,15 184:3 184:17,22,25 185:2 185:5,10 187:9 188:5,20 189:18 190:6 191:6 193:22 196:3 197:4 199:20 200:3,19 211:23 212:9,17,24 217:10 218:6,8 222:4,11,13 224:22 228:10 232:24 233:4 248:19 248:23 252:15 256:8 257:2,25 259:22 261:24 266:25 267:4 timely 243:17 244:4 times 2:14 9:14 21:24 62:14 117:20 120:20 121:4,13 168:10 173:19 189:22 tiny 109:10 198:17 tips 46:15 title 7:24 8:4 17:6 71:6 104:6 186:12 259:2 titled 71:21 98:25 110:17 150:12

157:12 titles 17:7 today 43:12 44:10 77:25 81:5 113:3,7 163:2 166:23 194:11 212:11 223:9,25 225:13 227:23 248:21 264:17 265:10,20 266:18,25 **todays** 70:24 253:9 told 57:21 79:23 80:11 80:12 94:6 95:15,25 120:10 131:23 132:15 153:10 154:11 176:4 189:21 189:22 195:5,8,12 195:17,25 208:17 tom 63:18 80:14,24 82:19,25 83:13 84:9 84:21 85:8.9.13 88:10,20 89:17 90:25 91:10,14 92:25 94:14 102:12 120:6 138:14,20 139:18 141:17 142:24 144:20 145:3 154:12 168:11 183:7 192:4,8 193:25 218:13 229:22 247:24 261:9 **tomorrow** 253:13 tomorrows 210:18 toms 84:4 140:3 143:9 tonality 91:13 tonights 210:6 top 110:2 114:20 142:17 216:7,16 217:6,16 218:7,20 219:20,23 239:23 261:22 topic 102:7 177:11 **topped** 25:25 torture 111:21 115:11 115:14 total 98:2 114:18 215:11,12 **totaled** 59:16 totals 72:20,25 73:7,8 73:10,11,13,13 touch 145:10 touched 101:12,18 218:14 town 9:17 toxic 55:7 198:13 tracking 97:5 104:6 trade 27:2 28:14 173:7 234:17,18 traded 50:5 51:6 190:7

trader 12:19,20 20:4 traders 22:5 193:22 trading 50:4,25 51:2,4 51:7 52:12 56:5 84:17 85:14,16 86:8 86:17 147:25 148:3 149:8,16,20,21,25 160:25 173:13,19 178:6 222:23 241:19 242:7 246:19 traditional 47:13 181:24 trail 197:15 train 94:24,25 trained 64:5 training 29:15,20,22 **trains** 21:18 **traipse** 140:24 **tranche** 79:6 94:9 220:18.20 223:14 260:20 tranches 35:25 72:2,9 72:24 73:21 74:3,20 75:23 80:12 81:7 98:9,15 99:19 100:14,19 144:16 169:2 178:8 198:4 198:23 207:23 223:9 236:25 260:18.19.25 **transaction** 65:19 67:3 transactions 72:3,10 214:6 219:21 transcribed 3:14 **transcript** 202:15,20 203:12 204:19 206:24 271:10 translate 172:19 219:13 translated 174:2 transparent 170:17 229:15 **travel** 21:16 travelers 5:24,24 38:6 254:9,9 256:14 traveling 144:2 treasuries 53:17 191:19 treasury 40:12 127:7 **treated** 227:18 trended 217:12 tried 32:2 38:14 92:4 186:7 261:17 262:2 **trillion** 153:5,18 190:17 triple 24:14 78:5 82:9 85:2 101:16 153:6 192:10 193:5 198:15 198:16 216:11,15

217:6 219:18 220:18
236:24 261:12
trouble 108:18 259:17 260:9
troubling 141:20,22
true 37:13 101:3
195:14,19 223:7
236:3 271:10
truthful 3:17
try 12:24 23:16 112:10
112:11 118:18
134:11 162:2,6 167:12,15 204:7
212:12 232:2
trying 11:20 13:10
25:21 38:17 39:23
39:24 40:4 54:10
66:12 88:17 117:2
122:10 130:16,20 131:9 135:21 142:23
143:4 173:10 219:7
226:11 234:13
tuesday 195:23
turn 82:2 96:25 177:10
188:14 198:12 200:7
230:5 244:8
turned 34:5 35:6 42:9
42:24 77:18 78:8 99:23 123:17 136:16
153:25 158:16 165:4
174:23 188:4 191:2
194:15,16 195:19
230:12 236:12
249:12 259:9,14
turning 22:13 181:4 turns 42:4 195:13
twelve 186:14
twentyfive 115:3
twin 97:5 104:7 133:13
133:19
two 12:5 14:21,24 19:9
25:6 27:5 44:20
45:23 46:5,23 64:17 65:11 68:21 82:10
86:5 89:22 91:6
94:19 107:6 115:3
115:20 119:2,12
127:25 128:5,15
129:20 130:10
131:14,17,24 133:20
139:3,13 140:19 151:2,9 157:11
162:12 169:22
178:14 186:11 195:8
204:8 208:23 219:3
242:14 250:16
254:21
twominute 224:21

twothirds 197:17,23 **type** 15:4 47:9 60:12 221:7 255:15 types 30:22 49:9 61:7 106:7,8 109:6 255:24 typical 3:16 115:18 typically 15:17,21 16:17,19,24 18:10 18:11,13 116:24 128:18 201:6 U **uhhuh** 45:5 74:24 138:9.12 150:2.14 159:12,15 161:17 186:19 202:17 203:2 203:24 213:18 214:3 237:15 **ultimate** 84:5 163:6 223:3 224:7 229:21 **ultimately** 13:12 144:3 152:17 176:20 232:14 249:18 259:6 259:8 umbrella 246:5 unartfully 123:4 uncomfortable 50:23 56:11 83:11 84:3,4,9 140:2 underestimating 188:21 underfunded 227:22 underlying 262:18 263:2,16 264:11 underneath 41:4 understand 4:16 8:19 12:3,25 22:9 25:20 75:5 87:14 101:22 105:8 125:19 135:22 136:8 138:23,24 139:6 140:6 141:25 148:16 149:14 156:14 157:6,10 158:7 160:16 161:18 161:24 162:25 163:15,22 166:22,25 178:22 180:8,24 184:5.15 186:20 189:2 191:10 213:24 245:3 256:8 257:17 understanding 19:17 19:18 74:25 75:16 75:19 100:12,15 105:16 139:20 149:15 161:24

168:18,24 200:15

204:17 207:24

238:20 understood 20:2,5 55:18 87:13 105:10 109:16 134:14 154:3 155:7 212:10 242:6 243:6 undertaking 30:4 underwrite 135:9 136:18 underwriting 114:13 261:5 unevenness 231:16 unexpected 240:9 **unfair** 73:12 unfavorable 121:25 126:20 127:5 unfold 26:25 102:20 unfolded 198:7 unfolding 28:13 102:17 unfortunately 146:2 unhealthy 231:9 unified 40:6 **unifying** 39:10 unit 20:11 250:17 united 161:20 units 18:24 19:2 21:13 177:21,23,25 unknown 263:25 unprecedented 181:9 unprepared 180:20 unregulated 47:25 unusual 76:15 77:15 112:4 122:4 162:24 226:3 235:20 240:22 **unusually** 53:13,23 unwieldy 39:8 40:7,8,9 40:10 **upcoming** 16:5 55:8 128:19 **update** 110:20 139:4 uptown 15:11 140:24 usc 3:16 use 21:18 23:22 91:13 199:6 248:9 useful 153:15,16,17,22 154:6 162:6 usually 187:16 188:12 utc 160:4,11 161:20 utilized 178:7 182:25 vague 147:7

V vague 147:7 valuable 64:13 110:24 valuation 178:7 197:11 198:21 247:16 250:19 valuations 83:23 84:5

199:16 value 47:15 60:21 85:2 97:23 98:10,16 107:24 108:4,23,25 181:9 190:23 223:15 224:6,13 227:15 valued 9:21 **values** 29:16 **valuing** 198:23 variety 255:2,10 256:25 various 6:3,8 15:3 21:13 27:7 32:24 33:24 37:25 86:12 126:9 169:3 178:3 199:6 210:22 214:18 vehicles 237:2,13 veracity 3:19 version 68:7 69:17 versus 67:17.24 85:16 91:2,2 146:12 178:5 178:5,6 234:14 255:25 vertical 32:20 33:3,10 33:11 34:13 42:18 216:22 view 39:16,17,19,21 39:22 56:19 78:2 92:11 118:2 122:12 126:21 138:23 143:9 143:10 148:23 168:6 172:14 176:14 179:4 180:6 183:25 184:4 184:5,11 187:10,11 189:17 221:22,24 222:3,4,11 226:7,9 227:6 228:12,13,14 230:19 249:11 254:18 255:15 259:7 viewed 79:6 134:15,17 viewing 129:9 views 226:12,17 235:11 vikram 251:13,19 violate 70:18 violation 28:16,18 virtually 233:20 visit 126:11 visual 218:12 visàvis 7:17,20 89:3 232:11 vividly 103:13,14,22 volatile 257:22 volatility 98:25 volitity 97:6 volume 152:3,8 154:10 261:20

174:2 197:11 198:20

volumes 20:12 150:23 volunteer 101:14

W wait 164:11 222:24 **waiver** 217:9 walk 9:14.15 walked 195:11 **walking** 108:23 wall 52:18 55:9 120:8 120:12 121:18 124:22 154:13 230:15 234:24 want 4:24 12:21 22:24 23:3 28:20 34:14.15 37:4 40:25 41:5 45:6 52:8 63:10 67:7 93:7 94:2,10 98:10 107:3 123:12 124:3 138:20 138:24 139:6.16 140:5,6,13 142:25 150:24 153:19 161:5 165:24 167:17 172:20 174:22.23 175:3,4,5,5 189:8,11 191:9 192:10 208:7 215:18 227:10,11 238:11 245:4 wanted 8:18 14:22 17:6 24:2,3 25:3,13 28:21 45:21 50:17 57:21 58:16 60:8 67:16 134:12 135:17 140:21,22,25 143:19 143:21 175:6 177:5 180:7,9 194:18 212:3 230:18 257:24 warehouse 56:14 57:2 57:11,16 58:7 59:3 59:12 61:5,10,24 62:3,4,9,10 154:22 155:6,9,10,12,18 160:23 161:15 163:21 179:2,5 182:5,10,21 183:19 183:22 185:15 200:14 268:21 warehoused 178:21 179:16 warehousing 181:25 washington 2:3 3:8 4:13 wasnt 9:16 16:24 17:3 23:12 36:15 42:13 56:18 67:4 81:12 94:23 95:5 102:6,14 103:23 115:13,17

119:20 125:4 129:4

		1		1
142:11 143:14	39:9 46:19 58:24	173:9 224:17 225:18	york 2:9,9,15,15	159 269:21
179:10 180:4 183:11	65:12 68:20 84:2	225:18,18 226:23	124:12,18,22 178:5	15th 210:16,25 238:15
185:16 188:15	94:24 106:2 117:10	worsens 226:21	228:24 271:6	1611657 174:5,10
193:10 195:8 197:20	165:23 178:16,17	worst 108:11 216:19	228.24 271.0	269:24
			$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	
229:15 248:15	179:21,23 181:19	223:14		1611661 177:11
261:19,20 262:3	184:20 188:8 194:6	worth 107:18,19	zero 153:7 170:8 185:6	1611679 200:9 206:4
watch 67:5 195:2	207:25 209:2 231:10	108:15 233:18	zombie 227:12	162 114:23
watched 233:19	233:16	worthiness 35:24		16495 201:19,25 270:7
watchful 252:4	wharton 2:7	wouldnt 5:3 16:25	0	16498 202:22
water 111:21 115:11	whereof 271:16	25:18 47:18 58:19	0 79:8 200:14 233:20	166 114:4
115:13 144:16,24	whetherhow 161:11	58:22 64:24 85:2	249:11	17 1:6 265:2
145:10	wide 33:21	90:5 100:8,22,24	000 13:14 25:17 29:14	1717 2:3
waters 192:18 223:21	widely 120:7 122:2,3	101:12,18 109:5	107:20	174 269:24
223:22 264:7	wife 195:12	118:23 151:14	01 79:8 249:11	18 3:16
wavering 218:6	william 110:13,21	153:16 154:10,18	05 152:8	18th 271:17
way 4:23 15:22 32:6	willing 173:6 196:6	170:9,23 182:15	06 152:8 191:9 263:19	19 45:10,18 268:12
33:17 43:3 47:25	224:3,4	188:7 190:6 211:21	07 104:17,17 129:12	1979 5:8 6:4
50:21 52:12 53:5,15	wise 66:20,21	212:12 213:16 220:7	216:21,22	198 238:25 239:7
54:14 73:12 77:4	wish 35:18 36:13	write 91:18 135:25	210.21,22	270:16
81:17 82:4 84:24,25	266:10,11,12,12	writedown 199:13	1	1984 6:6
91:7 100:25 112:6,7	withstand 253:14	writedown 155:15 writedowns 250:16	1 40:17 268:10	1986 5:16,19
113:18,20 122:25	254:11,17	writes 125:2	10 14:11 23:2 24:6,8	1998 5:19,22,25 6:10
128:23 136:8 137:5	witness 2:8,14 17:21	written 61:6 131:19	25:17 115:6 159:6	233:10 252:17,22
141:2 145:9 146:9	61:13 70:18,21	242:22	217:24,25 269:22	253:10 252:17,22
153:3,8,13 171:2,19	150:2 167:8,14	wrong 11:5 12:11	100 22:24 23:25 98:2	1999 6:16
7 7	1			
171:21 174:7,11 175:18 177:24	204:15,22 207:6,18	36:16,18,22 55:20	114:2 253:20	1st 62:5,8 203:13
	208:13 271:8,11,16	56:2 84:24 106:2	1001 3:16	204:6,25
179:25 182:11,21	woman 165:7	178:16,18 179:21,23	10019 2:9	2
186:24 190:8 192:18	wont 47:3 62:24 187:3	181:19 184:20	10036 2:15	
197:17,23 198:16,19	223:3 224:2,4	221:24 230:8,12	102 31:14,16,17,18	2 45:13 114:13,21
199:4,5 204:4 224:8	238:17	249:12	105 108:7,22	153:5,18 190:17
227:19 235:18 236:7	word 91:14 248:9	wrote 130:13 133:8	10k 31:9	200:13 268:16
236:21 237:6 256:6	249:6	134:20 135:2	11 14:11 53:21 59:17	20 9:11 233:3
258:7,13 270:2	words 13:17 15:6 54:3		59:20 78:23 115:6	200 194:7 215:19
271:14	60:5 61:23 78:7	X	174:13 203:20	2000 6:17,18 53:7 57:3
ways 27:2 32:21 33:2	79:14 91:11 115:17		217:24,25 221:23	57:12 268:22
34:11 99:18 151:13	153:16 157:9 162:24	Y	222:2 270:4	20006 2:3
153:15 162:20 171:9	177:24 183:8 188:6	yeah 67:4 218:5,20	110 24:4 108:7,17,22	2001 6:20
255:8 257:20	220:15 223:8 248:25	year 3:12 6:14 16:3	269:7	2002 6:21
weaknesses 240:6	250:23	22:25 23:4,24,25	11th 221:16	2003 6:23 7:6,13 8:23
wednesday 139:16	work 8:13 40:11 83:14	24:2 33:22 34:17	12 14:11 107:7,8	11:8 17:12 18:18
147:14 195:23	92:17 188:19	112:21 116:15 117:4	146:22 147:14 152:7	20:22 21:21 22:7,11
196:17	worked 19:19 20:3,6	126:8 181:8 191:8	201:20 270:7	26:21 30:15,20
week 17:2 78:22 82:17	43:4 52:12 53:15	192:7 203:4,6	127 269:10	151:18
82:18 88:11,25	105:21 142:5 208:25	216:21 228:7,7	1285 1:7 2:9	2004 26:24 27:3,5
89:21 91:5 94:19	232:17	232:4,4,4 249:14	13 203:9,21 205:2	30:12,15
109:12,18 115:21	working 28:23 43:3	251:22 252:23	206:13,17,18 207:25	2005 30:12,21 150:23
195:23 197:19	89:15 193:17 260:11	years 4:20 5:3 12:22	209:13,16 214:7,12	152:4
208:21	works 199:4,6	12:23,23 21:3,5 35:3	270:10	2006 6:24 7:9,14 62:5
weeks 68:21 83:6	world 9:25 75:11	35:16 65:5 119:6	137 269:13	62:8 150:24 152:4
84:13 89:23 133:18	78:11 84:20 92:15	142:6 150:22 189:10	13 7 209.13 14 45:11,19 79:21	177:15 260:5,6,19
133:21 146:6 157:16	101:17 102:15	227:24 232:22,23	210:4,9 213:5	261:4 262:12,24
157:21 251:14	190:21 253:9 258:9			263:5,25 265:11
		233:3,14,17 252:3	268:14 270:13	
weill 5:17 7:15	258:10 265:7	254:10	146 269:17	2007 8:20,22,24 9:6
weiss 2:7	worlds 113:17	yesterday 117:16	14th 210:15	11:8 17:12 20:23
welch 210:2	worried 185:8 193:15	yield 118:16	15 3:12 5:8 128:10	34:17,21 35:5,10
welcome 138:8 246:22	worse 111:22 115:13	yielding 54:11	133:19 233:2 239:2	41:3 43:8,11 44:2,3
went 5:16,21 31:16	117:2 130:3 134:10	yields 118:15	239:8 270:16	44:3,9 45:10,18

59:10,11,19 62:6,8	2070 213-2 4 270-12	6 79:21 110:6 114:14	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2970 213:2,4 270:12	114:16 269:8	
66:24 67:3 71:14	2978 213:22		
72:4,5,15,22 73:18	2nd 41:3 110:18	658 181:6	
74:6,18 75:24 78:18	114:21	66 113:25 114:6	
79:10,22 80:10	3	665 178:15 179:21	
85:22 88:12 96:19		667 186:11	
96:23 98:6,8 99:2	3 57:4 200:13 268:5,23	69 124:2,25	
100:11,16 102:16	30 1:9 81:10,20 84:13		
110:3,18 116:18,19	91:3 96:19,23 98:6	7	
117:25 120:19	103:18 116:20	7 127:21 258:16,21	
121:13 124:13,15,19	133:15 146:5 152:4	269:11	
128:10 131:19	152:9 165:25 166:11	70 268:25	
133:15,19 141:15	166:18 196:23	72 209:5	
146:22 147:14	197:22 214:20	75 216:24 233:11	
151:18 152:7,23	232:22 233:14,17	765 56:25 57:10	
157:4 159:18 168:3	300 194:7 195:8	268:20	
168:7,22 174:8,12	30day 197:20	7657 70:5,10 268:25	
192:5 200:16 203:13	31 213:10 214:15	7659 71:5	
204:6 205:2,23	31582 159:5,11 269:22	7673 78:24	
207:20 208:3 210:4	31616 40:16,22 268:10		
210:10 212:14	31st 214:9	8	
213:10 214:7,15,20	34 114:5	8 62:6,8 114:14 137:21	
221:23 222:2 237:9	350 13:14 29:14	194:8 195:9 209:5	
244:23,25 245:13,17	360 29:23	217:12 269:15	
245:24 247:15	36374 137:20 138:2	80 23:25 108:2,4	
248:17 257:2 259:23	269:14	800 2:3	
260:20 261:4,8	37 211:17		
263:25 264:5 265:11	3rd 110:3	9	
268:13 270:3		9 1:9 53:21 114:17	
2008 239:8 245:21	4	146:16 200:14 210:7	
251:21 257:2 258:16	4 70:3,6 165:25 166:11	210:9,12,13 269:19	
258:21,23	166:18 208:3 267:4	90 108:5 173:22	
200year 116:17,19	269:2	223:15	
201 270:6	40 153:6,21 170:7	91764 56:24 57:9	
2010 1:6 3:12 53:8	268:9	268:19	
57:4,12 268:23	41087 209:15,21	91765 56:24 57:9	
271:17	270:10	268:19	
202 239:20,25	41124 211:18	95 108:6 150:23	
203 241:4	45 268:12	96 269:4	
209 270:9	4648 127:19	97 233:20	
213 270:12	4651 129:21	975 217:21	
23 114:22 115:20	49 114:23	99654 146:15,20	
238 270:15	4th 207:20 208:22	269:18	
24 200:12 203:7	250:14	99657 147:22	
24594 96:11,17 269:4		99660 157:12	
24607 97:4	5	9th 138:7 141:15	
24609 104:4	5 96:12 200:12 269:5		
24768 109:25 110:5	50 30:25 98:2 107:20		
269:8	133:22 152:3,8		
25 189:10 233:12	222:21		
250 25:6	500 24:25 25:5,6		
26 124:14,18 159:18	5000 25:12,15		
240:15	50 00 23.12,13 52 233:19		
2648 127:21 269:10	52 233:19 53 233:19		
26th 124:13			
26th 124:13 27 240:15	55 207:21 208:2		
	56 268:18		
29 217:12 252:17,22	6		
253:24			
			l